What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision ma in Sheffield?
	Local Organisations			
Transparent, reasoned and with input from all stakeholders. It decides clear aims at the start of the process and evaluates whether these have been achieved at the end. Review the other because the transfer of the tacket are if evaluated at the end.		I appreciate the progress towards webcasting, as this is an important part of transparency.	I do not think that scrutiny committees should only be made up of councillors, as this does not provide the most useful feedback. I would rather that decisions were	accessible to all. The freedom of information request system should be
is not for review's sake, but can steer further change if needed.	difficult. Access to decision makers, timely response and openness about processes are all serious problems.		made without political bias, but I appreciate this is a wider issue. I believe that a committee model would serve Sheffield better.	far as possible and work should be carried out in the open. Citizens sit on scrutiny committees.
	Personally, I have found many major decisions in recent years to be fundamentally flawed (the library, tree felling) and have not seen any evidence of accountability for these mistakes.			
	Professionally, I have found all dealings with council departments to be slow and needlessly bureaucratic, to the point where I have had to find solutions that lose income for the council.			
Consistency, fairness, transparent process	Departments don't seem to talk to each other, can be told yes by one department and no by another. Too many departments outsourced to unaccountable private companies unfit for purpose such as Capita being in charge of collecting business rates.	decisions of the past, most notably the signing of long and unfavorable contracts	The decision making process is not very transparent and often feels like there is no underlying strategy behind it. Genuine decision making power is concentrated between a few people with their own personal ideas and agendas rather than a	Sheffield councillor from any side of the political divide that wasn't a d person committed to making Sheffield a better place. It would be nice
	It feels like the power within the council is concentrated far too much into the hands of far too few people. While one person might take months of battling with planning/ licensing/ business rates etc to open a business premises somebody else with access to the 'right' people in the council can get things through a lot quicker. This massively hampers small business as they are the ones least equipped to deal with large layers of bureaucracy yet seem to be the ones having to deal with the most.	with private companies such as Amey that makes it much more diffcult and expensive for the council to do anything physical whilst simultaneously meaning public works and services are being done at a low standard for the benefit of private shareholders rather than for the taxpaying public.	larger group.	had more of a voice and could fight for genuine change on behalf of th less 'middle of the road' policies and decisions and more policies uniqu
Looking at pros and Cons of both decisions and going with the one that would suit the majority better.	As a committee all avenues would be explored	Dont really hear about them	Not knowing the decisions	Agenda being in the public domain and the out come being articulated
To serve as requested and not to look for reasons to avoid progression	Take advice from us when we put forward reasons for advancement We know our environment etc Listen to all groups who are involved in any project and reason a solution Not a lot as the councillors seem to know better than people involved in projects when	Too political and not democratic as too few people involved making decisions in situations they know little about	See 6	More consultation with parties involved before first impressions are im more time but quickly meet and discuss with parties concerned with pr
Evidence-based. Which means that those taking decisions need to be capable of digesting that evidence.	they have no knowledge We need brave leaders who are capable of taking hard and unpopular decisions on the basis of the evidence, even if those decisions are unpopular.	Absolutely nothing. I am not in any way connected with the tree campaign (so don't dismiss my comments as those of an anti-Amey person) <i>REDACTED</i>	Most of those in power are idiots.	The city is full of PhD educated people. How about some intelligence?
It should be the opposite of tribal, which is how current decision-making works.				
More choice more votes To me good decision come from multiple sources to get a better understanding of what	Equitable voting Local people making decisions that affect local communities	Nothing. Under the current system Sheffield has failed to take opportunities &	10 votes is not 84! There's way too many decisions being made that affects everyone by just a few	All 84 voices heard Decisions being made by a larger group of local people with as much in
will or won't work Good decisions are based on facts, evidence and resources and should be made in line	We have a democratic process whereby councillors get elected every so often, but this	squandered money without local people being given a real voice I like the consultation pages on the web site and the frequent surveys, but more	people I don't like the cabinet decision making process involving only 10 councillors, and	possible being given to residents This would not be something that can be quickly set up following a sur
with agreed policy, as collectively as possible and where necessary as a result of democrate suitation.	election doesn't give them carte blanche to act as they like without further consultation or to stick inflexibly to decisions when it is obvious these are not supported by the public. So the most important thing for me is that decisions should involve as wide a group of elected councillors as possible, but also listening to what citizens want and need.	work needs to be done to draw in more people especially those who don't use the web.	think this should involve many more of the 84 councillors who represent our city. I don't like the way SCC refuses to listen, once a policy has been fixed upon, they are so inflexible and dogmatic. The Street trees debacle was absolutely catastrophic for the city and now everyone pities us for having such a dreadful council. The dominating political party acts in a tribal manner, my party right or wrong, and won't accept different viewpoints. This is holding us back and also it means the consultations that are carried out are mere window dressing as the decisions have already been made. There isn't enough skill and expertise on the conuncil, especially on stuff like contracting and subsequent management of contracts - as a result contractors have run rings round the stupid council and	It requires a whole new mindset, that some councillors and parties will challenging. We need a new way of governing that doesn't just mean c the decisions, however many of them there are - there needs to be mu local area input from the public. This will involve a lot of thought and n to other councils that are doing things differently, to see how it works. a community constitution, or a framework that will help the Council leadership to the community and in working in partnership with citiz and other organisations; support the active involvement of citizens in the process of local autho making - this must be transparent and meaningful;
			laughed all the way to the bank, while hiding behind "commercial confidentiality" what a waste of scarce money. Council staff are not subject to annual appraisals or enough scrutiny, so they have become hide-bound and insular but councillors defer to them over and over again. There should be absolute transparency of decision making and this should be a badge of pride. The way things are being run makes a mockery of democracy and has caused many people to lose faith in the political parties.	help Councillors represent their constituents more effectively; help councillors toomnunicate the facts and information more effect communities and to set up local consultation bodies and citizen's foru enable decisions to be taken efficiently and effectively; create a powerful and effective means of holding decision-makers ensure that no one will review or scrutinize a decision in which t involved; ensure timely transmission and transparency of all the information (b need to maintain confidentiality, e.g., of personal information about ci
				to inform decisions ensure that those responsible for decision making are clearly iden people and that they explain the reasons for decisions; and assist the delivery of quality services to all sections of the commu provide a framework which promotes the Council's Priorities.
All decisions need to be informed and by informed, I mean all stakeholders should be included in consultation prior to decisions being made.	Through documentation received via Freedom of Information we have discovered that the Cabinet Member who will be making the final decision has already stated that he will listen to our group but be led by the professionals.	Nothing	It is undemocratic It is open to abuse from interested parties It is not fair and open	Listen to residents and include them pre consultation exercises Decisions to be made by panels of at least three councillors
One that has involved all stakeholders ie local Councillors, local grassroots organisations, businesses, local experts, (sometimes National organisations such as HE, National Trust, AHT, Victorian Society, Georgian Society) not just the usual box ticking consultation but listening to groups and local individuals who have to live with whatever decision is made. An informed decision.	as bringing up issues in full council is very restricted and members of the cabinet are extremely hard to reach. Also hard to even find what cabinet members deals with what.	Frankly I can't think of anything. Even if a decision works out well it seems very distant with no real citizen participation.	Poor communications, lack of engagement with local Councillors and communities Too many decisions made behind closed doors without possibility of debate before decision is made. Scrutiny meetings too proscriptive and appear to rubber stamp rather than look at any objections or problems. Too much evasion which leads to people having to make an FOI and even then the answers can be evasive.	A wider spread of people involved in decisions. Local involvement in lo of local experts and grassroots organisations as witnesses to committe topics (committee could be one off and report back to Full Council) sim parliamentary committees. Other fixed committees such as finance. Sy to be set up by full council and to represent all parties based on voting seats.
 Assess evidence of need via wide scoping, meaningful consultation and inclusive feedback mechanisms, Investigate and cost various solutions using PESTEL and LEAN processes discuss in open forum with stakeholder involvement tender for work using transparent processes which have clear budgets, outputs and outcomes which are monitored and followed up. Use local partners, suppliers and materials as a priority wherever possible, all procurement should use Social Value Act and Sustainable Development Goals as guiding principles, apportion credit/blame where due, admit and learn from mistakes, be open and honest, publish feedback in an easily-accessible and understandable form (you said/we did). 	Openness, honesty, transparency, listening, acting for the greater good of the city rather than political ends, be progressive, innovative and imaginative and get away from cosy closed-shop decision making. We can't afford to be insular and keep up the pretence that we're hard done by - we make out own success from the social, economic and intellectual capital we have an excess of that is currently massively under-utilised, such as the Universities, SocEnt networks, 3rd sector partners who can leverage in huge amounts of money and kudos for the City - if you'll only listen!!!!		Exclusionary, secretive, open to improper influence and abuse, lacking in ambition, scope and innovation.	Public and partner input via well-constructed and informed consultatic Opportunity for public to comment on proposals in an easily-accessible Evidence for need, alternatives and with social and environmental cost Scrutiny of all stages in the process, via an independent monitoring bo there are enough retired professionals who could be very useful in put team to ensure that a virtuous spiral of progress quickly becomes emb framework to make rapid, mutually- beneficial progress for all resident businesses and visitors to our great but overlooked city.
6) all committees making spending decisions must have min 2 opposition Councillors to be numerate In the public sphere I expect most decisions to be made by a simple majority, and major	It's important that the council makes decisions democratically not autocratically, and	At least it's not a dictatorship, but that's faint praise at best.	The strong leader model belongs in the Soviet Union. There's also corruption that	Full democracy and transparent public consultations.
decisions to be made by a two thirds majority.	that we can hold councillors accountable. As above	Nothing it is biased done with disregard and lack of expertise	needs routing out because you don't listen to the citizens enough.	Be open honest and listen to all
Democracy Compromise		אסמווייה אי טופאבע עטויב איונוי עופרקסיע מוזע ומגע עז פאשרעאפ	~~~	סב סקבה הסוובצו מות ווצובוי גם מו

တ

n making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
ansparent and uid be dismantled as	Partisanship/acting in self interest, or party interest, over what the city needs.	I attended the Big City Conversation event at the town hall yesterday, but I only heard about it from Nigel Slack's Twitter. I didn't see it promoted anywhere.
ve yet to meet a i't a decent honest nice if these people f of their wards, make unique to Sheffield	Too much public consultation- very difficult to get an accurate picture from these events, tend to be populated with the kind of people that want to go to public consultations rather than representing the views of the actual public. Also muddles and slows down decision making. If elected Councillors all had a say in how the city is run it would negate the need to go directly to the public quite so often.	
ulated to general public	Dont take short cuts or the cheaper options	Please keep me updated
are implanted. Take vith projects	Yes more pre conceived decisions to be thought out more before further decisions are made. Consult discuss and then decide	Think Discuss Consult Decide
nce?	More of the same old demographic in charge.	We need some politicians with some moral fibre and courage, who are not just going to postpone all the difficult decisions until later.
	No. 6 - 7 - 7 - 6 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 -	Honestly, the current crop are just letting the city down.
uch information as	Not being able to get to a majority vote Making sure decisions made for Sheffield are decided on by Sheffield people	
	yes, the council must really commit to opening up and being more transparent and trusting the community. We should avoid all decisions getting bogged down in endless rounds of community consultation, that is not what is intended. I'm not saying that citizens forums or assemblies should make the decisions, but that councillors should make them after having consulted effectively with the citizens assemblies. It will not be perfect immediately and the Council should have patience and keep plugging away at making the changes to a new way of working, meanwhile keeping everyone informed as to what's happening.	yes, changes to the decision making process are very important and must be supported by the wider community in order to be sustainable and effective. It would be no use just trying to set this up using traditional ways of working, putting it to a cabinet vote on whether SCC changes or not - it should be the first thing designed by a new citizens assembly in collaboration with councillors and involving others from other locations eg councils, advocary groups etc. This will be really difficult and if it isn't, it won't have been done right.
hich they were directly on (bearing in mind the out citizens) necessary	There is a big body of interested people waiting to help and they are not a threat to party politics but would help good politics to shine through.	
identifiable to local		
ommunity; and		
	Singular decision making	It is not transparent and can easily be manipulated by interested parties. This we have proof of in the way they have dealt with ourselves.
t in local decisions. Use mittees on certain il) similar to uce. Special committees voting numbers not	Restriction of decisions to a small number of people, delegation to Council officers to make decisions without oversight by Council.	I am aware there is no simple answer but change is sorely needed especially if we are to involve and support those in areas of high deprivation whose morale is low and not helped by decisions seemingly made over the heads. Here is a chance to be innovative to have special committee meetings outside Council buildings in local community centres or schools. A chance also to engage secondary schools in local decision making and hopefully eventually boost political involvement. When we are getting some wards where we only get 16% of electorate bothering to vote in some wards it is pretty obvious that present system is flawed.
ultation, essible form, al costings as standard, ng body if necessary -	People with vested interests and personal agendas making all the decisions on how and what happens next.	You need to put something in place to get LEP & Business Sheff working effectively with SMEs, Socient Network, Chamber of Commerce and FSB/Co-Op.
in putting together a s embedded as the sidents, traders,		People need to be more engaged with local Decision-making and empowered to drive change for themselves. The current 'my way or the highway' thinking is setting the City back decades.
	Letting incumbents stifle debate.	Ité
	Make it open to the public	tem

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Good decision making should be effective it should be supported by evidence gathered from those that the decisions will effect. Making change for the sake of change is often a sign of poor or weak decision making. The use of small groups of people consisting of the same old faces is a regular habit of decision makers. These 'regulars' are easy to influence and offer little resistance to poor ideas. Widening the base of input is a must in good decision making and that includes surveys like this.	A lot of participation is often lead and directed by Council Officers with limited skills in	Not much. There is a real lack of accountability, no one seems willing to accept responsibility for poor decision making or service provision. What they are very good at is making excuses and/or blarning junior members of staff, those at the point of delivery for their ill thought through policies.	I do not approve of the Cabinet structure it places power in the hands of a very small number of Councillors and this is open to considerable influence peddling a, " You scratch my back and I will scratch your back". system of governance.	I would like to see a return to a Committee structure with a strong ethos of Citizen Engagement where people are asked for their views and opinions and that these inputs are given due consideration. Its all about pro-active listening.	Stop patronizing people. If it isn't broken why fix it, change for changes sake is pointless. Stop thinking that the Council knows best.	NO
prejudices of Councillors or officers.	As heritage campaigners, it is important to us to know what decisions are being considered that affect heritage before those decisions are made, and when it is still or possible to provide input to them. We also want to have a realistic chance of affecting decisions on the historic environment. Regardless of the outcome, we need to know what decision was made, all the reasons for which it was made, and for it to be clear that it was determined by the interests of the people of the city. It is particularly important that decision makers show their awareness of the law and policy on heritage protection and that they consider alternatives, as required by these.	the scheme and listening to the outcome of historical research, it has been possible to design a scheme that works with and celebrates heritage, without compromising viability. This is new. Normally the first opportunity to provide input is during the application process, when the Council's approach has already been finalised and consultants have been brought in to ensure it gets through the application process unchanged. The more open and inclusive approach will result in a more successful scheme, compared with the adversarial "take-it-or-leave-it" attitude so often seen in the past, and should be a benchmark for all decisions.	There is far too much politics in decision making. The fate of heritage can depend on the personal prejudice of a single individual. Alternative proposals, whether from campaigners or from other parties, are seen as hostile and result in the defensiveness or dismissal. Decisions taken by individuals are frequently made by people who lack the skills and knowledge required, and do not feel under any obligation to consult. Cabinet portfolios are reshuffled often enough to ensure that it is difficult for any individual member to gain the experience required, and sometimes a portfolio may be reassigned between members with diametrically opposed views. In the last year, heritage - still not formally acknowledged as part of any cabinet or senior officer portfolio - has been particularly badly affected by instability and poor decision-making. A change in cabinet meant that a Councillor keen to pursue the advantage of heritage was replaced by an enthusiast for unfettered development. That individual cancelled the public consultation on the Castlegate Conservation Area at short notice, breaking a repeated Council commitment to create such an area, apparently without consulting cabinet or officers. When this was challenged, a review was announced of all the city's Conservation Areas with the implied intention that some would be cancelled, again apparently without discussion - in fact, the Council leader subsequently denied the existence of such a review. The loss of one particular heritage asset is already likely to result from the first decision, with a significant risk of others to follow. Mad the second decision been carried through, the potential for significant damage to the historic environment would have led to widespread public concern and harm to the city's reputation nationally. Invarid investment based on the distinctive character of the city would have been deterred, and the proven economic and well-being benefits.	ensuring that all Council decisions not only make the most of these but are consistent with statutory and policy requirements to preserve or enhance heritage assets. It is essential that community representatives are able to build relationships with committees. This means that changes to committee membership should be kept to a minimum. Some change is needed to bring in new thinking, but members will need time to build familiarity and long service will help continuity. As many Councillors as possible should be members of at least one committee, and should be expected to acquire and maintain relevant knowledge. The Council must ensure that they provide training to members to make this possible. Inter-committee communication is absolutely vital, to ensure that decisions are not made in silos. Agenda must be visible to all committees, and awareness maintained of where business may be relevant to more than one committee. Joint sub-committees or inviting representatives from other committees to attend can help with this. Committee decisions must be communicated in a way that is both clear and useful. Ordinary members of the public do not have the time required to examine multiple	appoint multiple chairs, from all parties. This also applies across committees: the same people should not turn up on multiple committees or be able to spread their influence too widely. Committees size needs to be limited to ensure that members are there because of their interest and motivation. This will improve efficiency. The appointment process needs to avoid selecting members on the basis of their political allegiance.	
Decisions based on what's best for Sheffield not individual parties.	Transparency.	Very little if anything u der strong Leader model. Vast majority of cllrs of all parties no real power. That's why change to committees vital. More inclusive	SLM makes many decisions behind close doors.	Cross party rep on committees based on number of votes cast in elections. PR is what is needed.	Got to be cost effective. Bring in CfPS. LGA. National experts on this.	
Proper consultation including local and national experts and community groups. Not just tick box exercises but taking advice on board. Cross party decisions. All cllrs having a real say in some aspect of decision making.	Real scrutiny ie not just marking own homework. Inclusion	no rea power, mars any enange to committee a near more inclusive	Locks out vast majority of elected reps so why vote? Poor example of democracy as ruling party receive approx 10% of vote.	Far more consultation with experts and communities End to whip system	Committee system relevant for 21st system. Not weighted down in bureaucracy. Chairs need to be cross party and scrutiny built in.	
Citizens assemblies with real teeth to affect secisions.			No scope for cross party as cabinet made up of just one party. Deleting motions of opposition party insulting to large % of residents voting for them. If boot on other foot?	Cross party decisions and end to tribal battles within council. Improvementt in cllr behaviour. Some disgraceful attitudes towards public during council meetings and on social media.	Not to be dominated by any one group with vested interests.	
Well thoughout clear and concise. There must be recognition of all factors in play and th ability to the se against each other and come to decision in a timely manor.	 Should be deomcratic. Should follow scientific and other evidence should not be hijacked by interest groups or lobbyists. 	Unsure. Not pricey to decision making procedures.	The small group of decision makers shuts down debate.	Better scrutiny. Its not working. Many think just endorsing decisions already made behind closed doors by 10 cabinet members of one party.	The ability for small groups to unduly slow or hinder the process and stiffle any progress . The majority on the councel ahould be able to act swiftly and decisivly if nweded. But ahould be held to account by the entire assembly of counsellors.	
Timely, well informed, taking account of differing perspectives and different effects on individuals and communities, clear explanation of why taken	That there has been opportunity for different perspectives to be heard and debated and in a respectful manner. That the people most affected have a voice and that voice is heard. That there is sufficient time scale for decisions to be put into practice and problems ironed out before they are put to the test in an election. One year between elections means this is not possible , meaning that difficult decisions are put off. We need to change the time cycle of elections -	Not sure how to answer this.	Some very poor decisions have been made; Mount Pleasant for example. Budget cuts mean there are not enough staff to service decision making: community assemblies were shut down partly, I believe, because there were not enough staff to service them. So input from communities was reduced The process that supposedly replaced them have never worked Scrutiny processes have limited effectiveness	They must be efficient and effective. Longer operational timescales so that problems can be sorted before facing the test of an election More resources allocated for decisions made by local communities. More cross-party consultation and working together	Don't make it look as if there are small concessions that act to buy people off. Must be a genuine cross party process with a built in review of how any changes are working out	
	Individuals					
One in which ALL elected representatives are able to influence decisions, on behalf of the people who elected them. Currently, many councillors seem disempowered by the Strong Leader model		Not a lot, actually. You seem unwilling to acknowledge that you may have got some things wrong, and pursue course of action far beyond what sensible people would judge reasonable. Need I mention Trees?	See Q6	See earlier Qs	Too great a concentration of decision- making power in a small centralised self- reinforcing group of people. Ultimately, of course, decisions have to be taken but let's have them taken openly by all elected representatives.	
Active and representative consultation during all stages and at all levels of the decision making process. Excellent dissemination of information about how to participate in local consultations. Consultation is defined as citizen participation in co-investigation of issues and co- production of solutions. Transparent reporting, where the input of local citizens can be seen in terms of the decisions that are made.	chaffiald The Council needs to consistently adhere to a good decision making process using a committee model.	That's difficult to say as the process isn't transparent.	There is a disconnect between going out for consultation and the decisions that are taken.	Commit to the features presented in the committee system model of governance.	The conditions in which a committee would give members outside to he Authority voting rights needs to be clarified - what would the criterion for doing this be?	No
Well. Simple messages, clear options, time for consideration, clear boundaries. Everything printed and available. No hogging	See above	Well, I don't know how it's done I did take part in a group attempting to make Council deliberations more accessible I don't know if that came to anything	Well, as I don't know how decisions are made at the moment, I can't tell you e	I think a Sheffield discussion via the IPads etc, ie open & full (but simple & brief) communications. Clear categories for discussions One thing at a time Brief clear updates monthly	Bigwigs1 (Bottom Up!) Complicated language, spoken & on paper etc. Long Talks Time - no long meetings before hierarchy makes dericions	Good Luck!

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision main Sheffield?
The row over the culling of thousands of Sheffield's trees may or may not be justified – but it says an awful lot about the state of local government today. When I arrived in Sheffield more than 50 years ago, the city council was a local government. It ran the buses; it built and managed more 80,000 homes and ran a direct labour force to build and maintain them; it oversaw the city's education – not just the school system but the colleges of further education and a technical college which it later transformed into a polytechnic; it ran the art galleries, the libraries, the swimming pools and parks; it maintained the roads, street lighting and ran refuse collection; it was represented on both local and regional health authorities and on committees which oversaw the work of local police forces. Ecould go on, but you get the picture – and the picture included a huge chunk of democratic accountability and firece political debates between the ruling party and a sizeable opposition; the democratic structures included active trade unions and council tenant organisations – neither of them shy about criticising council policy. The council was run through a series of committees whose membership reflected the relative strength of the political parties – and the opposition members were happy to let the Press know what had been discussed and what they thought of it, so council business was well reported. The result was a lively democracy in which the pros and cons of council policy was widely debated – and debated before the final decision was taken in meetings of the full council. It was not perfect but it worked – and the voting figures in local elections were more than respectable. Of course that level of democratic participation was often a nuisance for those in power; it could be hard work persuading people of the justice of a policy such as introducing		Very little	It is far too top down and from a public point of view there is very little debate at the point of decision - ie the cabinet.	A relevant committee structure unvolving opposition parties
comprehensive education as a Conservative run council did in 1969. They did not have A system where everyone involved has input into the process, and the decision of the majority is applied.	That it is open and transparent, and each elected member has equal standing and equal input into debate and decisions.	Nothing. The "strong" leadership model is not open to differing views.	The "strong" leadership model. It ends up with the same few people involved in the process to the detriment of any other views.	An open and transparent system where every elected member has the their case, and to vote on the outcome.
To be open and not Forgetting the older working class people of our city,	There is no point in me electing a councillor if he/she has no influence. The council will do what it always has and forget the hard working grassroots people of the steel City,,	Non,	It is not reflecting the needs of all the peoples ofour city, just highlighting what they think will make the council popular,,	Stop being so secretive
For many eras decision making in Sheffield has been in the hands of just a few citizens of Sheffield namely the Cabinet. It has allowed personal views and political views to dominate in an environment of secrecy. Jack of risk assessment, 'old boy mentalityIt's who you know and not what you know', male domination, decisions made without need assessment or research, exclusion of community wishes etc. etc. Etc. I could go on for a while. So most Sheffield folk are completely disenfranchised from any decisions made about Sheffield and therefore quite disinterested.	minutes from Cabinet. Major decisions should be open to confirmation only after public scrutiny via linked portholes for comment.	I don't know enough of the process to comment. This is exactly the problem.	It's a closed shop. No transparency. No need assessment. No public knowledge of financial backers.	A widely publicised strategy of giving all Sheffield citizens the right to a depth information of Cabinet decisions and to stall major decisions un public involvement. It doesn't need to be long consultations because t decision making but the key is access. Every avenue should be used to Sheffield citizens can get involved. Libraries, bus and tram adverts, sup hall, banks social media, intermet et and access for comments should course it will cost because staff will have to be paid to collate comment final derisions.
Democratic, with plenty of opportunity for feedback and plenty of time to get involved such as Equality Hubs meeting more often.	The Equality Hubs should be more involved in participating in decision making with more input from all parts of the community, including older people and disabled, including people in supported care homes who might find it difficult to access public events or the internet.	It generally has plenty of consultation with the community and involvement from people of many backgrounds, but I have not heard much about the mechanics of recent decisions by the Council; some unpopular decisions such as the tree felling on local roads definitely needed more neighbourhood consultation.	Generally they tend to be decided on fairly, but i havent closely followed recent decision making so I am not 100% sure.	Inian derxinate. More involvement with community liaison groups such as the Equality engagement with neighbourhood groups with issues like trees and par involvement across the age spectrum to include very old people who re to express their views or get out to public meetings or use the internet
Decisions that are made after consulting the people that they will affect & taking into account the yews, costs, feasibility and the effect on the environment and wildlife plus reviewing all options available & not necessarily choosing the cheapest but the best	I believe as an individual I have a right to give & have my opinion heard before decisions are made and that local businesses and trades are used wherever possible.	They have started to take on board that it is better to ask those who it effects before making decisions as it can avoid costly mistakes which then have to be rectified & upset residents and/or businesses.	I can't think of anything recently	Surveys like this are helpful but local advertised meetings for those wh savvy or prefer to talk to someone in person.
option. Using local trades wherever possible. Transparent and democratic processes, evidence-based decisions, accountability.	See above	Not very much, I think decisions aren't always made with the genuine interests of the whole city in mind.	I think that decisions are often made in response to individual councillors' views and priorities. I think there are a lot of egos at play and decisions can be made based on potential election outcomes. Also the fact that a large number of important decisions are made by a small group of people (leader and cabinet).	Less bureaucracy, more transparency.
Noticeable results improvements in services and day to day life for the people of Sheffield. prosperous businesses, no empty decaying premises, green spaces, and public venues- well maintained, offering various facilities all well used. Low business rates offering self employment opportunities for all. More small businesses opening in the city centre. good affordable transport links across the city and region.	openness. with all elected representatives involved.	Nothing!	It is not open. only cabinet have any opportunity to make decisions., Money is wasted on short term projects without long term goals. Partners- are not accountable for poor workmanship or failures it prefers to sell off assets for short term profit rather than looking at ways to use those assets for its own long term prosperity!	meetings in local communities- discussing topics that affect them the regularly fed into the central decision making . Similarly results and council wish to make should be fed back to the groups to be discusse
clean and attractive extates across the citv' When the people whom the decisions are effecting they should have fair representation by the councillors that they have voted for. All electorates should be represented meaning all councillors taking part in the decision making.	All decisions should involve all elected officials taking part in the process. That is what democracy is.	I don't like or agree with any of the current system of decision making. I believe the current system works on a closed system that is open to abuse & corruption.	Please see previous.	All elected councillors being involved & having a say. That way the people are represented. The council needs to remember they are public servants not dictators.
If my local councillor is not included what is the point in voting? Listening to the people it concerns or their rep (who should be listening), listening to experts, see what's happened elsewhere (including outside the UK), for examples of good practice, having a smooth system that incorporates the above smoothly, having groups of councillors who are tasked with specific categories of decision according to expertise if possible and systems of listening to experts or incorporating experts not just officers. Currently one person makes the decision and scrutiny committees have not overturned one decision which doesn't feel right to me. Involving more representation at decision making levels may be slower but feels more democragic and less autocratic. And if groups of citizens are helped to understand the processes and be involved from local level then there should be increased understanding of the problems of no money and making hard choices and fairness. Facilitates discussion at all levels and encouragement of local input as well as local understanding of the bigger picture. Transparency. GENUINE transparency. SPIRIT OF COOPERATION at all levels	different point of view. Not a whip system like the national system. Both national and local should be more Proportional rep to reflect more of the citizens views esp with austerity because we're all in this together. Adversarial politics doesn't belong in the town hall. Sorry may not be answering your question exactly but I want SCC to reflect the above in its decision making. Groups of councillors, regardless of party, who is cooperate to discussabd make decisions thru collective responsibility to Sheffield as a whole with the support of neutral experts and facilitators to avoid tribal wrangling and resultant bullying culture	Having watched from the balcony and read a bit about the secrecy and lack of citizen representation under a strong leader model I can't think of anything. If we're lucky and get a wise, knowledgeable, fair individual who takes the time to listen at all levels and ooooersted with others, in the portfolio role then it's quickel suppose but that's luck not a good system. A slower system is OK if we know the underlying principles are fair and inclusive and have listened at all levels and to in house and outside relevant expertise. Also important to u couple the decision making from SHORT TERMISM and the need to win the next election	work well as no scrutiny committee has ever changed policy. Personality, power	Citizen consultation and representation structures at local level. Some system developed for Sheffield rather than strong leader model. An et cooperation across parties and areas to involve all who represent us. T real listening and inform g systems. No tribalism ie whipping councillo leadership. Flatter hierarchy so all councillors involved proportionately making. Chance to discuss on basis of understand g of issues at all leve outside SCC but feeding into SCC Money spent on expertise not PR Ti spent on research g what works well elsewhere and acceptance that a system may rtake longer but is fairer
Open, transparent, involving all parties with elected members	That a narrowly focused and complacent cabal - the Labour Group at present-does not hold all the power.	Not much!	See previous. Current leadership believe they are electorally safe so don't need to listen to other wise counsel.	All Party involvement in a modern Committee system
Participants drawn from all councillors not just the cabinet and leader Bias 1 party led ignorance of the people of Sheffield. Everyone including the leader should be up for election when there are council elections	It needs to be transparent and open to scrutiny lam 56 and have lived in this city all my live as my grandparents and parents and my kids and grandchildren so it's a big part of my life and what goes on in this city	Nothing Nothing if your a labour supporter you probably be happy which is catering for the minority not the whole of the city if you were elected as a councillor you should have a say simple not just 6 people deciding for this city	Cabinet and strong leader model is not appropriate	Open access to committee meetings with all councillors represented Everyone having a say and the whole of the council being involved in on not just the few
Involvement of all parties, including those you may disagree with. Meaningful consultations.	That you involve all parties - there is no need for the system to be so confrontational, you are all supposed to be representing the citizen of Sheffield, all should have a meaningful voice otherwise large numbers of the population are just not represented.	Not much! It's secretive, confrontational and refuses to answer questions. Sometime I think they forget why they are elected	IT's secretive, confrontational, doesn't answer questions.	Involvement of all councillors
Cross party, open and transparent, honest and worthwhile public consultation.	Sheffield has a wealth of expertise and experience on all sorts of matters. If the public are included in the decision making process it would help to get things right.	Not a lot. Secrecy, dishonesty, party politics/loyalty, wrong priorities, scared of loosing votes through tough decisions.	See Q6 above.	Primarily honesty, transparency accountability. Legitimate public consultation. Cross party working. Expertise drawn from the Sheffield community.

cision making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
i .	Secrecy	
er has the right to state	To much decision making in the hands of too few people. It is not democratic.	
	Not picking a diverse range of people what're presents us the people living in Sheffield? and not abandon us oldies as it seems the norm,,	I have never asked any thing from the city council ,, and all my forebears have been the same and never felt like we would be listened to asking for help,, have never been in the town hall or cutlers hall, Sheffield is were iresidebut no longer my city,, I wish
right to access the most in cisions until there has been because that would stall ee used to publicise how iverts, supermarkets, town nts should be inclusive. Of e comments and cascade	Lack of transparency and need and risk assessment. Decisions should be apoliticaldoesn't happen at present I suspect.	vou all well
e Equality hubs, more es and parking, and more ple who may find it difficult e internet.	Too much emphasis on or domination by younger people such as under 16s, also avoiding isolation of any groups such as various ethnic/cultural groups or older people who must become involved and may need more awareness of how decision making is important for them too.	Cannot think of anything in this category.
those who are not internet	Decisions that have an adverse effect on the environment or wildlife and that could adversely affect local businesses & communities. Making decisions behind closed doors. A small number of people making decisions on behalf of the whole city.	More openness about how the decision was reached & the factors that were taken into consideration for the public to be able to access.
them then these being sults and changes the e discussed and voted on.	Bribery and corruption! Single minded empire building. Short term spending that does not effect long term improvement!	the elected councillors do not feed back information in a timely fashion.
dictators.	Yes make sure that everything is open & honest & everyone has a say.	
vel. Some sort of committee del. An ethos of esent us. Transparency and councillors to obey the ortionately in decision at all levels, onside and not PR Time and effort nce that a committe based	Avoid mot involving the national office of expertise (don't know the name) especially there to help think thru what system would work for Sheffield. Avoid leaving it to the last minute, sticking heads in the sand and rushing it to do a bad job. Avoid, tribal attitudes and tendency for those in pier to think thry know best. Avoid not being humble and not doing sufficient research and proper consultation. Avoid not working genuinely for the good of the city and its citizens rather than for the party. Avoid lies and pretence and lack of transparency to get things done	Please hurry with getting the national office of expertise properly involved and don't focus on keeping the old system in some form no matter what so as o stay in power. We need a fairer, more representative and involving of citizens system but the start g point seems to be to continue as before with denying the need for change and manipulating the decision making system to make sure it changes in in the way the current leadership stay in power and changes as little as possible. One person chosen by a leader to make big decisions, rather than a collective, is fundamentally wrong and open to abuse without sufficient checks and balances. Too many citizens are not properly involved in decision making through those they vote for. I say this from experience.
	Labour seeking to subvert petition sentiment and return to business as usual	
esented volved in decision making	Closed meetings, cabinet needs to go 1 party decision making	More grit bins near bungalows I live on Castledale and the roads are not gritted and the grit bins are up a hill where people who are disable cannot get there
	Sticking with the same system, letting any one party just runnig how they want and ignorring other councillors from other parties.	Very much doubt this consultation will be taken into account - little faith in this system or the council in how it currently operates.
	The closed shop 'mummy knows best' attitude.	A few months ago 1 household in Sheffield made up 20% of the cabinet.

Having increased scrutiny of decision making will allow for a broader view.

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
A committee of all part representatives and NOT the current strong leader model.	That's not in the hands of just the council leader and who they select to be on their committee. The leadership committee should take into account the wants and needs of local people. For example STAG and Sheffield open spaces group and it's Our City.	I don't like anything about the current system.	I don't like the strong leadership model and the way it's ruined the reputation of this great city.	The committee to be made up of representatives from all parties and community groups such as as STAG and community interest groups.	Yes. The current string leadership model.	Yes. Planning committees don't allow for the enforcement of any planning conditions after planning permission has been granted. Power is left in the hands of the Planning Officer and in my experience the planning officer don't enforce conditions. Planning applications don't take on board what local people want with regards to planning applicants.
Fair treatment for all, support for the vulnerable.		Nothing		Transparent housing policy, let residents be involved with warehousing & planning new	Putting blocks on building new council home	Planning officers appear to work for the applicant or their agents
	build more disability friendly council properties in the S8 region, more bungalows or adapted houses. Stop the 3 month wait for assessment for housing needs.		then lose your priority, stop forcing priority bidders to look citywide, allow them to choose where to live. Make it easier for council residents to get somewhere to move to, stop ignoring medical evidence that homes are unsuitable for residents. Stop putting families with children in flats.	homes. Actually build new homes to replace stock sold under right to buy.		
Good decision making is informed by a range of views, most importantly the views of citizens. Decisions need to take into account the various views from across the city, which is best communicated by local Councillors.	Decisions need to fully accountable and transparent, so that citizens can have confidence in the Council's governance.		While the strong-leader model may streamline decision making it does so at the expense of accountability and full representation of diverse views. This is anti- democratic.	I would like the views of all citizens to brought to bear on decision making in the city. The best way to do this to involve all Councillors in the process, rather than a handful of potentially unrepresentative voices.		
One where people have looked at, and asked for, evidence and have been open and transparent about decision making process. Inclusive	Currently, the process is not inclusive but can be very secretive behind closed doors. Decisions are made by a few elite who don't seem to answer to anyone.	l don't	Expert witnesses are ignored. Decisions are being made with hidden agendas	Transparency Inclusion Use of expert witnesses Enable people/public to ask questions or question decisions	Secrecy	The council need to start working as a more open council. Move away from the cabinet where a select few are making decisions for how many. Makes the whole voting for your councillor redundant if your representative isn't allowed a voice
Serving the population of Sheffield	At this time whatever is important for the taxpayers is not being ctaken into consideration Open and transparent	Published on the website	Tree felling. Lack of facilities in the city centre. Atrocious roads. Poor bus services. Cuts in maintaining parks. very long papers with confusing/official language	Letting citizens know what is happening before it actually happens More political input to keep with principals of controlling party	Riding roughshod over people's opinions	There is no point as the council will do what they want regardless
everyday language to know who has made the decision what to do if you disagree	adhere to good values is challenging inequality across the city Clear language about what decisions mean opportunity and way to review and amend		not enough time for discussion from informed participants not enough explanation about how a decision can be challenged or reviewed	Why something can't happen ie advice from legal, national government or commercial services Greater emphasis on reducing inequalities across the city and this to direct decision		
people impacted on are consulted why decision was made	clear as to what is officer input and where the political/councillor input is relevent		Commercial Services are very influential which may not be in keeping with political priorities	making Political commentary on officers decisions/recommendations Clear process including review or challenges to any decision made		
When all interested parties are consulted and listened to.Only then when all information is considered by the full City Council and then any decisions are to be made by the executive cabinet with the final decision made by the Leader.		As now	N/a	N/a	Make sure the person making the decision is fully qualified	
Transparent, accountable and clear decision making, taking into account the views of key stakeholders. Clear mechanism to challenge decisions, and for all city residents and businesses to feel they have a voice.	I would like to feel that my views are somenow represented in the council, and that my local councillors can and do play an active role in local democracy.		The lack of transparency and feeling the decision has simply been made by a small few, all of the same political party, without consideration of wider city impacts of those decisions.	A charl/read role for each council business area with a committee of mixed cirr representatives, working towards broad goals for the city, working alongside council officers.		Transparency will be key and involvement of a wider representation across the city, ideally with opportunities for all councillors to be involved in council business (otherwise what's the point of us electing them). There feels like a small few have lead in decision making for the city, not always well
I'd like to see a balance of political party involvement to reflect the voting across the city, but for decision making to be collaborative in the best interests of the city rather than in the best interests in a political party.						thought through or with good end results.
I would like the effective mechanisms for making collective decisions, under an umbrella of shared values and high level aims, agreed for the city at a whole.	That I can ask any party member whether in office or not on how certain decisions were	Finale minded and bios with an energy to Marines	Bias and secretive	Open Transparent and cross party	Single party politics	Why would a Council be stubborn about keeping a
Open, Transport and welcomes scrutiny from members of public and opposition members whick decision making on how our money is spent does not correlate to well thought of a consensus decision making. Growth and investment needs to be balanced with protecting our Environment and Heritage, which cannot be replaced as easily as beyond mortar.	That can ask any party memoer wireleven in other not on not on now certain decisions were reached, especially where entrenched and single minded ideas seem to dominate. Labour are not representative City wide and must collaborate cross party.	Single inititied and bios, with no regard to Peritage	bias aliù secretive	Open Transparent and Cruss party	Single party politics	system that was never voted for in the first place, and one that most Sheffield residents were unaware of when asked.
It involves full discussion, full access to the facts of the case, clear involvement of interested parties such as local residents and people who may be affected by the decision. It needs openness as to who makes the decision, why it was made, who will benefit and who will be disadvantaged.	decisions made relating to their area, in consultation with local people. Different wards should not be 'played off' against each other - the city itself is at the heart of this.	I'm not happy with the way SCC makes decisions.	Decisions are not democratic, they do not take into account or fully consult local people, SCC often appears unaccountable when a decision has been made. Discussion, outside of a small group seems limited, and from what I have observed, there is little debate.	involvement of all representatives proportional representation on committees reflecting votes cast, not majorities proper full debate input from local people and local councillors on local issues input from independent experts, and a process of accountability and explanation should		
	Party representation on each committee needs to be proportional to votes cast in the city and not proportional to numbers of Councillors elected. Decisions should involve independent experts on the subject under discussion.		There seems to be little or no independent decision making, and the party holding the most seats in over-represented, when this should be proportional to votes cast to truly represent the people of the city.		Over-representation of one party or one interest group on committees. Lack of local representation.	
Accountable to the electorate. Representation from all elected members not just the Cabinet. Transparency of decision making. Clear and open records. Excellent, understandable, recorded decision making process. No 'vanity' projects.	That the Council listens to public opinions and objections and takes them into consideration. What generally happens is that a decision is made then there is nothing that the public or other Councillors can do to change it. Having a proposal or options and then receiving feedback on it then adapting it makes more sense.	Very little, you've generally failed us. Consultations have been just for show, they don't change anything.	Not enough actual listening. More taking the hard line even when you are wrong,	I would like to go back to a Committee system with all Party representation. To include/develop a politically and community balanced Citizens Assembly.	Doing something in name only but with the old ethos. I'm in charge, what I say goes!!	
Clear, publicly scruitable, democratic, evidence based.	Planning needs an overhaul as too many student flats and skyscrapers and not enough family livine. I vote for local councillors and I expect that decisions made by the council will be made by committees made up of these councillors, not by a few as is the case in the strong		It is un democratic and is the voice of the few not the many	A committee based structure where decisions are made by groups of councillors	The strong leader model	
Where all counsellors have an opportunity to contribute rather than a small clique	leader model. The decision making process is vital to ensure the city makes the best of its opportunities	Nothing	Everything	Not the strong leader model	The current model	No
Clear and open. Opportunity for all interested parties to have a say in the process - unlike SCC 'consultations' which generally begin with 'we have decided that we're going to do this thing' and give no options for actual consultation or input on what the community needs (which is often something completely different to the decision SCC has already made).	The strong leader model makes it pointless to elect many councillors - unless they belong to the Labour 'cabal' of leaders they have little say in the decision making	Nothing. I've sat and watched certain cabinet councillors do their Amazon shopping while 'taking part' in public meetings. They don't care as they know decisions have already been made, the tree scrutiny committees were a joke!	They make all decisions behind closed doors, there is no actual scrutiny of their decisions.	A committee system where all elected councillors have a say, and a system that is open to scrutiny from all interested parties who can bring information to the table and have it considered.	model. Stop holding power in so few hands and pretending to consult when it's obvious decisions have already been made. Stop wasting money on trying to maintain the status quo and defending stupid decisions	
Transparent and effectively made via good governance. I think there are good videos on this matter by Ray Dalio. Also think it could use Bitcoin or other technologies related to cryptocurrency	capa. It is important that it is strong and stable, while being fair and for the many not the few. I believe this could be done by using Bitcoin or other technologies related to cryptocurrencies	I like that the Council meetings are streamed live and available for people to view. Also like that these meetings are open to those who can attend. I think those who could not attend would benefit from the ability to give their views, perhaps via a blockchain		I think there should be more cross party working. The use of Bitcoin could help, as could other technologies related to cryptocurrencies	from the nast. I would like to avoid any overly bureaucratic system, or anything else outdated.	I think that the decision making process should make greater use of subject matter experts, instead of putting these to cabinet members who were only elected to represent a ward not a portfolio. What also could help is the use of Bitcoin or other technologies related to cryptocurrencies
Rigorous, evidence based, depoliticised	Need not to lose sight of strategy designed to benefit all Sheffield by becoming lost in personal projects. Need strength to say sorry.	Not a lot, time consuming and seems to become bogged down in personal politics. Lots of challenge but not at the right stage. This is of course based on media representation.	Long winded and lacking representation	More decisive and transparent	Concentration of power in hands of few	
Takes into consideration many viewpoints and makes a clear, open and transparent decision in the best interests of the majority of stakeholders. Is willing, in the face of new evidence, to review and amend a decision that has previously been made if it is no longer in the best interests of the majority of its stakeholders.	That it seeks input from a wide variety of stakeholders groups for decisions and remains unbiased from commercial pressure to put profit before the communities in Sheffield.		The small number of councillors who are actually involved in the final decision making process. The apparent inability to review previous decisions in light of new evidence. The apparent lack of accountability / inability to accept any wrongdoing for past decisions which in hindsight had a negative impact on local communities.	Committee based decision making. No single "strong leader".	A single strong leader model	
Good decision making should never be party politically motivated, but consider the needs of all of the city's residents. Decisions made should be transparent and accountable, properly debated across the whole council or in committee, then presented to and voted on by whole council. Decisions should not be made behind closed doors, purely to then be rubber stamped at a cabinet meetine.		At the moment, nothing.	74 councillors have little say in final decisions, and those from opposition have none. Decisions are made by cabinet and not cross party committees. They therefore don't represent a great swathe of the residents of Sheffield. There seems to be very little public consultation on most issues before decisions are made by the cabinet.	Transparency on how individual decisions are arrived at Consideration of the needs of all Sheffield residents in decision making, not just those in the wards of certain councillors (ice the cabinet) Consider voices of all councillors from all wards and all parties Public involvement in decision making Decisions not made in secret then rubber stamped by a cabal.	Yes. Avoid a cabinet of 10 that takes no interest in the majority of citizens, and especially those from wards where the ruling party have fewer or no councillors.	I feel unrepresented and not listened to, in a ward with no councillors in the cabinet.

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
It is well-informed, debated and democratically voted on by all representatives for Sheffield in a timely and thoughtful manner. Once actions are taken, open and candid explanations of the choices made are given, with clear communication of the ways in which parties have voted on decisions made to ensure councillors represent the views of their constituents.	It must be honest, fair and representative of the views of all districts in Sheffield.	Meh.	That 10 councillors have formal power to make decisions out of the 84 voted for across Sheffield!	All 84 representatives should be given the opportunity to voice and vote on council decisions. Their stances on these topics should be publically recorded and shared.	Yes - the bottlenecking of formal powers!	
their constituents. Where debate, consensus and decision making takes place where all political parties are involved. Where a democratic vote is taken for a decision to be brought.	That the decisions are taken democratically by a large number of participants which represent more fully our community than the present strong leader model.	Nothing	It is undemocratic decision making for me and not truly representative of the view of all our representative councillors .	 A transparent and openness of decision making processes. A vote for every councillor on each decision. A people's assembly representatives participating in council decision making. 	A repeat of the features of the strong leader model structure.	The decision making process should always be a public event so that decion making can be witnessed and never behind closed doors. the strong leader model is not sufficiently representative of all political parties and therefore not fully representative of our city. The leader of the council holds too much power
Decision making that takes account of all views and weights the views by the number of people holding them	That it gives all elected representatives the opportunity to represent their area	Not a lot	The way most elected representatives are excluded from decision making	A system that gives more councillors the opportunity to participate in decision making	A cabinet style system that excludes most elected representatives	No
Folly informed and understanding demonstrated by stakeholders affected by and / or influencing decision. Stakeholders well represented and heard. -Openly communicated in advance and input actively sought from those stakeholders in good time.	-Fully informed and understanding demonstrated by stakeholders affected by and / or influencing decision. Stakeholders well represented and heard. -Openly communicated in advance and input actively sought from those stakeholders in good time.	Not a lot. Filming of full council has helped openness and accessibility a little.	Trust is expected by the council from residents, and seems to justify doing things behind closed doors with little consultation or justification. When things go wrong, there seems to be a strong resistance to admission of problems which inhibits the council's ability to correct or mitigate those problems.	-Fully informed and understanding demonstrated by stakeholders affected by and / or influencing decision. Stakeholders well represented and heard. -Openly communicated in advance and input actively sought from those stakeholders in evond time	Lack of consultation. Expectation of trust.	
 Outcome along with justification and mitigations for risks and concerns promptly and openly communicated. Closely followed by draft implementation plan for consultation. Impact monitoring post implementation where appropriate so that mistakes can be 	 Outcome along with justification and mitigations for risks and concerns promptly and openly communicated. Closely followed by draft implementation plan for consultation. Impact monitoring post implementation where appropriate so that mistakes can be recognised and learnt from, problems fixed, better future decision making and apologies made where relevant. 		producing which mining the council's dointy to context of mitigate those producing		change decisions or refine them.	
A politically diverse group deciding on the best solution to problems	That a self selecting and clique led by one person who cannot possibly understand all	SCC doesn't the cabinet does.	SCC doesn't make decisions the cabinet does.	That a diverse selection of our councillors are involved brining a range of ideas to the	Cabinet	
To put real climate change and sustainability considerations in to all decisions To take advice of reputable experts To admit when a mistake is made and move to rectify it To answer openly when questioned To consult where necessary in a proper manner To pay councillors a reasonable wage so they can devote themselves to the job To scrutinise and monitor work done and hold companies accountable To use the knowledge of all councillors not make decisions among just 10 of one party To have a proper committee time	the complexities of our city can't screw it all up again That they are sustainable decisions best for the people, not making the errors below. I would like my trust in the police and the council to be restored.	Absolutely nothing. I have come to the conclusion that there is no democracy in our city. The council also appear to be able to use the police to enforce their poor decisions and to protect a global corporate organisations profits. They have not monitored or scrutinised work to ensure quality. Their actions have made me consider moving. A complete loss of belief in a democracy when they won't engage with people making a rational argument. Putting out lies about violent behaviour on the media and to the police. Using to police for eg about need for trees to come down on Rustling Road, and the need for a dawn raid. The councillors involved just continue. No accountability. No compensation for the loss of green assets and my physical and mental health as I mourn the tree opposite my house every day. I no longer have any faith in the local police force. As a law abiding citizen with a son as a policeman, I am suspicious of SYP.	See above.	table. Se comments above about paying councillors and using them properly.	Yes. There should not be a cabinet of 10 which takes all decisions meaning rest of councillors largely redundant and impotent.	
A group of people who all get to feed in their different views and make a joint decision. Needs to be a well-informed process. Win-win outcomes are the best goal to aim for,	I have been shocked by the Cabinet model in use at SCC. When councillors have been elected democratically, they should all have a voice in decision-making, not only a	I do not like the cabinet model.	I do not like the cabinet model. All councillors should be able to vote on council decisions.	Not using a cabinet model. Instead, giving an effective voice to all councillors and allowing them all to vote on decisions. That way I will feel my own voice is part of the	It needs to be fully and widely consulted. It should aim for win-win decisions so this	Proper training for councillors in win-win decision- making.
which can take some time and lots of discussion. A democrate ecision should include all of our elected representatives, this is why we voted.	handful of people. The Strong Leadership role does not represent me or my chosen Councillor .	Nothing	It is i Democratic.	decision-making via my councillors. Abolish the Cabinet and allow a fair vote on all issues.	should be built in to the process. Powerful individuals making decisions for the whole City, and ignoring their own Council debates and strongly felt opinions. This has to stop. Local politics cannot be sidelined any more. Too many citizens are becoming vocal and energised. Now perhaps we can live down the world wide view of Sheffield as "Stump City"	
All councillors to have a say in decision making Cross-party working Involvement of local groups	A MODERN committee system, based o the needs of Sheffield, would be more democratic than the present Strong Leader model.	Not happy at all with the present system - undemocratic, ignoring the views of most councillors, not consulting outside experts, not consulting local community groups,	,	Already answered this	Yes - avoid present sytem of Strong Leader model.	
Advice from experts Open, accountable and evidence based. Anything except the current strong leader model would be better. I would like the local councillors to actual discuss, amend and fully participate in developing council strategies and policies rather than just being there to attend civic functions and rubber stamp any warped, misjudged or self interested decision the "strong leader" and their handful of cronies decide. We have tens if not hundreds of councillors who should all participate actively in decision making. Strong leadership and cabinet government is intrinsically	See above. The current system is undemocratic, evades meaningful scrutiny, and can potentially lead to abuse of power and or corruption. To be clear I am not saying this is the case, but is a flaw of how SCC operates now. Decision making needs to be public and one to public scrutiny	decision in the hands of the cabinet members. I hate it, I think it is flawed and costs the council tax payers money. In some instances wasted money.	Made in secret by small group of individuals. See above, but the strong leader model has patently led to, long expensive pfi contracts for highways maintenance, unnecessary legal bills for council tax payers, lying, blaming others for the wrongs caused by SCC policies. SCC as currently governed is a law to itself, has no accounting and is not fit for purpose	More cooperation and less party politics. No vested interests of corporations. Open governance, decisions taken by all elected (not just rubber stamped at full council) all decisions taken publicly and not in secret cabinet	Secrecy and withholding of evidence. No, the present system has to change and if the present leadership is unwilling or unable to change, they need to stand aside and new leaders elected	
undemocratic and should be replaced by larger committees from all council membership and real whole council decision making.						
Evidenced based and totally transparent. Reasoning for policy decisions should be published in full on the Council website for all citizens to view. Citizens should be consulted very early in decision making processes which affect them.	The Sheffield Tree saga affected the mental health of both my parents significantly. If SCC had conducted themselves properly this whole mess could have been avoided.			Full transparency. All evidence contributing to a decision being made public. Councillors being legally required to declare all conflicts of interest.		
All nominated representatives having equal voice. Decisions made by the many not the few. Decisions are the best for the city not a few individuals	Sheffield has fallen so far behind other cities due to a small group of decision makers errors of judgement. To think that once it was on a par with Leeds! A wider more democratic decisions are needed to stop the city slumping into a further state of decline.	Nothing	Decisions made by a small bunch of narrow minded and outdated group thinkers who are ruining the city.	Remove the strong leader model, all local representatives to be included in decisions and debates. More public consultation and more transparency	Current model	
A mandated committee, informed by expert opinion, acting swiftly to implement policy decisions taken by a majority of elected representatives.	Transparency; accountability; responsibility.	I'm not sure I do like the way decisions are made at the moment.	The lack of transparency with executive power in hands of small committee.	Wider consultation among interested and concerned parties to inform the decision making process	Obfuscation, lack of clarity. I'm not entirely against executive powers in the hands of smaller groups for ease of delivery but procedures and decisions and must be	no
started. Some really disappointing architectural styled high rise buildings that are a scar rather than an enhancement to the Sheffield skyline, these projects should surely be open	These Councillors should have a bigger say in the decision making process. This will move the very narrow thinking of a small group of Councillors who are making decisions	Nothing	Not democratic, too narrow in its thinking and even with a strong leadership model which presumably is to enable a quicker decision making process possible, decisions and actions are taken at a pedestrian pace.	More democracy, less power in a select few's hands.	visible and open to scrutinv. Anything that looks similar to the current set up.	
to public scrutiny as it affects all Sheffield residents. Listening to what people want and open to everyone not just a select few.	That the current system of decision making is changed to benefit the good of everyone not just the chosen few.	Nothing	Too much outsourcing, no responsibility to anyone when things go wrong. Money wasted on poor decision making.	More openness, no lies, more transparency, a new council leader and more notice taken of elected Councillors.	Too few people making decisions.	
One that takes into account the views of councillors from all political parties and tries to produce a well balanced plan of action which considers the effect on the environment as well as the benefits to residents.	It is important that pros & cons are carefully weighed up by committees, not	Nothing	Because they ignore the views of the councillors who aren't in the cabinet.	I would like to see decisions made by a committee of councillors with representatives from the various political parties not just the party with a majority.	Failure to consider the effect on the environment - a climate emergency had been announced but no action taken.	Residents are amazed to hear that when a councillor is elected they have so little power if they are not part of the cabinet . Cabinet members appear overworked and are
Based on facts, good business sense, using expert opinion	Democratic, transparent, accountable, for the benefit of all Sheffield not just Labour voters	Nothing	Made by a small group of councillors not representative of the people of the city	Constituency representation, a voice for all, and more competent people in charge of the council	What we have now!	unable to answer points raised by residents.
Consultation with relevant experts Genuine consultation with public Cross party cooperation	Genuine public consultation before irreversible decisions made.	???	Little evidence of consultation of relevant experts.	People's Assemblies Cross-party cooperation	Lack of transparency	
Transparency Transparent, accountable and relatively speedily made.	It's clear who makes the decisions. It's clear how these decisions are held to account. I can lobby the council to hold decision makers to account. I'm clear about the process. Scrutiny is accountable and effective	Not sureit appears like it's North Korea. The current culture does a disservice to effective and transparent governance. However, returning to commitees is not the remedy to a sick culture of governance.	Trees- too much willy waving (from both sides) to the extent it became a dialogue of the deaf.	Citizens assemblies Properly rsourced governance (scrutiny) support Politics is essentially dysfunctional, Need to pause and reset.	Don't go back to committees. Wrong solution to governance problem.	
A decision made by a group of elected councillors representing all of the city electorate - N,S,e and W - and all political complexions.	Open, democratic.	It has been secretive, obstinate, and bullying	See above.	Not the above. See	See above	It is very simple, democratic and representative.

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Made for the good of the whole population not just a section of it while keeping costs	Time scales, money, finished projects that deliver what they were meant to	Dont know	Not enough public scrutiny and consultation	More involvement with the public	Wasted time and money	Thanks for asking
controlled and timeframes reasonable. Decision makers listening to experts and the people who the decision will affect and then				That my representative has a say in decisions that affect me.		
taking a democratic vote on the decision. Dialogue, transparency, providing facts based on knowledge, sharing information in a a proper democratic process (this is not yes or no, in or out, left or right!).	and local communities. Long term thinking and deciding strategies to benefit all people. These pocket regeneration schemes that push people who are poor and in need of mental health support to other areas in the city, until there is another pocket regeneration scheme to doing there out parts these doing me and the process of the scheme to doing there out parts there doing me and the scheme to doing there are scheme to the scheme to	Just not joined up. We need to invest in good schemes that build communities for the whole that community. Have a look at Goutte d'Or in Paris	Already said. It's not joined up.	Places for people who need places that offer cooking facilities. Sheffield claims to be green - make it green. Grow food in public spaces and share it. Build a new commons. Be the first city to do things. Be brave. Be different and be true to what you claim to be.		
Democratic. Legal and I t the interests and care of people	drive them out again, these drive me mad. Their practice is focus ting to date. Lawful decisions with democratic voting and input.	Absolutely nothing. They are an utter disgrace. Our family have experienced so much bad treatment, no respect and so my lies with unlawful processes. Shameful.	Nothing	See all above comments re honesty, lawfulness and democratic processes.	Breaking the law that's meant to prove the public and provide necessary services to the most vulnerable in Sheffield	Possibly
	Stop box ticking by creating the odd panel to pretend the public were 'consulted' with.					
Issues are discussed, recommendations voted on by whole council.	Decisions will never be made in the interest of the most vulnerable More public awareness of what council are planning and what issues are being dealt with.	Don't! Wasn't aware that only a small number of the council actually have a say on what goes on. They should be ashamed of the way they implemented the removal of trees - getting people out of bed at 02:00 in the middle of the week, and requesting them to make cars. Appalling. Local bus services are unreliable ("cosy" little arrangement with First). Student Games. How much did they cost us?	Issues not decided by full council, only small minority	Openess, accountability.	I'm sure there must be things to avoid but that is not within my knowledge.	Abide by referendum in May!
All points of view being taken into consideration	More inclusion of all people	Get the impression. Sheffield council is all about prestige, not reality. Noting it's totally un democratic	The way it's run	Public services	No	The petition is valid & meets the criteria
Then a decision made democratically	Not for the few, but for more people to be involved SCC has a reputation for not listening to the tax payer or the public as s whole, just there		The inadequacy of the leadership and the un democratic way decisions are made	Spending & collection of council tax Housing		But the way the council is handling this proves their
	own little click of yes men			Public safety		thirst for power is above the objective of the people of the city and the democratic system
A democratic process which is transparent and honest. No secrecy and not power in the hands of a minority.	The long term impact of a process or decision should be considered not just an immediate balance of figures.	Not a lot. Everything is a battle often involving legal fees and expense to fight decisions made by a distinct minority who clearly think and behave like they can do what they want.	It is not a real democratic process. The power is clearly in the hands of a few71 believe at last count!	As mentioned before a transparent and democratic process where decisions are made that reflect the needs and wants of the city, nurturing our environment, the health of the people within the city.		The city is known as a green city - keep it that way. Retain all the world renown health benefits of keeping our city green.
	The impact on the environment and the long term health impact of the people living in Sheffield should be a priority.			A democratic process that links and coordinates all departments.	° , ,	Retain our mature and healthy trees, maintain and
	A joined up approach not department by department e.g the overall environmental impact on health should and the costs be fought against social care. There should be a joined up approach in terms of allocation of funds.			Not power heavy.	doing what they like and apparently exempt	nurture them. Keep the sheffield citizens healthy by minimising pollution. Stop trying to replace natural flood barriers and with concrete!
	White elephant investments need to stopped.				from responsibility and consequences.	Listen to the people of Sheffield when they so "no stop" don't carry on regardless with intimidation and
	Gaining foreign external investment should be transparent to the people of Sheffield. The people of sheffield should be able to have a fair say through a democratic process if key historical buildings or green areas areas are to be sold to foreign investors and be told why.					ignoring, wasting tax payers money eg through court and legal battles, setting up panels whose decisions are then ignored! Listen, co operate and work with the voices of the people of Sheffield. Respect the green spaces and parks gifted to the city, stop trying
	The council need to keep their hands off local parks that have been given to the people of Sheffield to enjoy. They are not to be used nor were they gifted as a commodity to be sold off and built on.					to take what isn't the Councils to take and wasting money again through legal battles to abuse loop holes for the council benefit to sell or obliterate these much needed spaces.
Local Pecce hould be involved in local decision making. Like planning enviroment						Perhaps stop building on flood plains without the necessary preventative means on the sites.
Bring baccommunity Assemblies. Helpvuscwork eoth our local councillors. Teach politics in school	Local People should have more say.	I dont 10 people making decision when there are 85 councillors. That's not democracy. At least 2/3 of local councillors have no say. So what is the point. Leading parties control everything. Committees would mean they had to work cross party	Nothing Its undemocratic	Local decision should be made by local councillors and local people. Not 10 people who have never even asked us what we want	Go back to Go back to Housing committees Finance Highways Planning Education Children so ial care Adult Social care Enviroment Make it simple not complicated. And so on Make these committees invite local people when it involves them. But to get people engaged you need to teach politics in schools.	Be open and transparent Make it local give the people back the power and stop thinking you know what's best from your ivory tower
Where the views of ALL are given equal weight and treated with respect. If (for example) 100 people are elected by the public to serve their interests , concerns and	Fair and equal representation.	Not a lot	No fair representation. No transparency.	Fair representation, if there are 100 councillors they ALL get an equal vote. We, the public, have chosen them to represent us so LET THEM REPRESENT US!	City-wide decisions not being made by everyone elected.	
wishes, then the views of ALL 100 are important. By giving power to just 10 people, just 10% of those elected, the views, wishes and needs of the other 90% of the population are being ignored or sidelined.	Consultations carried out BEFORE decisions have been made, with the results of		It seems to do what it wants to regardless of the wishes of the population, often appearing to favour large businesses and organisations over the public. Apparent favouritism shown to certain (often wealthier) areas of the city.	TOTAL transparency - you are working FOR US and should NEVER be hiding things from us.	Conflict of interest. Council ties with big business - their interest is profit, yours should be the best interests	
Where decisions are made, the reasons for those decisions need to be fully transparent and available to the population. There shouldn't ever be any contracts signed that are not truly transparent and available - that any of these should be hidden suggests something is built index the the DECIMPTENT between the transparent and the DECIMPTENT.			An apparent unwillingness to address certain problems in the city. And finally, but possibly most importantly, you LIE to us. (AMEY tree contract? you LIED! You got found out. How many other things have you lied about that haven't been discovered? Stop wondering why people don't trust you)		Hiding what you're doing with OUR money.	
being done that is AGAINST the best interests of the city, or AGAINST the will of the majority of the population.				A spotlight on conflict of interest. For example if a councillor has ties to a large building company, they should not be sitting on a panel looking at planning applications.	LYING TO THE POPULATION.	
Listening to what people want and deciding how best to implement it. Not just thinking you know what people want Decisions made after hearing all sides and discussion	It's important for all areas to be listened as they all have different needs and requirements. One area may need safer roads, and crossings, where another may need help with tackling anti social behaviour That all points of view are heard and respected		You only listen to certain areas of Sheffield and not all areas of Sheffield Citizens are not listened too and belittled	Going out to all areas of the city and asking them what is important to them	The leader of the council forcing decisions	Not really. I just think we are a big city and we all have opinions. Everyones opinion matters no matter how small their issue
All stakeholders, and very open. Keep decisions, costs, timescales etc open to see to	That is pointed of view are neared and respected	Er, seems sensible. But opaque.	Can't tell why or how, and double down on mistakes like mad.	Open and less buerocratic	Party lines	
encourage sensible decisions and listening to feedback. evidence based costed	That I can see winy and now Where achievable, should have some cross party support - or at least the parties have had a public say	Er, seems sensible. But opaque. Nothing	Can't tell why or now, and double down on mistakes like mad. Too little consultation with the majority of councillors	Open and less buerocrauc Publicising the number of councillors voting		
does not require wholesale reorganisation of council services Listening to many views and making an informed decision Involving as many democratically elected officials in the process of making decisions as possible	That they listen Local councillor for the area should be involved and not dependent on party politics	Not a lot. It seems to be in the hands of a few not the many	Not sure they listen. They give to much control to a select few people	Openness and accountability A more involved committee based system	Too much power being in too few hands	
Shared responsibility Every Councillor has input and a vote	It needs to be open, public and accountable We use all the information that all the council brings to the table	Very little Not a thing	Secret cabinet meetings Not enough input from all the elected members	Open committee structure A mixture of all elected members on working groups	Secrecy Having a close shop like it is at the moment	Asking the public to have input so long as it does not take funds from the budget
Involving people Genuinely consulting	Involving peop	Nothing	Centralised Hidden	Open Democratic		
Getting as many views as possible		Not much	Non democratic Very blinkered	Lots of meaningful debate		

	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Every councillor has a equal right to vote/ influence decisions made by the council on our It	It is not democratic it needs to be open to review and inspection , one person 1 vote	None at the moment	Not democratic and not epresentative of the views of individuals	A democratic one person one vote	The same as now	
behalf Every one having equal chance to speak or vote G	Getting it right and listening to people	Nothing	Everything	More openess	Stop putting personal feelings forward	10 decision makers out of 84 just doesn't make any sense at all.If they want to keep it at 10, then only 10
	a fair representation of all parties involved and a broad and fair decision making process.	none.	not a representative decision making quorum.	inclusion of all affected parties and involvement of all elected officials.	needs to avoid excessive red tape. avoid slim	councillors should be voted on
	Share all the decisions and conclude with a consensus.i don't know enough about it to	See above	I understand that not all councillors can make valid votes and decisions are made	Equal value to all councillors	majority decisions. Yes - cliques. Councillors need to vote as	
	criticise but I hear that not all opinions are valued. Stop taking decisions on a political basis that prejudices other parts of the city.	Very politically predudiced.	by just a few of them. Take more account of all areas of the city	More wide ranging view of needs of the city	individuals Single party decision making	
Properly accountable democracy where ALL elected representatives participate in the fedsion making process. Not a "kitchen cabinet" of a minority which pushes through its own ideas not necessarily to the benefit of the populace of this city.	Full participation in a proper committee system.	Very little	Too much use of outside bodies, like management consultants. A waste of money at best, corrupt at worst.	See above	Stop wasting time and money on consultants. The council employs officers to provide guidance and expertise. Use them.	No more outsourcing of council services. It's wrong in principle and it always winds up costing more!
					Councillors should make more of an effort to speak to their constituents.	
	My council member should represent their ward and make decisions based on their knowledge of the circumstances and needs of their ward.	Nothing. Sheffield council has been making terrible decisions for years and things need to change.	The strong leader system is in democratic	Full and open decision making involving all elected representatives	Doing things the way they've been done in the past. Things need to change.	
It should include a wide variety of inputs, representing views from across the city and Ti voiced and decided by councillors of all parties.		We are fortunate to have strong representation from Lib Dem and Green councillors who are striving to keep the incumbents honest and open.	The incumbent Labour councillors have been shown to be acting against the interests of the city on various occasions. The "strong leader" model enables this so must be scrapped to ensure democratic accountability and representation.	A return to proper committee structures with expert and resident representation as required.	The existing structures must be removed completely.	
decisions to ruin the city's trees, lie to citizens and then chase those who challenge you p	A history of terrible decision making means more accountability and change is needed. Decisions that are well thought through and in the best interest of the people is paramount, not decisions that best serve the Labour council and incumbent councillors interests.		or challenge. The Labour party has been far too influential for too long in Sheffield. Supertram, the world student games, losing businesses to Leeds, the trees, trips to China. Something must change or the city will continue to lag behind other	Multi party debate. More people with a say on decisions. More transparency. Not chasing protestors who don't like decisions through the courts like the Stasi. Where required, referendums locally. I hate referendums, but the Labour council have shown repeatedly they cannot be trusted to make good decisions. Therefore any change would be better than what they have been doing for the last forty years. This must be the end of the people's Republic of Sheffield	If we are arresting and pursuing citizens who	Time for a change. History of poor decisions cannot keep repeating itself or Sheffield will just stay leagues behind our nearest city rivals who have all the white collar companies that were chased out of Sheffield by the Labour council
Making the right choice for the many - taking into account fair outcomes for vulnerable Ti sections of society. Involving local people wherever possible.	They need to be easily held accountable.	Sheffield City Council appears to have strong principles of fairness, cohesion etc	I am not sure how to hold the council accountable for decisions.	Local forums		
All the elected councillors having an equal say in open decision making as opposed to the Si select few making decisions behind closed doors. (F u P	(Harrow and Warwickshire very recently) where a council have been so universally unpopular. The tree felling debacle is a prime example of not listening where pensioners have been arrested. What a terrible situation . I understand the felling was	Not much so far. I like the emails keeping us informed.	I believe that the councillors generally have no power except for a small number of cabinet members selected exclusively by the council leader.	Committees of councillors with expertise and or training in relevant areas given the power to make decisions that affect the lives of ordinary people.	Making sure all councillors are given a vote in decision making	All councillors to get votes on decisions. We elect them to represent us and so they need to follow through!
	nossibly also illeeal! We live in a Democracy, decisions should be made by all elected members	Don't like unless everyone has their say and vote	Decisions made by too few council members	All elected members should have a say on decision making	Avoid the present anti democratic way the Council makes decisions at the moment	No
	I'm a lifelong resident of Sheffield, I vote in every election and would like to know my			Committee style		
	vote matters It is democratic so all elected officials have an equal part to play.	Not much.	One person makes all the decisions for the city. This person has not been voted for by the majority of the electorate. Too much power to one person It's not	All elected officials have a chance to vote on decisions being made.	Don't give all the power tone person. That is not democracy.	
Taking a low to far ange of views - compromise Ti Focused sidents' quality of life	That it takes account for a range of views and focuses on residents' quality of life	Nothing	democratic. That only a few people are involved in the decision making, often from a single political party meaning they do not fully represent the range of views of all residents in the city.	Councillors involved from a range of political parties Workload spread more among councillors Openness, with evidence for decisions/recommendations Opportunity to debate and refine	Single party involved in decisions Decisions made behind doors, with lack of clarity for reasons	
	That all councillors are equal and have an equal say; that all external views are heard with appropriate expert input.	Nothing	Major decisions being made by a select committee = a republic!	All elected councillors should vote on all decisions. Public/expert opinion should be sought.	Failure to allow sufficient time for consultations	No
Those where a range of people are involved and the electorate are listened to. Ie trees It being cut down,contracts with amey	It is democratic and listens	Nothing whilst a Labour supporter nothing about the decision making reflects the message of the party	It ignores the community isn't accountable or transparent	Af councillors to vote so are accountable to their electorate	No	
Fair, balanced consideration of all options with opportunity for all of the community to be H considered. And with complete transparency	How this effects planning, monies spent and the support of the community as a whole.	Nothing - too much secrecy behind closed doors, with an unfair representation of the community as a whole.	The secrecy and the apparent lack of knowledge by the people making decisions.	A fairer representation of all elected candidates, who voice the views of the people who elected them in the belief that they would be heard.	More secrecy and closed doors	
•	The decisions should be made by all the councillors not just a few. This is not democracy.	Nothing	It's centered on to few people. Who may have a interest in a certain decision	Open discussion to the public before a decision from all the council		
fo	I was surprised to here of the lack of democracy in the current system and would hope for fairer representation.citizens assemblies should also be considered for deciding on important issues.	As above	Lack of true representation	Equal say		
One that is made in the interests of and to the benefit of the people affected T		Not much. Decisions are made to the benefit of anyone but local residents and officers making recommendations often do so in ignorance of local circumstances	The cabinet system which excludes those elected by local residents and concentrates power in the hands of a few, who are unaware of or uninterested in local views	Proper representation of local elected members in decisions which impact on the wards they represent	Bureaucracy which delays decision making	I would cite the example of the proposed allotments on the spider park at lodge moor where those representing the Council were ill prepared on the facts and the local conditions. This must change.
the decision. p Consideration given to impact on local people and environment. Should have to go through several processes and groups of people before a final decision	I think it should involve consultation with experts and be considered by a lay persons panel as well as members of the council	Not much	It makes decisions based on monetary gain rather than local opinions. Not enough people involved in the decision			
from every Councillor's life experience. th		The citizens of Sheffield find little to like, or more importantly have confidence in they see themselves being carried along with decisions rational people would not make.	Too many knee-jerk reactions are being listened to and immediately implemented.	Information input from experienced people in businessthey have often already found out (often the hard way) what can and cannot work. There us no substitute for experience.	person's views, as in the 'tree' fiasco. That	The Council have a very difficult jobthat should be acknowledged. But so do most of the local population, and if they made such wild decisions they would be out of a job very quickly indeed. Please get more balance of expertise among councillors, and listen to it.
						35 year contracts are total nonsense, and no businessman would fall into that very obvious trap.
made based upon it. In the case of the council I think representatives of the whole city n should be involved.	That the council takes into account people who live in all areas of the city, but also take note of their circumstances. Things like roads and lighting and bin services and education should be of the same quality across the city because everyone values them equally. Similarly libraries. Children's services should obviously be located where most	Some parts of the city seem to have been treated more favourably with links to the		I think the cabinet system doesn't always work best for everyone. And a system of committees would be more democratic.		
clear and justified choice made between options, after a range of views have been sought TI and taken into account.	children live. That they should be properly justified and capable of being implemented		Too narrow range of views carry weight, and the tendency to make a virtue of necessity. If your backed into a corner say so, don't pretend you chose that corner	Back to a revised committee system with more meaningful consultation process (ie not just a cover for decisions already made) with community groups etc	Over centralisation of power in the hands of a few politicians and senior officers	
An opportunity for all interested parties to consider the issues requiring a decision with A sufficient time for debate and then the decision made via a vote of ALL officers voted in by p		trees Nothing. It should be totally democratic, ie decisions agreed by a majority of all interested parties	Decisions are not based upon a majority of 75%+ of all councillors	All councillors get a vote. Agreement should constitute 75%+ of all councillors. All issues requiring decisions should be published in advance with at least 4 weeks notice on the council website, so that the electorate can lobby their councillor		
the electorate, eg ALL current councillors						
-	Knowing my ward councillor has a voice and part in decision-making	Not a lot	Power is concentrated in too few hands, decision-making is lacking openness, transparency and accountability and far too locked into one party and it's hidden unaccountable political machinery	A return to decision-making by committee All councillors should serve on at least one committee and no more than two	The domination by any one party	Appalled at the lack of constructive response to, and preparation for, the petition which demonstrated the rarified bible in which decision-makers live

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
When decisions are made on the best available evidence, free from bias and with representation from all who may be effected by that decision.	It is important to me that decisions made at SCC are done so by the people who are elected to represent the people of Sheffield.	That there is a tiered approach to decision making, so that decisions can be made at the right levels	That not all elected representatives have decision making powers.	A more equal approach to decision making power, where all elected representatives have a voice in decision making.	There should not be a system where decision making is held in the hands of a single political party - Sheffield is a diverse place where people of all political persuasions should be able to be represented.	
Consultation and direct democratic mandate. Decision made by committee to avoid fixed term mono political leadership.	That they are truly consultative and transparent, including legal agreements made by the council.	Nothing	Leadership is more overtly politically motivated based on vague manifesto priorities that does not take other opinions into account or feel the necessity to do so. Opaque deals made with private companies using taxpayer funds.	Total openness of all decisions and agreements. Committee based decision making that requires all political voices to be heard. More public consultation including proactively seeking opinions rather than barely publicised and brief windows.	The urge toward partisan structures.	
I want those that I vote for to make the real and meaningful decisions of the Council. The current model disenfranchises Ward Councillors to properly represents their immediate electorate. Accountable voting at the Ward level across all the City is what good decision making looks like to me.		Nothing is positive about the current position as it limits the accountability of Ward Councillors to their electorate	Current model limits accountability and disengages the electorate from an active dialogue with the Councillor they voted on	More systematic use of committees comprising all or greater number of Ward Councillors in meaningful decisions. We need greater involvement transparency and communication at the Ward level electorate to stimulate greater participation in the political life of the City and greater good governance	few people. Avoid concentration of power to	Noother than to say I am looking forward to ballot next May to broaden and deepen the relevance of t the Ward Councillors and stimulate greater transparency and good governance For SHEFFIELD
					Councillors should be Empowered to deliver strategic leadersHip across and at all levels of the Council. Limit the reliance to officers	
When the communities are consulted directly and a representative from each community is present to feedback about discussions so that their community can input at each stage before a final decision is made.	That all communities are involved properly in the decision-making process. This needs to take into account the fact that people have different ways of communicating.	l don't.	Too few people involved in decision-making.	Representatives from each community feeding back to their communities on a regular basis. Representatives to be elected every 6 months so that different people get the chance to represent.	Presuming that all communities viewpoints have been represented .	Make sure that every decision is made with climate change in mind and help Sheffield to be a city that leads other places in this regard. We were once one of the best and now we are one of the worst.
One where all elected councillors are able to take a full part in any decisions made by the council.	That all elected councillors are able to make decisions based on what is good for their constituents.	I don't like it at all. Decisions are made by a select few and not by all elected councillors having a vote on issues relating to their areas.	Decisions are taken by a select few and those who are not part of that select group have no say and therefore are not able to represent the people that voted for them fully. All councillors should be able to vote upon decisions made.	Where all councillors can vote upon and influence decisions made.	The present structure where the majority of councillors have no real say in what happens, so how can they fully represent the constituents.	No.
Not what is happening at the moment. The council need to take in to account the opinions of all elected councellors not just a select few. If this happened perhaps the Chapel Walk and heritage issues would be resolved quicker.		Nothing the present system makes it look like the 10 making the decisions have a vested interest in the outcome.	See previous comment.	Cross party discussion on all major decisions.	their constituents. Only the unwillingness of the council to do so.	Not at this time.
Weighing up all the facts and making informed decisions.	84 people, but only 10 get to make any decusions surely this cannot be right.	I dont	10 people can easily be swayed, a proper way of decision making should be			Giving amey any contracts says it all !!!
· · ·	Equality of voice. Leadership with accountability ie all understand the process even if not	No singling out of the decision maker	introduced. Transparency. No one knew thst the majority of councillors do not directly	Transparency of process. Accountability of each decision maker	Single decision maker	Useless
to the content. Getting all the people involved together and listening to everyones point of view	part of it directly It is important that decisions are made that take into account the views of all people	I do not like that only ten people make decisions	influence the decision That only ten people make all the decisions, more people should be involved in all	That everyone involved in any issue is allowed to speak and be listened to and all	That only certain groups are allowed to spea	k
Coproduction	It's for the few and not the many!!	Nothing	decision making Everything	elected councillors are involved in decisions Every ote matters	Making decisions without the community	I don't think I know enough
Consensus Democratic decision making	Following democratic process	Appalling!	Too elitist and undemocratic	Coproduction, inclusive Scrap current cabinet system	Ditto	No
Debate	Listening to others' views	Truly shocked that whole council was not involved in decision making	Unless your councillor is Labour a resident is basically gagged	Scap current cabinet system	Ditto	NU
Fair voting It should be based on balanced consideration of the interests of all stakeholders.	Discussion and debate The elected representatives of all areas of the city should have equal voting rights in all decisions. The 'strong governance' model has been demonstrably abused to pursue the narrow	Very little. The present situation has undermined confidence and trust in SCC.	The elected representatives of a large proportion of Sheffield citizens have no vote on key decisions. This is completely unacceptable.	Equal voting rights for all councillors on major decisions. Where decisions are made by smaller groups, the composition of these should reflect the wider balance of the council or use weighted block votes.		It is imperative that changes are made to restore public confidence in SCC and its processes. Recent years have seen many council actions appearing to be in direct conflict with the will of its electorate.
	agenda of a small minority in recent years and this should not be allowed to continue.					This perception will only be changed by restoring representation of all citizens.
	Currently there is a lack of openness and transparency as well as a lack public t involvement in council decision making these areas need addressing as well as the fact that the council doesn't listen to what the people it represents has to say which needs addressing as people in the city feel they have no voice or say in anything	Nothing really I'm sorry to say it seems to me and other fellow citizens that or council makes all the decisions and we just have to be good little citizens and put up and shut up	See answer to question 6 above	Openness and transparency as well as decisions been made based on good facts and figures and sound and logical reasoning processes I want to see more accountability and public involvement too	there been no accountability or chance to question or challenge decisions that are made the people need to be involved and	ı
∞					have a voice after all it's their city and their home	
Collective, collaborative decision making made by experts in the field, sharing top-of-the-	That it is well-informed, and without political prejudice and tribal loyalties	Nothing.	The strong leader model would be wrong anyway, but in the hands of the	A committee based structure that takes advice from experts and political input from all	The strong leader model	No
field research SCC have wasted so much money on many things, they spent money on new road surfaces only for them to need doing again and again in certain places, road drainage, they have put gulleys in where they will make no difference to the rainfall, they don't jet the outlets which causes the drains to back up, they spent £12,000 on a new roof on the house next door to my private house, my roof and my house is bigger, same materials, £4000. They will not provide a dog poo litter bin, they wont provide us a safe place to walk on our lane which has no pavements and cars speed on here like no one else exists and the road has blind bends. So I would not know what good decision looks like		Nothing	stubborn incompetents we have it's an absolute disaster They always say they have no money, that's because they waste it.	those elected, not just a small cabal The city should be asked what it needs, each area needs to be given money for dealing with the various issues it faces. Kids all over Sheffield need youth clubs.	REDACTED Yes politicians doing it for themselves, failing to answer questions, ignoring people who dare to tell think what they think of them.	
Democracy. Not just 10 councillors voting. Everyone elected having an input	DEMOCRACY NOT HELD TO RANSOM BY THE FEW	Nothing. SCC is not helping - The environment, climate change, the homeless, the way Sheffield Architecture is only erecting uninspiring flats that in ten years will look even worse . You can tell that decisions are only made by a few.	10 only councillors voting. Leeds, Manchester and Bradford are way out front.	Innovation and more development that is different to the norm while taking into account the people of Sheffield who are not has well off as the council. We are competing with Leeds, Manchester, Bradford and we need to up our game.	That we don't get impasse. A REFERENDUM. Other Cities have just gone for the updated council without a referendum.	Please update the decision making process WITHOUT A COSTLY REFERENDUM.
Good decisions meet certain criteria:	The Council is more likely to make "good decisions" (viz above) if the decision-making	Like the social modia processor (as streets alread 9 the Coursell coursel)	I have little faith in the competence of the small number of leading councillors	A structure that involves all representatives together with the ability of committees to	Only inertia in the system including officers	
and decisions meet certain citeria. 1. They are rational by meeting agreed environmental & behaviour standards ("agreed" not meaning necessarily agreed by racists, xenophobes & those with large investments in curtailing free speech) 2. They can be carried out in reality by staff who are properly paid & involved in the decision-making 3. They lead to results that benefit the majority if our citizens in ways that can be demonstrated to them	involves as many councillors and other ethical stakeholders as possible.	r nike une social media presence (eg sureets aneaŭ & the council newsletter).	although I am sure that some are well-meaning	A structure that movines an representatives together with the ability of committees to call for expert input but with strict deadlines by which decisions have to be made so that the decisions are not unnecessarily protracted.		
4 They renomise the city as a place where citizens can live in safety & creatively Transparent Information provided available People's comments and opinions genuinely sought Efficient	${\rm i}$ want my local councillors to actually have a say. They seem to be impotent, especially if they're not Labour	Nothing?	My local councillors seem to be impotent Too much power in the hands of too few people, people who have been in office for a long time with little accountability	More local involvement Better communication	Slow decision-making	
Open Takes into account the wishes and needs of all. Is aligned to strategy. Is openly visible. Isn' driven by winning votes or staying in power. Collective decision making using special expertise as appropriate	t The council doesn't deliver on its promises. Most Councillors and officers have no influence. Cabinet members shrug off responsibility when they shift roles. I am appalled that decision making is concentrated in the hands of so few people. who appear to be excrutiatingly incompetent. Sheffield would surely benefit from involving more people with additional competencies in the decision making process	Not much at all. Nothing	Too centralized. Not clear why decisions get made. Decisions don't get delivered upon. The cabinet make very bad decisions and appear to rely too much on officer opinion	Proper long term focus on delivering real change through long term steering groups of citizens, Councillors and officers. Commitees involving all political parties drawing on specialist expertise as appropriate	Hidden power. Centralization in cabinet. Disenfranchised people. Yes. Avoid the strong leader model at all costs	

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
A fully open and transparent group that is elected by the people of Sheffield where issues and policies can be discussed in a constructive way and decisions made by all the elected councillors for the good of the city, not the betterment of the ruling elite who have quietly constructed procedural obstructions to protect their positions and pet policies.	considering all aspects in open debate, and voting on the issues by all councillors	I have attended several council cabinet meetings and other meetings. In every cas I was amazed at the poor level of debate and the lack of knowledge of the councillors. In one cabinet meeting one of the councillors spent his time checking his e-mails, his facebook account, Amazon and eBay instead of paying attention. When he was asked a question he bluffed his way through and gave an answer that had no relevance or intelligent information. I know this to be correct as I sat behind him during the meeting and could see the screen on his laptop. Therefore there is nothing that I like about the way Sheffield City Council makes it's decision at the moment, and it has taken it's Our City to force the council to deal with its ineptitude in spite of every obstacle that the council could put in the path of the citizens of Sheffield.	Once Labour councillors are elected to a safe seat, and many seats are safe to the local Labour party, then the councillors become contemptuous of their electorate and feel that they can act in any way that they want. The "strong leader model" has given us a leader who refuses to listen to anything that is not to her liking and she surrounds herself with weak insipid yes men who are more interested in shoring up their own position rather than doing what is best for this city.	only proves how necessary it is to sweep away this council structure and ask the Centre for Public Scrutiny to advise the city so that the electorate can see the options for	together a poor structure whose only reason is to quietly protect the leaders position and hinder the opposition councillors by using shabby procedural methods. A spotlamp should be shone into all the murky corners	This is the moment for ALL councillors to demand a better system of governance for this city, something that has held this city back for far too long. This rotten borough has been run as the personal fieldom of the council leader and REDACTED personally chosen councillors. The tree campaign showed how the council hid the facts, lide repeatedly, refused to listen to specialist advise, used procedural devices to silence the opposition, refused to consider any other way in spite of the overwhelming media interest, used illegal methods of intimidating its electorate and then tried to cover everything up when found out. If this is how the strong leader system works then it smacks of methods used in other repressive regimes. Is Sheffield twinned with North Korea yet?
A fair, honest and equal voting system, (for all councillors) where every ones opinion is given equal credence. Decisions and outcomes are based on good open discussions and work for the people of the city, not the few.	It is vitally important that the city council makes fair and open decisions. All residents should be informed about decisions made in their area and on a city scale.	Not sure	The council makes decisions behind closed doors or appears to do so. Sometimes there is not enough information about why the council has made a decision one way or another	All councillors have a vote on Decisions, not just a few.		Please keep the good citizens of Sheffield informed and abreast of decision making. Don't cover things up or mislead people. Make yourselves accountable
We need a non political elected committee of Sheffield Citizens (young and old) who live in Sheffield to make decisions on our city and what to prioritise in budget spending.	We would like a more accessible council with better information on how to get things done with less red tape and needless bureaucracy.	I don't. The majority are not proportionately represented.		A transparent system of evidence-gathering leading to open debate and majority vote b an elected committee.	y Power crazy mayors!	
A modern committee based system of governance has been my preference ever since I realised that the strong leader model was flawed in so many ways; not least the reduction in democracy! Can't wait for citizens to finally have their voices heard!	Again, the way SCC operates should be for the many not the few (yeah, Labours own mantral). This means meaningful democratic representation of all elected council members on all votes. Otherwise it's a system aligned to the few, not the many.	Absolutely nothing. The system is broken and has been broken for years. Indeed, has been reprehensible that SCC has hung on for so long to the strong leader model whilst it's been discredited in other parts of the country and has had to be	, ,	True and modern democratic representation through a committee system that takes into account all the elected representatives across the wards of Sheffield.	Yes! The system of strong leadership we have now.	Long overdue.
Genuine consultation. An attempt to carry out the will of the people and not a political party	Decision making without taking advice or informing the public. Housing Dept suspended MOST TARAs levy for minor issues WITHOUT informing groups. That's bureaucracy gone mad and offensive to those who give their own time and goodwill		Cover ups and lies REDACTED	Increased coverage of the Trams. Increase each line by one stop a year. Then the city would have a decent public transport system. As it is it goes south but nothing north!	Get rid of the so many levels of management particularly in Housing. You don't need an area manager, assistant and two or three staff. Amalgamate areas so a manager has 50 staff! I had 52 and one assistant. It worked!	This city is stagnating. No new ideas are coming out sadly it's relying far too much on the past. Yes it's a historic city but the future is much more important! Get traffic wardens into the northern suburbs. Get lighting fixed, get dropped curbs on all suburb streets. I have to ride 1/4mile to cross ONE road due to lack of dropped curbs - that's bloody ridiculous
Good decision making looks more like commercial management or the scientific method and nothing like modern political practices. Leaders who posses (as far as is possible) no biases at all, should identify objectives (say reduce the number of rough sleepers on the city's streets) then they should appoint recognised experts in the area to study the problem and create a plan. (Should study might involve further information gathering, such as public consultations, getting advice from other city's who have successfully achieved similar aims, or taking evidence from other types of expert – such as the police or social services.)	Living in a city whose governing body is obviously unequipped for the task is mentally damaging. The impact on work and commerce in the area can only be negative. The regard of the city in the region or countrywide can only be badly damaged by the situation.	Nothing.	Putting an elected body in charge of a complex conurbation, seemingly without any sort of framework or guidelines for how such an enterprise should be lead (see my answers to Q1) would be very bad practice. Restricting the active leadership to only a small subset of the elected body is laughably inappropriate.	Far, far broader representation. Far less politics of all types. Practical and pragmatic handling of the problems facing the city in priority order. Zero grandstanding or vanity projects. The council should do little more than coordinate the actions of other, respected expert organisations.	Anything involving the phrase "strong leader". Anything overly political. Anything based merely on elected representatives opinions and not incorporating measurable benefits.	Until the recent horrifying flasco erupted, I had no idea that the council was run in such a grotesquely inappropriate manner. Had I known I would have been horrified. Currently I am horrified, not only that this is the case, but that it is apparently permitted (or even encouraged !!!??) that this be the case. I fail to see how any remotely sane process could have lead to the current situation but hope for a return to remotely sane functioning in the future.
some participation from other, disinterested professionals who are expert in measuring such thing yould define: 1) what we criteria for success 2) how we the measured 3) when (and how other, if intermediate check-ins are required) 4) by who we have the measured for the second parties for this role) 5) what resources are devoted to the project 6) and how will those be guaranteed for the lifetime of the project Ascertaining the success/fail of any project is at least as important as the original launch (and should attract the same publicity etc) any failed projects should then be automatically studied in depth so that some guides can be created such that they would have a better chance of success next time.						
Good decision making involves listening to all view points and an analysis of the potential impact a decision will have in the present and long term future. It should not be solely based on financial gains for an individual or minority group but on the needs/improvements of the community it serves. A good decision maker will consider their plans after research at the micro and macro levels. Assessing the success /failures of similar decisions taken by other communities should be a major consideration.	as they arrive or cause concern ie fire fighting instead of planning ahead so that	Nothing	There are to few individuals making important decisions that impact on a diverse and large community parts of which do not have a voice.	More councillors involved in debates about crucial issues such as climate change, pollution, road networks, city centre development, housing and student accommodation (no more thank you)	Personal interest on the part of councillors and red tape that slows the process of change down. Lack of consultation with the public who are the ones who suffer as a result of some decisions. Eg charging extortionate parking fees in the city centre which results in fewer people visiting the shops which in turn leaves the centre feeling and looking like a	Too many to mention
The decision-makers must be fully informed and they should commit to understanding the problem that is to be decided upon. There should be a nurturing of culture of cross-party collaboration. Decision-makers interests should be declared. All parties with an interest in the subject about which there is a decision should be made should be invited to consultation through their representative councillor. This consultation should be meaningful (not lip service), active, with whatever aids are needed to ensure citizens views (particularly marginalised groups) can be voiced. The political parties should have a weight of vote that is proportionate to their percentage of election success. Bureaucracy should be kept to a minimum. There should be a process of Governance to ensure that standards expected of modern committees are met. The decision process should be open to new ideas. Decisions should be made by elected Councillors, not unelected Officers. The process is fair and open and transparent.	reducing carbon emissions to clean the Air in a way that is effective and courageous, even if it means upsetting the vehicle drivers.		As I have said; that there is too much power for a small proportion of Councillors. (That the Council lacks courage in taking the climate crisis on board in a serious and radical way. even if it means upsetting car drivers; too bad)	Transparency. Collaboration (cross-party) Governance Access to expert advice when needed	down market øhetto Time wasting	Public access to knowing what is being considered and debated.
Open and clear Informed, expertise making decision and then these decisions being held to account so when poor decisions are made these can be idnetified and rectified.	Should not be strong leader model Open, Honest & Informed. Getting rid of quangos. Treating the council's (and therefore the public's) money like their own, looking after it and spending it wisely. Held to account and responsibility is taken for decisions. If outsourcing is chosen, these contractors are held to account and made to provide the quality of service we are paying them for, not leaving them to do what they like (i.e. Amey).	Nothing Nothing, waste money all the time. No leadership, or responsibility taken.	To few elected councillors involved Don't hold others to account. Too much power in too few hands, with no accountability for decisions.	Many more councillors involved Public accountability. Full ratification from all councillors, what's the point in having them if they have no say in matters.	No Quangos Exclusion and those making decisions not being held accountable.	Nothing Why aren't we holding Amey to account like Birmingham CC have and get them to either provide quality services or sueing them and cancelling the contract because they are not fulfilling it as required. You're letting them getting away with murder because you don't hold them to account on our behalf, they deal with all complaints about themselves when the council should be doing that for us.
Good decision making is the achievement of consensus from all representatives or stakeholders, or at the very least, seeking a majority but ensuring all have a voice	The present model is skewed. It is undemocratic and biased	Very little. If it is as reported, it's perverse and obdurate at the expense of voters.	does my vote count for less than a voter from another area? Either proportionate	Proportionate representation	Yes. The status quo.	for us No
Democratic decisions. Why have 84 councillors and only have 10 able to make decisions or be able to vote for things within the council?	 I live in Sheffield. We all vote for our local area MP and expect that they have an equal say as any other candidate elected by the people. 	Nothing.	representation or just call it what it is: a junta. Already stated previously.	All mps get a vote.	All should have the same power to implement important issues raised by their constituents.	

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
All aspects considered before decision taken. Considers all viewpoints and is transparent. Examines the effects of decisions on a wide range of people in the city. Consults acknowledged experts within and out with the Councils offices.	A small group of "decision makers" does not conform to the democratic process. At present the City Council does not appear to genuinely take into account or seek the views of the population of the city.	Nothing. Very little	See previous comment. The Council appears secretive and opaque in its decision making. I am surprised that major decisions are made by so few people. I have to ask what the point of the full council is under these circumstances. A cynic would ask if it wouldn't be cheaper to run the city with those few councillors who make the decisions.	Full accountability and full voting transparency. A change of structure to a more democratic system which would involve all councillors. A committee structure is a well accepted model. A better system of consultation for important city wide change. Local consultation for more district based change. Actually engaging with voters and council tax payers.	decisions for the full council.	No.
A fully democratic process, where the councillors who have been voted in by us (the people) ALL get to have a meaningful say that fully affects the outcome of the decision. We need to shift to a more democratic, modern committee system.	I want a cost-neutral change to a modern committee system. I want cross-party cooperation and a meaningful role for all councillors. I want community and stakeholder representation, not just 'consultation'. I want an end to tribal politics and to the whip system! I want equality and inclusion across the city. I want transparency and real consultation. I want transparency is need to consultation. I want transparency is need to consultation. I want transparency is need to consult the way to the system. I want transparency and real consultation. I want transparency is need signing the new system. If this isn't available in house I want it brought in from the outset.	I don't like anything about it - it does not make sense!	It's not democratic! Which is incredibly disappointing and unhelpful to us citizens. The system that Sheffield City Council use to make decisions currently is broken! It does not work - at least not for the people! Maybe it works for those 10 people making decisions behind closed doors, but it's not transparent and it's not democratic!!!	I refer you back to my previous answer to an earlier question:		
				In short, we want to open up decision making to make it better for all.		
careful, considered and taking peoples views into account not just the decision of the council leader as guess what they can be wrong and quite often are	transparent and not deals behind closed doors with no view of the public taking place, if the council does make the incorrect decision and takes there citizens to court the have lost the public and should be sacked	nothing, REDACTED	they make the decision they look for ways to justify it not, look at the evidence then make the decision	must have backing of at least 2/3rd of all councillors before any policy can be implemented so not just driven by one party which has pretty much ruined this city	then will not engage with anyone who	the money spent in each ward should be the same over a 5 year period so the party in charge can just not use money for there ward to keep them in pos
Decisions should be evidence driven, avoiding ideological prejudice and considering all the possible options.	 That decision making represents the overall makeup of the council and not just the party with a majority. 		Decision making power is concentrated into a few individuals who do not represent the overall makeup of the council.	Cross party committees where membership is approximately representational of the overall council makeup and with a focus on evidence driven decisions and policy.		
In a council setting they should be made through achieving a bruise consensus wherever possible.						
Takes into consideration a wide range of evidence and views and is linked to progressing an overall strategy	That they consider fully environmental, economic and social impacts and consult with others in order to understand the implications. That decision making is linked to a long term strategy leading towards a more successful city and long-term implications are forefront. That party politics and individual short term gain do not take precedence.	Not aware of any particularly good points	There seems little opportunity to build consensus and to ensure that environmental, economic and social issues are all being fully considered	That it's clear how these decisions will help the city environmentally, economically and socially and the relevant experts have informed the decision making process	That local people are made to feel disenfranchised because decisions are taken by people over whom they can have no influence.	That whatever system is implemented it is only as good as the people in charge of it. They therefore need training and support to understand their role and responsibilities.
One that is fully inclusive of all representatives	It fails to be fully inclusive and representative of all elected councillors	Nothing	It is not fully inclusive and therefore not truly representative of all elected councillors	Fully inclusive participation by all elected councillors	Continuing the existing structure	
Proposal, discussion, consensus then agreement by all parties	The council needs to listen to Sheffielders. We know what the issues are and we know what improvements we want to see.	Nothing	Decisions aren't made by the group, they're made by the leader. This is not right	I'd like Sheffield to learn from successful councils and adopt their approach	Replicating the autocratic status quo is not a good way forward	
Collaborative and LISTENING TO THE PEOPLE - the way the stree tree situation was conducted has made me utterly lose faith in the council, we need change and the more constructed in the strength of the longer of decision entry.	I don't feel we're listened to. Listening to the electorate	Nothing!!	street trees fiasco. The way they behaved was outrageous, it was like living in a	Listening to the electorate, a more humble attitude that the council is there to serve the people		
	No provision for recognition of good points from people with different views from a small unrepresentative group as now.	Very little. In fact I await a good one in Hope. Woodseats traffic, railway station facilities, inability to easily cross town in a car, destruction of exciting City Centre all good examples of failure of current system.	police state. You can't treat people like that in a democracy The excessive political bias on decision that are apolitical.	Local cross party parliament with cross party sub committees.	Confusing political leadership with belief elected political group has the monopoly of successful ideas and/or decisions.	They are not acceptable as the appointment of leaders may be but that does not mean their decisions must follow. Alternative options need a sound and transparent platform so they can be aire
Balanced view that take account of many different opinions and that benefit the widest number of the provident of the second secon	Start looking externally to see what is going on in other cities like Manchester, Leeds etc start trying to male the city align with modern ideas such as green issue	Nothing, the city is the most backward place I have ever lived in	It's seems like decicisions are made by a few people only.	All councillors having a bote	Influence by only a narrow bsnd	and considered. No
Preferably consensus but if consensus cannot be achieved then a good sized majority. All relevant parties should have say.	It's important that everyone has a say in decision making.	I like the fact there can be debates and the fact that meetings can be open to the public.	I don't like the fact that only a minority of councillors get to vote on most decisions. This means the general public are even more alienated from the decision process if	I would like to see all councillors getting a vote in the decision making process. And I would also like to see that there is no top down pressure of the larger political parties on individual members to vote one way or another.	The goal should be towards greater democracy and transparency. Anything that impinges on that should probably be	
There should be free and easy access to background information and some effort to make people listen to different sides of a debate before any vote.			the councillor they voted for does not have a say.		avoided.	
Transparent and logic driven.	Logical and evidence driven with a key objective to make the lives of people living in sheffield better	Not very much. There seems to be no logical method behind any decision. Furthermore the council rarely looks to the needs of residents.	effect on my life. The lack of transparency makes it easy to believe that the council is working to an agenda not necessarily targeted at making the lives of locals better but more to facilitating business as the expense of quality of live for	A clear logical explanation of why things are done and why decisions were taken.	Ino	
ALL of the elected councillors making the policies and decisions.	That ALL elected members make them.	l don't like it.	residents. It's completely undemocratic.	Full decision making system for all elected members.	DO NOT give final say to head of the council.	
					On important policies e. g Road maintainence and trees, please seek professional advice and guidance from people who are qualified.	
	That all Sheffield councilors should be involved in the decision making process, so that all communities know that their voice will be heard. That council decisions are not made by a select few councilors, thus making a mockery	I don't at the moment which is why many are asking for change.	Please see earlier comments.	All councilors involved in the decision making process or at least fairer representation than there is currently.	A situation like there currently is in Parliament with Brexit, where tactical voting can thwart decisions making and progress.	N/A
Where people are well informed, in good time with full facts and allowed to discuss openly and freely without fear or malice directed at them. Where decisions are made using fair and equal processes that are inclusive and thorough.	of the whole council election process. That only a few people are permitted to make important decisions that affect thousands of people's lives.	Not very much at all .	There is not more involvement from enough duly elected representatives with voting rights.	Larger consensus and more input from unelected residents of Sheffield.	Letting the power remain with the few thus protecting the status quo.	Please use the collective wisdom of the people of Mighty Sheffield now more than ever there is opportunity to reach more people who have current, contemporary innovative ideas. Consider that the decisions of Local Government should be evaluated and made by people of all ages
"Decision-making is the process of identifying and choosing alternatives based on the values, preferences and beliefs of the decision-maker." This fancy sentence is from wiki, but it conveys my belief that councillors should be representatives and not puppets of their voters. In the current cabinet and leader system, the majority of councillors have no off other pupped compared to puppet the context of the system.	through the election process, who are then free to exercise a free vote on all council or committee decisions. The current leader and cabinet system does not provide the	I have little to no involvement in how the council currently makes decisions, as the information is not easily available to citizens.	 The current system is exclusionary and opaque. I urge you to move to a system that proportionally represents all citizens of Sheffield in all decisions. 	Proportionality, gender equality and accessibility, for example through a committee system, gender quotas and online streaming.	Just use common sense and don't be a dick.	
effective power to represent their voters. Using the appropriate expertise of the total pool of councillors to maximise the effectiveness of the council and make the right decisions for the people of Sheffield.	These decisions should be arrived at with complete transparancy ond not concealed under the pretence of commercial confidentiality. The spending of the council must able to be scrutinised and challenged openly by all the council members.	Nothing, decisions are made in virtual secrecy.	Decisions made by the council only emmerge when its to late for anyone to challenge and affect the outcome. When challenged by groups of council tax payers the council has a history of bullying tactics to quell any objections-reference the members of stag who were taken to court. Councils should not get into massive deals with out-sourcing companies, but if they do they should read the contract before signing.	Use of the committee sytem as used by many other councils successfully. Involvement in the public when decisions are of significant importance.	Too much control in the hands of too few individuals and avoid making decisions without taking the necessary technical, financial or legal advice	
To me it is fair decision making = good decision making. No one should be a judge in their own cause – decision makers must come to matters without bias or a reasonable perception of bias; they need to "Hear the other side" – each party must have a fair opportunity to be heard. Also the process must be completed in a timely way but allow for the former points. Finally, the decision makers must make reasoned decisions, and give those reasons for their decisions in a publicly accessible and transparent way.	had experience of the council over the last 20 years of living here and I cannot say that I have seen good decision making that has been reasoned or balanced. I have been		It is not fair and open decision making with a minority of the elected	All councillors should have a vote that counts - in a more meaningful manner than they have at the moment. Councillors should be entitled to vote to reflect their consitutents and not be party whipped to vote with their party. More cross party working is needed.	should be open to active engagements with	
Democratic voices for all Counsillors. Openesss with transparency of meetings and all decisions in the open	Currently run like a communist state. Closed transparency and too much power in the hands of a small number of people. This leads to lack of democracy and poor outcomes	Not like the current system at all	Too much power in the hands of A small number of councillors. Also the current counsellors seem to lack the professional expertise to be able to make good	One counsellor, one vote. Democracy, openness and transparency is required. This will mean that the council have to totally change the way that they run their operation.	That the people currently in power try to prevent democracy from coming to South	Perhaps we should get some outside experts involved to help train the current counsellors on wh

cm/site/set/set/set/set/set/set/set/set/set/s	What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
<table-container>And add add add add add add add add add a</table-container>	has a real opportunity to represent them. Decision making should involve all representatives view points. It should be necessary to make a compelling arguement, to	increased and decisions cannot be made behind closed doors, or a lack of genuine	Nothing	views of there constituents in the hope of affecting change. The scrutiny of decisions is not effective. I've been involved in several campaigns where the behaviour and decision making	Greater scrutiny and transparancu of the behaviour of officers and the information		
ADJUSCINGUESCINGUESCINGUESCINGADVANCEADVANC	long term. Environment is a key part of this. A decision made having considered the evidence and involved the potential pros and cons In terms of local govt, it needs to be a decision made with the best interests of the	fiasco. s. It needs to be taken by a representative group of councillors, not just the party with the		Not open, not truthful, not focused on long term good of Sheffield.	councillors making decisions. Less party political too.	It being overly influenced by a very small	
Share and		That views are listened to and considered from across the city.	Very little.	but reasons for how the money is spent/distributed are veiled. This is not right		Муоріа	Cabinet does not feel democratic
Name Second se		people - generally not in the interest of the people of Sheffield. We need the opposite of	Nothing.	Very few self serving people involved in decision making - no transparency. When I have contacted those supposedly in charge they just ignore contact or lie - still	making. Would be nice to have those in the town hall being held accountable for how		Integrity in decision making is important.
Main definition of the second secon	That it is evidence based and part of a longer term strategy	That it is representitive, collaborative and transparent	Not a lot.	The rationale behind decision making is unclear, often appearing to be more	Collaboration, expertise, strategy. Decisions made for the common good.	Self interest. Populism.	
Barton standard Main and and and and and and and and and an	Decision making through political consensus and democratic process.	That all councillors we democratically elect have an equal voice.	l don't.	The archaic, tribal politics that plagues much of England and Wales overall prevails here in Sheffield. Representation of our elected members is brushed aside when	A more democratic process.		
Bit of a band water and a band water an		That my councillors can rely on their views being respected, and by extension my own.		Centralised decision making makes me less confident that oversight is appropriate and doubtful that the direction the council will take reflects recent changes in		Concentrating power in one place	
Air Lanzantz And a	majority and minority viewpoints should be able to be heard, along with input from			Unrepresentative with power concentrated in the hands of a few with no platform		Anything which limits representation	
Barbard		which only a small number of our elected councillors get to make real decisions is un	I don't like anything about it.				This needs to change! Now!
Non-second second sec		We need to know what when we vote for people that represent us that their voice will					
Result of the sector of the	best ideas are considered and not just those of a few and then a cross party group finalise the decisions. Honest debate and understanding where each ward Councillor is coming	That decisions are made in the best interest of Sheffielders rather than to promote any				making their voices heard. If we truly believe in democracy then when a local united issue is voiced through the councillor of that ward respect should be awarded to that voice and decisions made in line with that or if that isn't possible, feedback to the locals should	governance, speak to one another with respect and allow ordinary people to be involved. Unity of people speaking of one accord makes a strong and
Max matrix market 	Committee based system			Use of a "strong leader"system	Use of a committee system involving a larger number of councillors from all parties in	The current system and anything that	
Image of the second	Considered to nions from many different branches of an interested society with integrated tesdership which considers and rationalises opinions into effective outcomes.	All councillors should have the right to vote on diverse issues.	There seems to be ingrained disrespect from Labour councillors on environment	Poor representation across the parties.		Lack of consultation seems to be leading to a	
And space of the space of t	evidence research should be sought.	environment is considered in every decision made.			That all relevant scientific evidence and research is presented.		No
Max service s	could have on health and well-being should be considered.	If it is thought that there may be a detrimental impact of any level then the decision		well-being of Sheffield residents or the planet at large then those objections	If there is to be a negative impact on the health and well-being of people or planet then	effectively represent the varying opinions of	
Book show the		contribute more to decisions made by the council. I see little or no consultation at the	Nothing	The decisions are un-democratic. Only a selected few have been granted that		model, local decisions based on local	We pay our council tax, linked to the areas we live in - so our councillors should have an equal voice as any other areas councillor.
Reference Refer	selected few. My councillor should have equal decision making powers as those of any	post or by email. Make every council tax payer have to elect to either receive		schooled, teach or work in an area of interest to the decision making) should be			You should communicate better with your residents. Not through outdated channels like Sheffield Radio, Sheffield Neuroport of
Image: space spac							We should be made to choose to be informed by
Margame Margame Margame And particular Margame And particular And particula		process and that not only a small selection of councillors make decisions. That is not in the interest of the community, and as the trees campaign showed some time ago, deeply harmed the councils reputation.					proposes in our areas. That includes when you allow a third party to undertake something that effects local residents - NOT relying on that third party to fulfil a duty to inform - as on several occasions this year that have been road closures for events that we
Gende mander under unde	Non Party political free discussion of the issues in the open. No pre-deciding in advance of	In death discussed in the observate Make the right decisions f I suspect many decisions are predetermined on a party political basis in advance of the				Pre determining the result as a result of pre meeting meetings often on party political	No
The specific dipcipe	Gathering views from all those involved. Ensuring decisions are representative of resident		Not a lot	Too few councillors involved in decision making, Undemocratic.	Greater voting powers for all councillors. More democracy		No
Abalance decision taking the leve and interests of local realistics, not just a unilited ending At aut-flee whee and interests of local realistics, not just a unilited ending At aut-flee whee and interests of local realistics, not just a unilited ending At aut-flee whee and interests of local realistics, not just a unilited ending At aut-flee whee and interests of local realistics, not just a unilited ending At aut-flee whee and interests of local realistics, not just a unilited ending Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local councils Attrauted decision and king system where all whee of relevents and local cou	This is a very general question	That they make good, sensible, timely decisions having listened to all councillors/citizens, putting the city's interests before those of any political party or	I can't answer this without sounding very negative.	politics and not necessarily what is best for the city. That sometimes they are poor		All the things that have gone wrong!	I have little information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes - this is part of the problem so a strange question I
minister win will new will		It must reflect the views and interests of local residents , not just a unilateral decision			A structured decision making system where all views of residents and local councillors.	A free for all of all councillors .	
heigheins who councilors are actually there bergenesent !! becisions should be made after full information has been made available to bot public elected representatives. All elected parties should have equal voting rights. As most as possible all elected representatives dould be involved elected representatives. All elected parties should have equal voting rights. As most as possible all elected representatives dould be involved elected representatives. All elected parties should have equal voting rights. As most as possible all elected representatives dould be involved elected representatives. All elected parties should have equal voting rights. As most as possible all elected representatives dould be involved elected representatives. All elected parties should have equal voting rights. This should be advenced to present there should be involved elected representatives. All elected parties should have equal voting rights. This should be advenced to present there should be involved elected representatives. All elected materis should have equal voting representatives do not down and there should be involved elected representatives. All elected materis should have equal voting rights. This should be advenced to present there should be involved be there there should be elected representatives do not down and there should be elected materis should have equal voting representatives. All elected materis should have equal voting representatives do not down and there should have equal voting representatives. This should be advenced to present there should have equal voting representatives do not down and there should have equal voting representatives. This should be advenced to present there should have equal voting representatives do not down and there should have equal voting representatives. This should be advenced to present there should have equal voting representatives of the elected materis should have equal voting representatives. This should be advenced to present there should have equal voting re		mode by a caumet memoer, who has then UWII dgelidd did interests.	continors		Needs to be totally transparent and not for the benefit of councillors and their "cronies"	Make use of committee stages	
elected apresentatives. All elected apresentatives should have equal voting rights.							
with citywide implications ge the introduction of revised speed limits, approaches to recycling representatives to be fully involved but victuoins avoidable days to mative a democratic undertaking by all of the elected council mekempors representatives to be fully involved but victuoins avoidable days to mative a democratic undertaking by all of the elected council mekempors representatives to be fully involved but victuoins avoidable days to mative a democratic undertaking by all of the elected council mekempors representatives to be fully involved but victuoins avoidable days to mative a democratic undertaking by all of the elected council mekempors representatives to be fully involved but victuoins avoidable days to the elected council mekempors The sade a democratic undertaking by all of the elected council mekempors represent the elected council mekempors A majority who hopefully represent the views of their constituents inspect inspective of political partyl A majority who hopefully represent the views of their constituents inspective of political partyl No hopefully represent the views of their constituents inspective of political partyl No hopefully represent the views of their constituents are relate to present the views of their constituents can relate to present the views of their constituents can relate to present the views of the good of Sheffield and No. No.		Currently Sheffield City Council's voting mechanism is neither open or representative.	Nothing	Sheffield City Council is currently undemocratic & unrepresentative.		Lack of transparency	
This should be a democratic undertaking by all of the elected council makes A kanal number of the elected council make important decisions which have a A kanag from the present serut I he eader to escone one else which is I he	with citywide implications eg the introduction of revised speed limits, approaches to	It is important the strident minority views do not dominate decisions		They sometimes appear to be unexplained in a meaningful way	Far more information about why as well as what is happening in the regular emails	representatives to be fully involved but	
To hear all sides of the argument, then take a democratic vote. The majority who hopefully represent the views of their constituents irrespective of political party! A majority who hopefully represent the views of their constituents irrespective of their party No hong !! No hoecause I have no faith that anyone verespective of their party irrespective of political party! Party policy!! Interstee! Interstee! Interstee! when all councillors vote on decisions and not just a selected few! When all councillors vote on decisions and not just a selected few! No hong. No hong.	This should be a democratic undertaking by all of the elected council members	That it was not a Cabal	I do not like any of it because it is not democratic	serious implications on how the council tax payers money is spent without the rest		The leader needs to be someone else who is not partisan to the present Undemocratic	I have said it all in previous slots
When all councillors vote on decisions and not just a selected few! When all councillors vote on decisions and not just a selected few! Nothing. Only 10 out of over 80 make the decision. All councillors voting on decisions and not just a selected few! Make decisions for the good of Sheffield and No.			Nothing!!		This question is too ambiguous!	Yes, idiots who prepare these surveys. Make surveys that have questions that the majority of constituents can relate to	
	When all councillors vote on decisions and not just a selected few!	When all councillors vote on decisions and not just a selected few!	Nothing.	Only 10 out of over 80 make the decision.	All councillors voting on decisions and not just a selected few!	Make decisions for the good of Sheffield and	No.

hat does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
cover of the draconian method major issues affecting the city of Sheffield and its idents are decided by an elite ten members of the elected city council. This I believe has strained the natural development of our city, where party politics has had an adverse	As above all elected councillors should have an input in the decision making processes that affect the running of our city.	None, ten people make major decisions, very un-democratic.	It's not a very democratic process, denying the majority of elected council members any input in decisions that affect Sheffield.	A more open approach where every council member has a say in what happens.	Reverting back to the way decisions are made now by only ten elected councillors.	Be more open, forthright and accountable.
	Each ward elects a councillor to represent their views and as such each councillor should have their say. Decisions should be based upon this and the views of sheffield citizens. Benefit to citizens should take precedent above all other factors.	I do not - I find the council lacks transparency and that decisions appear to be taken on financial motives and what would benefit council leaders rather than what is best for communities. Sheffield city council appears to also 'forget' or just doesn't know about it's statutory duties and any regulations that it must abide by and seems to think it can work as meets the needs of the leaders instead.		The views of all residents being reflected by making sure decisions are made by a large number of councillors rather than a select few. Council meeting minutes should be easily accessible - as with many other councils - for anyone who takes an interest (eg. Via the website). It should be clear in such minutes that important factors and statutory requirements have been discussed, debated and that evidence has been used to make objective fair decisions.	residents should be the first and foremost	The council needs to work on being open and transparent. Information should be accessible to in a wide range of formats. Consultations should accessible to all in both paper form and via the website. The council needs to make evidence ba decisions and sure that evidence is available for residents to scrutinise.
ide range of views are sought not just those of a few. This should include local people uding children and young people, local organisations including charities and not for fit organisations.		I really don't know!	See over	I think local people should be more involved in decisions that affect them. I also think that knowledge and expertise should be sought from a wide range of professionals when planning for example, mental health provision. This should include the advance of the advance with the section and the advance.		residents to schullinge.
	It must be seen to democratic , fair and open to public scrutiny	I am not impressed in any way about the "cabinet" method of decision making.	It does not fairly represent the view of all of the wards of the city, particularly	the views of service users (not lip service) and charities etc A process that represents the views of all the wards at the point of voting.	Making decisions by the representatives of a	No Thank you
all the wards of the City, and collectively reach a decision. ing to the peoples points of view and acting in a fair way with their views - and not	ALL elected council members having a say on making important decisions.	Nothing.	those of the West side of the city. The whole system is not democratic.	Proper consultation with everybody when deciding on future actions and policies.		Listening to the views of the population and ac
garding them. one has a say, consider all views and opinions, LISTEN, and then have a full, sentative meaningful vote.	Under the current system, my Councillors don't get a vote and don't get to represent me. The current system is based on the opinion of a small number of people who I didn't vote for and will no doubt have their own biased opinion as to what is 'best'! This is not democratic. There is also more than one political party in Sheffield!	Nothing, it's totally in democratic and the people of Sheffield are not being heard.	You're not listening to people!!! We live here and pay tax but you just do what you want, with little accountability!	A democratic structure that allows all councillors to vote as they know local issues and represent their local area. A simple majority vote then wins.		upon them. You really don't listen to the people of Sheffield represent because you are so far removed from Including all Councillors will hopefully let every have a voice again.
ds like this is not a fair representation. Please cha ge the system.	A fair view.	Clearly it's not democratic!	Very little.	More democracy.		No. But I think your survey could be made easi and require less written opinion. Perhaps more simple check boxes.
perly democratic (not dominated by a party with only 30% of vote), informed, cross- ty, cooperative (not combative), open, proportional. All councillors having equal wer. No party whips (which undemocratically stops clirs representing their stituents). Inclusion of citizens, experts and communities.	That we should get good decisions, based on inclusive, informed and deliberative discussion, without being twisted by undemocratic, party-political tribalism.	Very difficult to think of any good points, as every part of the council's decision- making process seems to be broken. Webcasting of the meetings is a positive.	The Strong Leader system is fundamentally undemocratic. Scrutiny doesn't work, partly because it is controlled by the ruling party. The way the ruling group uses its disproportional power to bully it's opponents. The toxic atmosphere in all areas of decsion-making, which prevents any rational discussion and promotes the wasteful party-political tribalism. 	Replacement of the Strong leader system by a Modernised Committee system. Cross-party committees with membership proportional to party vote. All clirs to have equal power to influence decisions. Committees chaired by clirs not from the ruling party. Committees to integral input/participation from experts, citizens and communities. A focus on power-sharing not dictatorship by a minority. Banning of party whips. A decentralisation of power away from the centralisation of the Strong Leader system. A focus on local issues, not wasting time on irrelevant (inter)national issues. A new culture of cooperative working, so that our councillors focus on doing the best for the city rather than the current focus on party tribalism. That some of the current councillors feel so uncomfortable in the new, more cooperative system that they step down, to be replaced by new councillors who are willing to work together.	Undemocratic dictatorship by a minority. The current toxic culture of the current council. Preventing any councillor from representing their constituents. The illusion of change.	
er of individuals involved in the process. Greater chance for discussion and means of		Nothing. Nothing	Opaque and not including most elected councillors everything, its small and elite nature.	More participatory, more cross party committees, more evidence-based Increasing voting rights for all members rather than just a select few. A great involvement of an increased number of people with a diverse range of views.	Unnecessary administration elitism	
porating ifferent points of view. d decision making takes account of a wide range of views, and is not dominated by " p this Group think is much more likely to arise when a small number of like led to reinforce each other's views.	Sheffield City council should make democratic decisions taking full account of citizens concerns.	Nothing judging by the way the tree felling programme was handled for instance.	As previously stated. Too opaque and autocratic.	New, young forward thinkers. Involvement of many more elected councillors. Use of neighbourhood citizens' panels with real power over decisions affecting their area of the city.	Concentrating power within a small cabal of the Council.	
cetter uncillors should have meaningful votes and be able to take a full part in ion means his representing the people who voted for them.	The non democratic present system needs to end.	Not much.	A few councillors are making most of the decisions, the majority do not have a say.		"consultations" where in reality decisions have already been made.	Please act responsibly as soon as possible.
has to be a balance between effective decision making and democratic	Accountability. Consultation. Listening to the people. Not acting in a way that the Council knows best. IF the Council believe the people are wrong; then they must do all in their power to seek to convince the people of their arguments. IF they cannot change the views of the people, they can consider	Those occasions when they have tried to represent their arguments to the people.	Distance from the electorate. Acting like a Class Above the rest of us. Acting like there is no need to bring the people along with them.	A PROCESS of decision making. Proposals- discussion- alternatives for action. Applying PRINCIPLES of peoples involvement and participation. PRINCIPLES of the need to explain and working TOGETHER not Against.	ELITISM.	
ed at: enly; ter consulting those concerned, and taking into account the views expressed; nnestly (and the tree scandal is a sad example of where this didn't happen).	resignations from the Council. Decisions should be made for the benefit of the people of Sheffield, irrespective of political party, and irrespective of the desires of contractors.	Not much. Much seems to be done in secret, without consultation (and if there is any the results seem to be ignored), one party is dominant and does not seem to allow dissenting views from it's councilors (the year the Liberals were in charge was different - the senior officers didn't like it because they could not guarantee that a decision by the major party group would get through, but I expect my councilor to represent the ward, not the majority view of a political party).	I have doubts about whether it is worth the bother of giving my views when "consulted".	A proper committee structure, with all members having a role in decision making. Limit party "whipping". Collaboration between members from different parties. Careful allocation of delegated powers.	A limited number of councilors dominating decision making.	
y representing the views of everyone affected by the decision, allowing consultation opportunities for feedback.	Decisions should be made with fair and proportionate representation. If I am voting for a councillor I want them to have an equal voice to all other councillors.	It seems very unfair at the moment and my views are not represented as my councillor is not in the cabinet, so I don't like anything about the way decisions are made currently.	It does not represent the views of all it's citizens - o oh those whose councillor is selected to the right committees. It is not fair or proportionate.	Fair and proportionate representation. All councillors having a system to be heard and for their voice to be as valid as any other councillor's.	Having a cabinet and a hierarchical system that leaves some citizens without representation in decision making	N/A
ng a wide ranging discussion. The decision making process has to be open and ssible throughout the whole process.	The decisions made affect many people, including me, in the city. To have the power to do this confined to just 10 councillors means the vast majority of Sheffield voters are not represented. See above comment.		It's undemocratic. Marginalises minority opinions. Blinkered beliefs on priorities.	See my first comment! See above comments.	Closed decision making.	No thank-you!
e representative councillors as of right. usive discussion of problems as they arise, with whatever expert opinion is required, ded by non biased outside Professionals, where necessary. ncillors to develoo a oriority of alleeiance to constituents before Partv.						
up of people who are well informed sharing opinions and concluding on what works for all involved.	That SCC is open to scrutiny. That SCC takes independant reliable advice from informed consultants and other opinion holders.	Nothing.	I feel that SCC hides behind two states of failure. SCC is not brave enough to present a manifesto as a given set of achievable targets that will be met over a duration of time, nor is it willing to take the mood of the voters and go with what the unerate inbut.	An open forum of representatives who take advice and then weave that into a ling term publically agreed plan of action for the city.	suggest how the city and its citizens might better themselves and to carry out their	
re as many people as possible have a say and all councillors have a say too. That the cil does listen rather than pretend to	Must involve all elected representatives and interested parties Decision making should involve all councillors not a few	Don't like it	they want either. The strong leadership model means that decisions are made by a few people	All councillors being involved and also listening to local people	wishes when approved b Leaving decision making in the hands of a few	
re many opinions are sought and a consensus decision is made	Too few people make the decisions	Nothing!	Too few councillors make the important decisions, it should be more and more representative	More councillors to make decisions and some members of the public	Keeping it the same as it is now!	
nce based, and reached by consensus from many	That it is financially prudent and astute, yet looks to continually improve the social fabric		Not revealing contract details for public money spent - if you are told an NDA is required then don't sign the contract	Very democratic	Secrecy	
each councillor is fully involved in the decision making process.	That all are involved.	Nothing!	A chosen few make the decisions.	All involved.	Power and decision making being placed in the hands of a few chosen councillors.	
pective of party lines).	It should allow all councillors to participitate and not be limited to a small ruling-party cabinet. A local local parliament.	Nothing	It is remote and bureaucratic. Although I do realise that cuts in funding have not helped anybody.		Socracy Nanotice: Cohinet	Even locally elected concerns to the should be
ally elected representatives should have an equal say parent, timely, auditable, with clear lines of responsibility, adherence to values and ples and taking due account of a range of points of view.	That every locally elected representative has an equal say SCC is directly responsible for critical infrastructure and services which affect our daily lives, and spends millions of pounds of taxpayers money. Decision making around the structure and evolution of those services is of interest to everyone in the city.	Nothing Not very much. It smacks of Old Labour nepotism and corruption, with decision making taking place behind closed doors. The very antithesis of good governance.	Everything. Too much power in the hands of too few people. Cronyism. Decision making at present adheres to few, if any, of the principles of good governance. In particular, the strict party whip approach as disenfranchised a large proportion of the electorate. Exactly the sort of approach Trump takes, and it is shameful that a Labour council should adopt similar methods. Labour should be a party of democracy, not dictatorship.	Every locally elected representative to have an equal say. Abolition of cabinet system Adequate scrutiny and determination of council decisions involving representatives of all elected members, not just the lucky few of the Labour inner circle. Some of the Cabinet members are a disgrace REDACTED .		Every locally elected representative should hav equal say. Current system not fit for purpose. Na
n favour of a strong leader, but only if there is a system of checks and balances.	all policies should be openly discussed so that objections, and reservations, can be taken into account before decisions are made. Imposing decisions from on high is not	nothing	it seems that there is no debate before a decision is taken	decisions should not be made by committees. They should be there to monitor the decisions of the CEO.	committees; unless they are drawn from	just be more open and listen to the citizens.

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
One where all councillors views have equal weight, not just the few!	Currently it's restricted to just 10 out of 84 elected people - this needs to change.	Very little I find the labour leadership don't listen or engage at either local or	The current system where only 10 of 84 make decisions and because my	A change so that all elected councillors can represent the people of sheffield.	Staying as it currently is.	
Before the decision, exploring all views, transparent and open discussions. No prior decision deals done. All representatives have equal input into the process of deconstruction making. All representatives have equal weight in voting on the decision No time pressure that forces a decision.	That decisions are taken by all the councillors not a few selected for the role. That all councillors have input into the process of decision making.	national government. It's time for a change. I like nothing about it. It is undemocratic and places too much power into the hands of individuals who demonstrate no respect of the people of Sheffield.	councillors are not in the 10 then I don't get a voice. See previous answer. It is undemocratic. The majority of the councillors have limited access to the decision making process and decision making seems to be awarded to councillors who have gained influence and power within the council organisational structure. Friends and favours rule.	Open and transparent to public scrutiny. All councillors actively and equally engaged in deciding making.	Restricting the right to participate in decision making from any elected councillors.	I was shocked to learn that the processes of decision making by SCC had been restricted to a few individuals. Having had my trust in the democratic structure of SCC shatters, I intend to pay much more attention to the way my council behaves.
A combination of each point below: There is a clear objective and goal All options have been considered widely and fairly There is a financial, economic and social benefit arising from the decision The rate payer gets value for money from the decision	It should not be dominated by: one political ideology one small group of people It should involve the representatives of all rate payers in the final deciosion making	The methodology seems hidden from me, so I only see the outcomes The outcomes are bewildering in many cases, such as road planning, the tree culling and particularly the constant building on green field sites	See previous answer	See previous answers	A veto or blocking mechanism. Decision should be based on a simple democratic vote	No
The final decision is based on a democratic vote of all Councillors Considering all options from experts on the subject. Voting on options.	As an individual not an organisation, taking into account the best decision making	Not a lot	The opinions of ordinary WORKING people are ignored	I would like more encouragement for businesses to come to Sheffield unlike the Ikea	Ordinary people need more jobs creating	
On a local level, good decision making relies on a highly democratic model where all elected councillors have the oportunity to participate in decision making committees to represent their communities along with relevant experts. Good leadship does not look like the "strong leadship model" of Sheffield city council where a small "ruling group" has overwhelming power. The views of this group represent less than 10% of the electorate.	The council should use committees which are representative of vote share.	I'm afraid I haven't witnessed any positive aspects of having a small group of people effectively exclude more than 70 elected representatives from decision making.		fiasco Greater involvement of other councillors would mean more Sheffield communities would have a chance to come closer to decision making in these porfolio areas (see Q7). The recommendations by "its our city" fully represent my views. https://www.itsoursheffield.co.uk/some-principles-for-a-modern-committee-system- briefing/	Yes, please do not try to replicate or incorporate the current system with any kind of compromise. Please start again with a new properly democratic system as suggested in Q8 and look to other councils where this has been a success.	Nothing further.
Democratic: everyone having their say through voting and then the elected representatives of those people all being involved in making decisions on behalf of the people they represent. I live in Walkley. The issues I might think are important here might be very different to those faced by someone on the Manor, someone in Oughtbridge, someone in Handsworth. If we're not all being truly represented, by people who care about us (rather than their own political careers), then how can good decisions be made for our city?	That decision making is open and transparent. That all people in this city are represented in the decision making process.	I don't like anything about it. Power is concentrated in the hands of far too few people, who seem to be motivated by their own political ambitions rather than the needs of the city. Examples of bad and opaque decision making on behalf of SCC: - The whole tree situation has been shambolic. Absolutely shameful When our road was completed, a very noisy lorry was being driven around throughout the night, passing the house several times. On complaining, we were told there was nowhere secure to park it overnight, so someone was employed to just drive it round. The contract with Amey is baffling. - REDACTED	See previous section.	Open, transparent, and decision making being truly representative of all the people of the city.	Power being concentrated in the hands of a few. Isn't that Labour's slogan anyway? For the many, not the few. Except in Sheffield it would seem.	No. Except it's bad and it needs to change.
Democratic decisions made after gathering all the facts, examining and discusing these facts before reaching a decision based on these facts. In the case of local authorities, the decision process should ultimately involve all elected members of the local authority, through the use of committee systems and not a small cabinet.		Can't think of anything.	It's undemocratic because it does not fully represent the views of ratepayers and residents of Sheffield. It's basically a dictatorship.	Bring back the committee system. It enabled more rigorous and fairer decisions to be made.	Avoid a huge number of committees which could hold up the decision-making process.	
We elect our councillors and expect that ALL of them, regardless of political party, will have an equal voice in decision making. I do not want to live in an area where we do not have one of these strong decision making councillors. At the very least a majority vote by the whole council	See above. We must all have an equal share of the decision making.	Not much. I do not have a local Labour councillor, which is very apparent when you see how unequally Council services are run and our money spent. Too political	How they favour the areas with more Labour voters.	As I have already said, equality in how the money is spent, in favour of all of us.	So few so called 'strong leaders' making all the decisions and excluding most of the democratically elected councillors.	I hope the Sheffield City Council will allow my councillors to have more of a say in what goes on (it seems behind closed doors!)
Decisions should be made by all members of council thus reflecting the views of the communities they represent. Decisions should be made wholly for the good of the city and not arry political lines. Everyone in the city should feel that through their councillor that voice has been heard and that at the end of the process a democratic decision, this open to scrutiny, has been made. Local councillors must be made fully aware a reference of the decisions which effect the ward they represent.	Again decisions must be made by all members of council to reflect the views of the communities they serve. Committees must be representative of the electorate as a whole and not made up solely of members of the majority party. While I admire councillors for taking on their civil duties many will have little experience in the running of what amounts to a large organisation and thus training should be given and made a	Nothing. It is unrepresentative of many parts of the city. The percentage of the electorate that vote is quite small. What incentive is there in a community to vote if their representative is without a voice. The majority of the city is in fact disenfranchised. It gives the impression that it is run on a one party political line,	without a say. That means that almost 90% of the population are without representation. The majority are not represented in the decision making of this city. We are supposed to live in a democracy. Where is democracy when the	Decisions to be made in an open forum not behind closed doors. They are inclusive and represent the community as a whole. That a strong governance is held over finances of the council itself and projects undertaken. All members of the council must be seen to have a voice. Local councillors must be made fully aware and included in decisions effecting their communities. There are to be no party whips. Motions put to the council cannot be deteded by opposing parties. The council must hold itself to account for the responsible spending of its income.	representation must not be brought back under another name. There can no longer be communities left without representation. The views of all communities in the city must be proportionately represented. One political party cannot ignore the views expressed by other representatives. We do not live in an oligarchy. All representation at committee meetings must be heard. All members must represent their constituents first not their party. It is encumbent on all members to cut bureaucracy where possible.	A large number of the community which makes up Sheffield have requested a more representative, open and accessible council to represent and work for the city. The honourable thing would be to stop procrastinating and get on and deliver such a system. In these days of dark politics make Sheffield a shining beacon of true democracy. Make Sheffield a place where your vote truly counts. Make people feed involved and part of their communities. That is how they thrive and if communities do so does the city itself. If the city gives out positive vibes it's more likely to attract more business, more activity, more money, to enhance and better the lives of those who live here. Sheffield is a great city let the whole community not
Inclusive decision-making, involving representatives from everyone affected by the	My ward is not represented on the council in a decision-making role.	It has led to some good long-term planning in the redevelopment of the city centre		Representation of all wards regardless of politics. Political parties are not relevant for		just a few work to make it greater still.
decisions. Process should be clear and open. Decision making should be clear and open and democratic. All councillors should have a vote on all decisions.	That it should be timely and as above. Yes closed doors.	I don't. Sheffield misses out on opportunities to bring business to Sheffield.	local knowledge (through representation). Results in significant time wasted in consultation and campaigning to make our voices heard. It's too slow, no clarity and no clear line of responsibility from the council to the electorate. Eg we missed out on HS2 because decision making was muddled. And the errors in talking to Chesterfield when mayoral decisions were being made.		clarity from our council to make us a more competitive city. Sheffield people deserve	As a relative newcomer I love Sheffield but feel sad we keep missing out on opportunities, e.g Channel 4
No closed doors to the electorate. A responsive cumulation of fully representative views. Where all voices are heard for the good of Sheffield rather than one or two people making a decision.	That it is fully democratic g That all voices are heard across the city.	Nothing I don't. I think you've made some terrible decisions because power is centralised - you don't listen to people anymore.	A feeling pf being totally ignored. The fact that power is centralised. We have all these elected councillors but decisions are made by a few people. It doesn't seem very democratic.	Fully representative decision making A way for more elected voices to have power in making key decisions.	that. Oligarchy Avoid the current 'strong leader' system. It's been awful for our city.	I am disappointed that you had the opportunity to act on the petition straight away but you are drawing it all out. You have chosen to spend more and more money to cling on to centralised power and that is disconciliated to the straight of the straight of the straight of the disconciliated to the straight of the straight of the straight of the disconciliated to the straight of th
All elected representatives have the opportunity to contribute to the decision making.	I want to know that the person I voted for is able to represent me even if they do not belong to the majority party.	I don't like it	Elected representatives are excluded from decision making because they don't belong to the majority party.	I'd like to see all councillors and p0litical parties represented in decision making committees.	Avoid non democratic decision making	disappointing.
Open	Democratic	Nothing	Strong leader system	Committee system	No comment	At present the full Council meeting is dominated by members of the public fond of there own voices and irrelevant motions
Through a fully democratic process	I think it decisions should be made via all of the members of the council, not just a select few	Nothing	The process does not reflect the views of all councillors. The whole process should be more transparent and a committee system should be used as this reflects a modern day democracy	A vote for all councillors		
Decisions should take into account a range of views. Decisions should not be in the hands of approx 10 people rather than the full number of councillors. All councillors should have a vote - they shouldn't just be rubber-stamping a decision that a small number have made.		I don't think the current system has any benefits.	Decision making concentrated in hands of small number of people - effectively renders votes of small parties redundant.	Cross party involvement.		
It should be the result of informed discussion and a vote by our elected representative	It should be transparent and open to the whole council.	Nothing.	It does not allow all views to be taken into account. Discussions are not open and	More people involved in the committee structure. Look at other councils.	Too much power In the hand of a few	
councilors. Informed, democratic decisions based on facts, research and circumstances.	It is representative of all the people and communities of Sheffield.	l don't	those who make decisions are a chosen few. Decisions are made by unelected people and too often these people have to vote	A democratic decision made by representatives of all the people and communities of	individuals . That we end up with a select few making all	
One that is based on evidence. One that takes a representative sample of views into consideration in order to make fair, justifiable and transparent decisions. One that is seen to be unbiased and that does not put individuals or political gains first.	I want to have trust in my local authority and concillors, and be confident that 'good	I have not seen a lot that I 'like'. This is an odd question.	for the "whip". This is not democracy or representative in my view. It appears to be a closed shop. Decision making does not appear to be as transparent, and therefore justifiable as it could. The limited number of Councillors involved in key decision making limits representation.	Wider representation from councillors in key decision making.	Lack of transparency and representation. Decisions pre-made before consultation and that are not evidence based.	
Considering all options on any subject and voting carried out by all Councillors.	Taking into account the best decision for everyone that benefits the City of Sheffield.	Not alot. It is not forward thinking and business orientated.	The opinions of ordinary working people are ignored and the Councils lack of	More encouragement for businesses and wealth creators to come to Sheffield.	Bios against wealth creators and businesses.	Be more open and democratic.
Wide consultation Discussion Consensus	Transparency Social justice Wide consultation	Not sure	ambitions compared to other major cities. In the hands of a small number of individuals	More say from all elected representatives	Not sure	No
Transparency Slow to decide	The best interests of Sheffield as a whole					

Slow to decide Absence of interference from outside agencys Decision makers should not be notential beneficiaries of the outcome

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision ma in Sheffield?
	That decisions are not taken by a small cohort of people, and are evidence based not commercially driven.	I have not been impressed with SCC to date and feel that the system needs an overhaul.	I do not like that decisions are made by a select cohort, public opinion is either not sought or ignored and decisions seem to be commercially motivated. It feels like SCC is working for itself rather than for the people it serves.	Full input from all elected councillors on any decisions which will effect constituents. Public opinion sought on major decisions. Expert opinion public using plain wording with links to evidence sources.
When th outcome is beneficial to the people of Sheffield it and what the majority of sheffielders want	It should be voted on by all of the council members not just a few otherwise there is no point in electing so many members as their their opinion is just overridden	totally unfair ,what's the point in having so many members when just a handful do what they want	away with doing whatever they want ignoring their points of view and in effect the	
Listen and take note of the peoples opinion and dont think our residents dont know what their talking about when the council are making decisions about our city they obviously havent taken into account the impact of that decision ie lets build houses not thinking about the extra traffic in that area causing more problems with pollution and server bottle necking and school places for children The traffic on Sheffield roads is totally unaceptable and the decision to let houses be built where ever there is a bit of grass is lunacy The council should be looking at retreaving council tax from those who dont pay which will generate ircome.	residents to let us know what is happening	I dont the council should remember who they are representing	points of view of the people who voted for them No one knows what is decided upon or what the council is planning to do	Tell us and let us have a genuine opinion ask us if its what we want
Consultation and representative of the whole city population. Based on expert advice where relevant.	Elected representative are able to input, steer and vote on decisions	No knowledge of this apart from it is by a minority group which I do not agree with	Small decision making group which excludes elected councillors is not representative. Am less interested in party politics and more interested in sound cross party (balanced) management which is best for the city.	Where relevant, impartial expert advice is used to steer decisions. Full information given to wider representatives to enable informed and but allowing for consultation.
qualified individuals. It must take into consideration the potential impact and outcome of the decision on others and seek their judgement equally. Where possible, a range of voices must be heard and taken into account-those that this decision impacts especially.	That all voices are heard. If my elected Councillor does not have a say in the decision making process that effectively means I do not have a say. This is also my city- I live here, its my taxes being spent and my life that is changed, and yet, with the current system, I may not get a say in how my taxes are spent, or how my life is changed. I am concerned that decisions have already been made without consulting experts, or that SCC are very insular and don't use the opportunity to seek help from others.	That the process does involve some Councillor discussion, although only 10 out of 84 is appalling statistics.	 only 10 out of 84 councillors are involved in the decision making process, effectively meaning depending on where I live, I may not be represented. it appears that decisions have already been made without the advice of experts (such as the tree discussion panel which made decisions and gave advice that was overruled by SCC) that in some cases, I'm afraid I'm going to mention trees again, that the voices of the people who live in this city are ignored, or even worse, deliberately manipulated (thinking of the unmarked envelopes with letters delivered for the survey for trees which meant many simply went in the bin) or mismanaged and that the police have been advised incorrectly, and illegally in some cases. that SC are very insular and not open to scrutiny by the general public. Not much is shared with the citizens of Sheffield regarding decision making and it is only after scrutiny from others that this whole diabolical decision making process has come to light. There has literally been no information from SCC regarding this petition's outcome, nor of this questionnaire itself. I'm only aware of it thanks to an email from Our City co-ordination group, which means that yet again, not every voice will be heard in this decision making. Communication needs to be greatly improved for this to work. 	- more transparency (through better communication as above)
Where the majority decide in a democratic process to give value for money and benefit the city, the community as a whole.	It is important that the decisions are made by all and not a controlling few.	Nothing. For too long it has been an autocratic rule	I don't like the fact that decisions are made without any consultation (the consultation processes I have witnessed are for show, the decisions are made and we have no influence at al). We citizens are paying for this so we should exercise our right to an opinion	after all it is the community that has to live with the decisions. Reduced bureaucracy, more clarity in where our money is going, what
Reflective or proad base of opinion. Taken with multiple inputs from all stakeholders effected by the decision	I live in Sheffield, I have seen the result of poor decision making during the scandals surrounding the attempt to cut down thousands of trees in Sheffield. This decision to effectively remove one of the things that makes sheffield unique amongst uk cuties seems to have been made with very little insight or consultation. Hiding behind commercial confidentiality was profoundly undermining of democracy	At the moment, very little	It seems unreflective of the city and doesn't include the majority of the city's elected representatives	our money. A committee structure
Evidence & expert based plus taking into account the views of people who might be affected by any decisions.	Major decisions affecting the city should involve input from across all parties and councillors not just a few cllrs on a committee. Tribalism is affecting politics at national level. This way governing needs to stop and put the needs of the city first. More cross party cooperation.		Old fashioned structure of committees and the impression that some decisions are done behind closed doors before being subject to scrutiny in wider committees. Power in the hands of a few clirs who then make all e decisions.	More participation/involvement by communities eg community reps o issues affecting a local area. Use of community assemblies for citywide issues.
	Research and evidence needs to be obtained from credible and reliable sources to limit mistakes eg the flasco of selling the central library. Also issues with the quality of the Amey roads, trees contract - thorough due diligence with other councils who have used			Mechanism for citizens to feedback on city/local issues once an initiati been enacted. Then provide updates of any actions taken. I think this people feel more invested with what is going on in their city and feel le
Good decision making means taking account of all of the facts, consulting with all stakeholders, and being accountable for the decision made. It may involve making difficult decisions which some stakeholders may/will disagree with.	making process to be scrutinised and above all involve consultation with the people of		See previous comment.	onlinions are imposed Decision making bodies to involve councillors from all political parties, consultation and scrutiny.
voting by all councillors (1 vote 1 councillor).	Sheffield. I want my local councillor to represent me/my area, in the same way that my MP does. I want them to be able to vote on issues. I think all councillors opinions should count and decisions are made by the council working together and seeking what's best for sheffield.	Not much, it doesn't seem very democratic to have only a small group making the decisions.	See previous answer. I don't like the way that the power seems to be in the hands of the few. There have also been some poor decisions in the past (eg the strange bus gate near the Catholic Church which was removed as it just didn't work. There's also the junction of Greenhill main Rd with Greenhill Avenue. The changes made still don't help the folks crossing Greenhill Rd, which makes me think that a proper analysis was not carried out when putting in the crossing at That site()	1 vote for each councillor. Collective decision making by ALL councillors Way of resolving conflicts fairly. Transparent process. Plenty of time for respond to proposed changes and equality in the chamber. I want to ke councillor's vote has the same weight as the others. Less power to une
govt targets.	For decisions, and more importantly the end destination of taxpayers money, to be properly scrutinised. No organisation should be locked in to supplier contracts where unacceptable work cannot result in a termination of that contract.		Why bother electing all those councillors if their voices are not heard	Focused groups of councillors for specific issues. If everyone votes on the everything nothing will get done
Listening to the views of the wide community of interests in Sheffield	Transparency Genuinely listening to the electorate and interest groups before decisions are taken The absence of a patronising we are in charge and know best approach to any challenge Accepting that we all work together for the city Moving away from confrontational political stances to genuinely work together as elected representatives	Not much I'm well informed and have extensive public sector work experience across the whole of local government Sheffield seems to have deeply embedded tribal politics and a patronising leadership approach	Very little What I've seen seems shrouded in secrecy for no good reason other than avoiding accountability and challenge	Genuine consultation Listening to outside views Working across political groups Openness to challenge
When a group of people discuss the issue and then a decision is made by the concencus of the whole group.	It is important to me that we have a complete representation of the elected councillors making ths decisions.	Nothing	That only 10 people make the decisions for the city.	That all decisions are discussed and voted on by all councillors and tha respect the views of the people that put them in office and not their ou
		Almost nothing. I think it has got out offhand with too much power invested in a few people who think they know better than the local people who elect them.	There appears rack be a lack of: Genuine consultation Openness and transparency Humility Honestly in many decisions made	Address the issues above and give every councillor a vote on key decision their electorate. Transparency on consultation so at the council can clearly demonstrate have been taken into account.
people actually want.	I feel that they need to be more open.	l don't.	Decision seem to be always rushed, with little time for people to consider proposals and to submit their views. One gets the impression that we have little or no say	Realistic timescales. Opportunities to comment. All interested parties to be involved.

ision making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?		
will effect their rt opinions shared with the	Avoid a small group of people making decisions based on commercial considerations behind closed doors.	Your tree policy was a complete and utter shambles. Time to engage with the people you represent before embarking on projects which result in pitched battles between the council and the people. What a waste of valuable time and resources.		
	Giving the power to a handful of members and not listening to and doing what the people of Sheffield want			
e want	people or sherned want Avoid carrying on without knowing if its what we want	Let us know what the council is thinking of doing not sort it behind closed doors		
ions.	This does not mean via third party	N/a		
ormed and timely decisions	contractors who might have vested interests. Keep party politics out.			
what decisions have been hat this will have.	One party making all the decisions, lack of experts to advise, lack of communication with the general public, lack of transparency.			
de to inform that decision. e)				
unities should be involved	Definitely a repeat of the road resurfacing debacle. We still have not been allowed access to the contract which should be in the	Yes, bring back a full and clear democratic process.		
ing, what we are getting for				
nity reps on committees on	Concentrating decision making in the hands of too few cllrs.			
an initiative or change has think this would help and feel less like their				
al parties, public	Replacing a few powerful individuals with a vocal minority of intolerant vested interest groups, however well meaning they are.	No		
councillors.				
of time for the public to want to know that my ver to unelected people.	Letting In-fighting get out of control. Letting the prospect of short term gains which could lead to us being worse off in the long term (whether that's financially or culturally) blind the process.			
votes on the minutiae				
	Political group whipping that perpetuates a contentious and argumentative system of governance and debate Refusing to reveal decision making processes even when challenged in the courts Telling less than the truth	It's about culture as much as systems or processes and the culture needs to change You can change the system but if the same old attitudes remain then it will be to no avail There seems to be a sense that it's citizens v the council but you are supposed to represent us not rule us		
rs and that councillors ot their own views.	Having one person that can veto any decisions that are agreed by the majority.			
key decisions affecting	Unnecessary beaurocracy	It needs to be changed as soon as possible. It is completely undemocratic. The current system has brought Sheffield into disrepute, has made a lot of residents very angry and resentful and has disenfranchised many councillors.		
	Closed doors. Final decisions being made by a "select few"	It has needed revision for years. The City might well be able to go forward if this different approach is implemented		

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision mak in Sheffield?
democratic, open and available to scutiny	as above and all elected councillors have input and valid action in the decisions	nothing it is not democratic	as above	All elected officials vote in any decisions made, opinions are included for groups or individuals involved or effected by those decisions. All discussions are open and the content freely available to all. No motions can be deleted or changed until voted on. All decisions taken should be either under guidance from experts in the
consensus of all the elected representatives of the citizens of Sheffield	That every elected representative attends and votes on the issues.	nothing	decisions are made by a cartel	aualified/trained councillors. a list of councillors who voted on an issue appended to each document
a democratic discussion where all sides get to air their view and are listened to and a	I would like to think that all elected councillors got to vote on what happens in Sheffield,		It is undemocratic that only a selected few make decisions	
consensus reached A democratic system where all councillors get a vote	not just a few It will help our city develop and move forward instead of lagging behind cities like Leeds	lag behind other northern cities Nothing	Its undemocratic	1 vote per councillor
A fully democratic system where all votes from elected members count. Not the 'strong leader' system.	like we have done for years. Decisions need to be fair and democratic and currently they are not. It's important that, going forward, our city adopts a fairer system of decision making.	Nothing.	It's simply not fair and seems a very dated way of governing. Sheffield needs to take a progressive and contemporary way of operating in regards to this matter. Systems like the 'strong leader' approach are why people have a lack of trust and	Consulting the public and listening to them is a good start. How about to on local issues?
All affected parties need to be able to put their points of view. Decisions should be made by bodies including locally elected councillors.	That they should be made rationally and based on real evidence, not on any preconceptions, or dogma.	Not much	understanding in local politics. Far too often, local councilions are ignored or only informed of decisions, not consulted on them. This leads to a feeling of impotence and a lack of confidence in the fairness of the system.	A committee system is a great improvement, where both governing and parties can debate each issue and come to a consensus after considerin
For me, good decision making has the following characteristics: a) Wide discussion with affected parties in attempt to reach consensus especially when decisions are likely to be unpopular, b) a transparent and accountable decision making process, c) a fair representation of councillor opinion not just the leading party.	The tree chopping policy (that is, the poor framework set up for the outsourcing of street management to a multinational company) has undermined our national standing each time Sheffield features in a national news report. And locally the cost of implementation has been far too high.	Very little. Its failure to properly respond to the recent "Its Our City" campaign for change shows an arrogance which some of us thought had gone with 'old Labour'.	Poor accountability, no sharing of the decision making process with affected or interested parties, little if any attempt to reach consensus which only leads to further division and hostility to us as Labour people. Poor respect for the spending of our money.	Wider involvement of the elected parties, open discussions and decision processes, better accountability for decisions made, better and genuine reach consensus. Less 'strong' leadership, greater consensus.
The 'strong' decision making process does not show these characteristics. The impact of previous poor decisions has been financially costly, has deepened divisions and lost us votes.	So, well informed decisions by wider groups of councillors, perhaps with specialist advisors, made more accountable to the people who pay for them is needed.		Very little sharing of the financial constraints which national government have imposed. I think you should be highlighting the difficulties the tories have made fo you, and leading it to electoral advantage. Not leading hostilities with other Political groups and deepening divisions locally. We need a coordinated voice against the attacks from the tories.	r
Paraphrasing the current Labour Party Election slogan - "a good decision is one made by the many not the few".	The decison-making process should be clear and transparent and should involve input from all interested parties	Not a great deal. One example of my concern is the long-delayed publication of the Forward Planning strategy document which, if I understand correctly, was pulled, earlier in the year, from a planned review and discussion session solely - and without consultation - on the authority of the Council Leader.	I have the belief that the way the SCC currently operates is faction led and, as a result, many of its decisions do not represent fairly the interest of all Sheffield residents. An example here would be the absolute debacle in the way the SCC handled the Tree controversy. A further example would be the refusal of the SCC to honour its legal obligations and to address early the petition organised by IOCI and instead to involve city residents in further exneme through a referendum	I would support the proposals submitted to the SCC by IOCI
It should take account of all stake holders in a proportionate way, it should be be truly transparent, those making the decisions should be truly accountable.	As above. Decisions should be fully debated. Committee structure regarding party political numbers should be based on the vote share of each party not the number councillors.	About 10 people decide everything and know one else has a say. It is a dictatorship. I do not like anything about this. It is old fashioned and non democratic.	See above. It is non democratic, and old fashioned. It is a dictatorship where abou 10 people make all decisions and no other councillors have any input.	t A committee structure where the party political affiliations of the memb their share of the vote not the proportion of councillors.
For example notifying people of a decision in such a way that they could not reasonably respond is not acceptable.			The whole process is flawed and has held Sheffield back compared to o RHH er cities like Leeds and Manchester.	
Having the ruling party decide whether decisions they made were taken appropriately is not accepta				
considering the evidence, listening to the views of as many relevant experts as possible the possible provide the people who have a vote so they can vote on this information pretrise the majority decision should then be implemented as quickly as possible.	All councillors should be involved in decisions no matter which party they belong to.Councillors should not be forced to vote along party lines they should be free to vote on the information put before them.	I do not like the way decisions are made by the council it is far too dictatorial.	see previous comments.	All councillors of whatever party being involved.All relevant information scrutiny.Live streaming of all council meetings so the voters can scrutinis the councillors they have voted into office.That all decisions be acted up possible.
Collecting option to all sides of a debate and empowering all elected representatives to Collecting option to all sides of a debate and empowering all elected representatives to have an equal say. To enable elected representatives to represent the views of their community and have those views listened to and acted upon through a committee style voting system. To then stand accountable for that decision and allow transparency as to how decisions are reached and why.	Sheffield City council takes decisions that affect individuals' daily lives. We are one of the UK's largest cities and the way decisions are made now will affect the livelihood and quality of life for its inhabitants in the future. Sheffield City council has been shown to be repeatedly inept with regard to its decision making and incredibly defensive and inflexible in terms of listening to feedback from the citizens it represents. On closer inspection the way the council is operated is entirely unfit for purpose and has enabled a small number of individuals to dictate policy and take decisions that would not have been supported by a committee approach. This needs to change. We need to be a forward-thinking progressive council. Sheffield has many challenges, but also many opportunities and it is imperative that there is meaningful change in the way the council operates in order to maximise the opportunities city-wide.		As already stated, Sheffield City Council's strong leader system translates into only a small percentage of councillors having formal power to make most decisions. So called 'consultations' are often meaningless because decisions appear to already have been taken and the lack of transparency is a major problem to assess the competency of the decisions taken. There needs to be a wholesale change in the way decisions are taken on behalf of Sheffield's citizens.	A committee approach to decision making, where all elected representation
It would elevate Sheffield in status as other large cities or Leeds, Manchester, Liverpool, Bristol, Newcastle etc who seem to enjoy a far higher esteem than Sheffield . We are punching way below our weight and this is all due to our council's decision making. Get your finger out and do something positive for a change.		Nothing	Out of touch councillors who won't listen to anybody	More democratic decisions
Listening to all of the options. Then everyone gets a vote on the final decision, good decision making should be informed by a proper understanding of the issue, with evidence gathered from those effected and by expersts. If eal a committee style of government allows evidence to be judged by a range of individuals, hopefully informed by critical thinking.	See above It is important to me that Sheffield council is open open and honest about it's decisions. I understand that bad decisions are going to happen but I would like to know that the council can respond to situations where decisions have unexpected consequences. I would like openess about when how and by whom a decision has been made.	I don't. I think it needs to be changed At the moment I feel let down by the council, I don't see them as a functioning organisation.	Only 10 people get to vote on the decision I feel SSC:(probably because of coping with years of under funding.) * Lies or misleads about the reasons decisions have been made * Trys to shut down those who disagree instead of seeing opposition as productive * Is dogmatic once a decision has been made even if evidence shows difficulties.	Inclusive practice *1 would like to see a committee structure and an end to the strong lea *1 would like disagreement to be viewed though the lens of spiral dyna *1 would like a blame free culture where bad decisions can be put right * hardline on bullying
A wide range of elected people having a say, representing the whole city, not just a small number of councillors. I would like to know that my voice is being heard (via my local councillor) and that my councillor is able to have influence over the big decisions affecting Sheffield.	I want Sheffield council to listen and take account of the views of the whole city, not just Labour wards.		I don't like that only 10 councillors form part of an executive that makes all of the decisions, disenfranchising large parts of the city.	I would like a return to the proper committee system
Everybody who is on the council having a part in the decision process	Because I feel the way you do it currently is unrepresentative	I don't	Already stated	Fair and meaningful representation Increased participation
A decision decided upon by the majority after sensible discussion	See answer to Q4	I don't like it at all	The decisions are just made by a committee of 10 people with other elected people seemingly having no say	Setting of clear standards See answer to Q4

sion making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
ncluded from all relevant	Party politics and bureaucracy, personal agendas.	
ι.		
erts in the field or by		
document voted upon.	checks need to be in place to prevent minority decisions	
w about local referenda	Unfairness and lack of transparency.	To which political parties do the current 10 voters belong? It's actually quite shocking to learn that the city had been run in this way for so long. Modernisation needs to be embraced.
erning and opposition considering all aspects.	Excessive centralisation and executive privilege	The government cuts to funding have lead to far too much erosion of services and manpower for efficient service in Environmental and Planning for example. (not to mention libraries and parks!)
nd decision making d genuine attempts to	Avoid hostility with other elected parties and work for consensus.	Dont ignore or frustrate or pay lip service to the "Its Our City" demands.
	Dont ignore local activist groups' opinion for technical and specialist input to discussions,	
	Make it clear when and how the impact of your decisions can be measured, so the electorate can see the success of your decisions.	
	NO ! I believe that the whole negative approach shown by the SCC to the IOCI campaign clearly demonstrates that the present Council model is desparately in need of change.	No
the members represent	The committee structure in terms of the proportion of party political representatives should not be based on the number of councillors of each party on the council this would mean that SCC would continue to be a dictatorship run by Labour with no other views represented, despite less that half of residents voting for them.	There appears to be no joined up thinking between public health and public transport ie more buses, more cycle lanes, more street trees, more green spaces in the city centre and air quality.
formation open to public an scrutinise the work of e acted upon as quickly as	Time wasting.	The voting public need to be able to scrutinise the work of the elected councillors via live streaming of all council meetings.
representatives have an	Yes, a vanity project where little changes. There needs to be meaningful change.	

e strong leader model spiral dynamics se put right. * A lack of understanding of what has gone wrong.

*just papering over the cracks.

Committees need to have clear roles and responsibilities and be accountable for the decisions they make. Those on the committees need to be empowered to make decisions (ie for them not to be talking shots). How you do it now

D/K

Nope

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
	Decisions should involve as many as possible of the people who have been elected to represent us. Before any idea of how something should be done is shaped it should be put to the people who it will affect. This may sound expensive, but it will actually save time and money in the long run because people are experts in their own experiences. I agree with all of the principles laid out in the 'It's our city' document detailing what signatories of the petition want for democracy in Sheffield (fair and meaningful representation, increased participation and impact, cultural change hand in hand with new structures and processes, and setting clear standards and improvements).	To be based there doesn't econ much to the shout the surgest estimation of the	The cabinet system. We vote in 84 councillors but only 10 have a say in most decisions. The fact that council votes are whipped, meaning often parties vote as a block rather than individuals voting based on their personal values (especially as their personal values may be the reason why they were voted in as a councillor). That there isn't a mechanism allowing local people to take part in decision making in any shape or form. This strengthens people's beliefs that politics is not representative, relevant or meaningful, creating further division. That motions are 'deleted and replaced' by other parties. That the council often seems unwilling to work co-operativly with the other parties democratically sharing the power.	membership of committees should be proportional to vote share. Committees should be chaired by councillors not from the majority party. Party whipping on votes should be banned, it's totally archaic and unrepresentative. Local councillors should have full access to information, and be able to protect their ward when local issues come up (e.g. New developments). We need a constitional commitment to structures that promote	Given the number of citizens who signed this petition, I believe the right thing to do would have been to make these changes without having a referendum. I'm not worried about the result, it's just alarge cost to the city which could be invested in implementing these urgent and essential changes.	
reached by consensus where compromise is not seen as a bad thing.	Its important that an councillors are involved in the decision making, even as a caudu supporter (when it comes to the General Election), the current set-up is not right into local Sheffield council. It reduces accountability and denies a voices to councillors who represent thousands of local Sheffield people.		Council and the way they go about making their decisions. As a local residents we dont know why certain things are happening - e.g. Amey contract, cutting down thousands of trees despite independent & professional expert advice not to do so.		a few individuals. It must be shared across the whole chamber and to all elected officials not matter what party they represent. We need to work together and across party to achieve our goals for this city. The tribalism that we've seen just doesnt work and we're all the poorer for it.	
Democracy - all of our elected representatives having a say and vote in the councils rescission making process. In our city elections we vote for individuals to represent our area of our city. This so-called strong leadership model strips these rights away from us and from our representative. Our votes are not always party politic driven, sometimes it is for the individual. The current model quashes democracy and having the populace's voices heard.		Nothing. Disgraceful, bullish, haughty and disrespectful of the city's citizens	Everything - leadership decide beforehand. You are ousted from party if, as a representative, you disagree. Public comments are treated derisively, avoided answering or boorish comments made. Secretive, cliquish, disrespectful, alarming, shortsighted, ill informed, defensive.	Open debate and fee voting. Being Proud of this model so that it can be used as an exemplar across the country		How will the decisions be taken on the detail. How will this detail, not bland high level statements, be made to the city and the voters
An open discussion by all interested parties, or those elected to do so, having all the necessary information to hand. No adherance to party policies or dogma, but decisions	I think it is undemocratic that we citizens elect our 84 councilors, but only 10 of them make the decisions!	Not much.	As above, too few people have too much decision making power.	Open votes by all the city council members.	Avoid cliques of power hungry members.	
made for the good of the citizens. Open, transparent, equally elected- equal say and equal vote.	That community and life changing decisions are not made by a few behind closed doors. We elect local councillors to represent us, They should be able to both speak and vote on our behalf.		As stated above, local councillors have been democratically elected, yet most of them have no power in the decision making process.	That a fair and transparent system be adopted for our city. All councillors to have the right to put forward their views and vote to reach democratic decisions.	A hierarchical system where city wide and local community decisions are made by the few behind closed doors.	
	Listen to the electorate It should be democratic at the moment it is not.	Dont like it at all very undemocratic I don't	Too few councillors involved in decision s It's not Democratic, only reflecting a small minority of the population of the city.	Major decisions taken by all elected members.		
who only represent a small part of the electorate. Genuinely consultative beforehand Free from filicts of interest but if there are any, they are managed appropriately Decision frage public in an honest way Reasons for ecisions shared openly Public good is put before political convenience	What is needed are the key elements shown in question 4. These things are currently markedly absent as evidenced by, amongst other things, the street trees debacle.	Not much. I don't trust that decisions are free from conflicts of interest or publicised honestly. Political convenience often seems to be put before the public good.	See 6	Adoption of the committee system and improving the scrutiny processes	Reliance on the cabinet structure. Blocking amendments by other parties in debates. Closing down discussion in debates.	Where one party holds a very clear majority there is a risk of abuse of that power, which is exacerbated by the Cabinet system. Putting that much power in the hands of so few people who can then dismantle much of the apparatus of scrutiny, leads to maladministration.
A fair process where all elected councillors and committee members are allowed to vote.	It needs to be democratic with fair representation across the city.		Limited number of councillors allowed to vote			
A clear, transparent process built on information gathered across a range of interested parties having assessed and documented alternative resolutions	Transparency Based on evidence	From personal experience (local consultation) very little. It appeared that a decision had been made prior to the consultation process beginning and was to be passed irrespective of the over-whelming evidence that it was wrong On a wider point, the fact that local councillors do not have a say in local issues shows a democratic deficit - I vote locally but my local representative is then	See answer 6 - aloof, ill-informed, opaque, based on a clique of individuals, not driven by evidence, not reflecting residents views	Transparency around the decision-making process and how a decision will be made prior to any consultation process beginning Decisions based on evidence Local views being heard Local councillors being able to represent local residents in any decision-making process	Lack of transparency and lack of representation	From 1st-hand experience it is not fit for purpose
	practicalities of the circumstances. It is broadly understood that constraints produce a need for difficult decisions and prioritisation; if those constraints (and their causes) were better understood, the difficult decisions might be more readily accepted.	imored The prioritisation of maintaining front-line services in the face of austerity is admirable – but it is not unique to Sheffield.	assume mendacity or graft where none may exist; the Amey tree maintenance	is only a start; structures to support regular face-to-face deliberation at a grassroots scale need to follow, and be reflected in a more rhizomic structure at the top of the organisation.	As mentioned before, spend less money on consultants. If expertise is really required, hire some and keep it in house, where it can be applied and tailored to the specific context of the city over time. So much of the suffering and failure in this country can be directly ascribed to the vigorous application of the faddish "frameworks" of business school graduates who assume that running a country or city is just like running a firm; it is not. But most of all, avoid the conspiratorial air of secrey. I tend to assume the majority of the decisions the council makes are made with the best of intentions, even when the results appear to be anything but. But as the Amey contract demonstrates, when something goes wrong with a secret contract, it makes you look like grifters. So maybe avoid subcontractors who insist upon secret contracts? Or, to be truly radical, maybe avoid subcontractors entirely; outsourcing (and the entire philosophy of New Public Management) is a dead paradigm, as even	
Good decisions are reached on the basis of a) An agreed and transparent framework of principles or values that guide the decision making process b) Dispassionate, non- selective empirical evidence - the objective reality of the world.	That decisions should be both transparent (the process and end result should be accessible to everyone who wishes to know) and accountable (those responsible for the decisions can be required to explain, especially when things go wrong)	Very little	council's procedures. Judgements are made from the outside based on the results of decisions and currently these are predominantly negative. The council is perceived as autocratic, untrustworthy and secretive which are exactly the	Decision making should take place in the public forum and not behind closed doors and the end result should be able to be justified on the basis of evidence, not empty rhetoric		
Joint open discussions to agree an outcome	That all councillors get to agree on an outcome not just a few selective councillors	I don't I think it's appalling that this is only down to 10 councillors & not all councillors that is Sheffield folk have voted in	opposite of the wavs our eovernance should be seen. Only 10 councillors make the decision.	All councillors voted in to make decisions together.	Decisions should be made by all councillors with the full facts & fairness& go with the majority - if it's a stale mate with equal votes then the issues should be readdressed after giving more time to gather information for & against in the hope a conclusion. Can be	
It involves consultation and more people being involved than the present one	Consultation	Not much	It is in the hands of very few	More members involved and better consultation with local people	made. A cabinet system	

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Collection of all relevent information/evidence Identify alternatives Weigh evidence Make decision Review and consider consequences All transparent and open	That it is even-handed, takes account of resident's views, is transparent and based on the best available evidence	Nothing	High-handed/aloof Not based on evidence Lack of transparency Not taking into account resident's views Made by a small handful of individuals The person I voted for has no real say in decisions that affect me	Transparency Evidence based Listen to (and take seriously) local residents and their representatives Open and egalitarian	Leaving power in the hands of the few Lack of transparency Lack of an idependent appeal process	
One that is representative of the whole of the cities needs not politically biased to suit certain communities and groups. The balance of opinions being considered and a representative consensus agreed. Not an autocratic imposition of a single viewpoint. The need to be open, honest and transparent.		Nothing, far to biased to benefiting the few rather than the majority.	The bias, autocratic, self serving , self righteous council members. Ill informed and over paid officers in senior management that don't understand the needs of service users and are only interested in furthering their own agendas.	Equity for all councillors to be included in the decision making process that reflects the fact that they are all elected by their area and their views must be included. Removal of the dictatorial cabinet and leader of the council as the few control the many .	over rule or veto the wishes of the full	It has been flawed for so long and serves the interests of a few councillors that it is impossible to view the council as credible or honest in its oversight of the city.
A consensus of elected officials informed by experts and research with time for fair,	The current model does not work well for the city as witnessed by the Streets Ahead	I don't like the way decisions are made currently.	Decision-making is centred around a few, like-minded individuals.	Scope for all parties to contribute and not be blocked by a Labour bloc.	The so-called 'Strong Leader' model!	No
balanced debate. Unbiased and looking at the best decisions for Sheffield as a whole not just a few. How many times has fargate been updated when the castlegate area has been left to rack and ruin.	policy bungle. To be unbiased and not bring party politics into everything. Look at the best for Sheffield not themselves.	Nothing just look at the development of the city and the fiasco that happened to the regeneration when developer backed out.	They are biased politically and ignore the green belt that is our greatest asset	Cross party decisions not dictatorship	0	I think dictatorship will still be their way forward and will continue to take no notice of the people of Sheffield. Please prove me wrong.
Transparent. Intelligent. Carefully argued. Evidence based. Input from appropriate consultation with different views.	As above decision making should be transparent.		I think the cabinet system is inimical to good democratic practice.	Transparency. Clear lines of accountability. Clear communication to the general public. Explanation of pros and cons for particular decisions with clear arguments presented as to why the council went in the direction it did.	Too much concentration of power in a small number of individuals.	
I think all elected members should be involved to make the process democratic and open	I want The process to be open and transparent and for every elected member's vote to count	I don't	I think the strong leader model is undemocratic	I would like to go back to a committee and sub committee structure in which more people can contribute	The strong leader model in which the power to decide is left in the hands of a few	
All stakeholders have an equal opportunity to be listened to, and a decision is reached after a due process of debate and negotiation. This decision and the process should be transparent.	I was shocked and appalled by the fact that only 10 councillors out of 84 make decisions behind closed doors. Meaningful communication BEFORE a decision is made is key.		That situations like the one with Amey and the killing of Sheffield's trees was allowed to happen.	Fair and meaningful representation Increased participation Systems and processes that reflect the above A local agenda for Sheffield Public debate and ongoing evaluation of the way the council works	'Consultations' when a decision has already been made behind closed doors.	
letting everyone vote in a democratic decision	making sure all the information is correct and a democratic decision is made, and it should be for the good of the majority of people in sheffield, not pampering to the whims of the minorities	council appear to have no vision or common sense at all.	everything	every decision to be democratic and available online for all to see, who voted for what	usually turn out to be bad decisions	take a look at Leeds, and how their city appears to be thriving, where as sheffield appears to not be moving forward at all
Interested parties feel like they have been given the chance to contribute. Decision makers genuinely and meaningfully consult.	You need to feel that a wide range of opinion is routinely sought - all councillors should be involved as much as possible and they should have the opportunity as often as poss. of putting the issues out to people in their wards.	considerations.	It's implied in the above answer.	Genuine consultation. The committee system does seem much more involving; people believe in a system	Obfuscation!	Leadership needs to learn the importance of apologising for mistakes. Being genuinely open to changing direction is a key to avoiding the need to
If the likely outcome is controversial, the decision maker explains this openly rather than pretending.		It's not genuinely democratic and participatory.		when it takes their views in consideration.		apologise.
In this case, the limited possible outcomes are still presented clearly to the public.		I was shocked at the way the tree issue was handled and even more shocked at the vindictive way in which the protesters were vilified. The Rustlings Road action seemed more in fitting with Chinese govt methods than a democratic UK city council.		Involvement of as many people at every level. Councillors should be encouraged to seek constituent opinion.		
σ		This survey is typical of the SCC's way: discourage, mock, dismiss, then when it won, gave way and incurred the expense of the referendum. Better to cede genuine debate in the first place.				
D D		Sheffield Labour's majority is bad for healthy debate and discussion. Opposition				
One that the welfare of Sheffield people before party politics A decision ded on objective evidence with consideration of all pros and cons	That it is truly democratic That decision making is transparent, democratic, and honestly represents the views of its citizens	Power in too few people Not much	Too few people involved in making decisions Problems don't appear to be solved or are simply plastered over without getting to the route of the issue, or in some cases are made worse, for example, in relation to public transport services which is more expensive and less reliable	People power More frequent surveys given to Sheffield citizens to see what they want to happen in their areas, and councillors representing all areas having a say in any decisions		
There should be more transparency and diversity in the decision making process, not just carry out stakeholders consultations then make the majority of the decisions that affect this city behind closed doors. Elected councillors that we voted for should have real powers of representation, not just	The decision making process needs to be more transparent and open, accountable. People making the decisions can't be expert in everything, so why are only 10 out of 84 councillors making majority of the decisions, surely more input across board would enhance the decision making that affects the city?			Cross party collaborative, evidence based decision making structure. There's little point of the council making the decisions then the communities have to challenge afterwards		
the selected counting start we could for another have real powers of representation, not just the selected few chosen.	Meaningful and diverse representation					
communities/ experts have been considered/ incorporated in the decision making process	i.					
Fair, balanced, considered. By a group representing all stakeholders. A consensus of all the councillors elected in Sheffield	Balanced, fair and reasonable to all Clear and transparent. They must be made with the green agenda high on the priority lis	The current system does not fairly represent the groups within the city	The current system does not create a fair representation of voting. Too few councillors have the ability to efrect decisions	A representative presence which reflects the votes cast All councillors having their opinions heard	Corruption, cronyism, misjudged contracts with private companies. No	No
			····	·····		
Good decision making should put the needs of the City and its people first. It should be inclusive (ie it should consider everybody's needs), fair (ie it tries to find a balance between the needs of different types of people), and above all open and honest. People making decisions should be qualified to do so - ie knowledgeable about the subject and unbiased (ie not driven by narrow self-interest or interest groups).	People making decisions should be competent to do so (and should act on the basis of relevant information not narrow or pre-held views) Decisions should be made fairly - ie the people who are affected by the decision (or their representatives) should be included in the decision making process Both the process for making decisions, and the decisions themselves, should be	I don't know	I don't like that a lot of decisions are made behind closed doors by a small number of people. There doesn't seem to be enough transparency or accountability.		Secrecy Small closed committees lack of openness and accountability	no
Totally undemocratic and typical of SCC, disgraceful.	transparent and open It is important to me that decisions are made/voted for in a democratic manner, not how you currently operate which is by a minority voting system which is dictatorial and unfair.	Nothing	See section 5	Fair democratic voting.	Avoid your current system and operate within the Nationally recommended guidelines.	No
Decision made after a full consultation and gathering of relevant facts, debate by elected representative members followed by a full vote ie all councillors with entitlement to vote.	Voices are heard - not just the rich and powerful	I don't! It appears that only a few make decisions.	Too few able to vote	The opposite of what I have said above.	Too small a number of individuals involved in final say.	It is not transparent enough.
representative mennuels rollowed by a run vole le an counciliors with entitlement to vole.	An sides have opportunity to debate and vote		Plus, this questionaire is how not to gather information to help with making a decision. It excludes those who are not computer literate, it excludes those who want a voice but do not know how to express it in writing. It is elitest and the questions asked are quite general - how on earth are you going to collate these		11101 309.	I do not have faith in this present consultation exercise.
Democratic Evidence based, rational, strategic, timely, representing the best interests of constituents , efficient.	Transparency and accountability. Effective enhancement of the interests of the city and its citizens	Can't think of anything. It seems slow and poor	answers? The committee system- un democratic and fails to give councillors a voice. Lack of cross party working and consensual decisions. The whip system which deters councillors from speaking out.	Democratisation. Full Representation! Openness and transparency. Improve community involvement and engagement before decisions are made. Involve all councillors in decisions	Leaving things as they are. Stitching up power among a few. Bureaucracy Whips	Implementing change before a referendum would save millions
In a council situation, it should be a discussion among all elected council members , all given an equal right to comment/have their views heard, then a vote and the majority outcome	Democratic so it fully represents the electorate	I don't!	l don't	Cross party consultations Decisions made democratically	Deselecting motions No	On big decisions, invite members of the public to contribute This could include attendance at meetings or surveys, but don't just do surveys which have black & white questions with no ability for free text
Open and transparent- there is NO reason that the public should be excluded from the decision-making process- any firms or individuals unwilling to allow this (e.g., for "experimentality carefulties" reasons the under the table and the understand the surged of the strength.	Transparency - all decision making should be open to public scrutiny	Very little- there is insufficient transparency and too few councillors have influence	Too secret Too few councillors involved	All major committees open to the public		
"commercially sensitive" reasons) should not be allowed to undertake council contracts). Access to expertise and experience e.g maximum consultation with local government experts (at Sheffield and other universities) and other councils already operating a local	The council should have access to the best information and advice available All decisions should be accompanied by an assessment of their impact on inequality					
experts (at Sheffield and other universities) and other councils already operating a local council committee system. Listening to the electorate and aiming to deliver their needs. All our elected councilors	Everyone should have a say or what is the point of electing a council	Nothing i'm afraid it's very undiplomatic	Not diplomatic they do t listen	Better playing places snd less victimising of traffic. Stop closing off roads an cu pollution	Tree felling	It is antiquated and narrow minded. Include the
should be able to vote on decisions			- ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	voices of the electorate

n making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
ives	Leaving power in the hands of the few Lack of transparency Lack of an idependent appeal process	
ocess that reflects the included. e few control the many	Any group that has an overarching power to over rule or veto the wishes of the full council.	It has been flawed for so long and serves the interests of a few councillors that it is impossible to view the council as credible or honest in its oversight of the city.
bloc.	The so-called 'Strong Leader' model!	No
	Keep the city heritage buildings and the green belt. Cities that are top of people list are ones with lovely old buildings not skyscrapers and concrete monstrosities. Too much concentration of power in a small number of individuals.	I think dictatorship will still be their way forward and will continue to take no notice of the people of Sheffield. Please prove me wrong.
guments presented as		
re in which more	The strong leader model in which the power to decide is left in the hands of a few	
	'Consultations' when a decision has already been made behind closed doors.	
s		
, who voted for what	yes, just an elite few making decisions, which usually turn out to be bad decisions	take a look at Leeds, and how their city appears to be thriving, where as sheffield appears to not be moving forward at all
elieve in a system	Obfuscation!	Leadership needs to learn the importance of apologising for mistakes. Being genuinely open to changing direction is a key to avoiding the need to apologise.
be encouraged to seek		
want to happen in any decisions		

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Democratic, well informed, based on all available honest information .	Open, representative of the constituents views.	Not much. They rely on reporting from officers with little scrutiny and too much	As previously answered.	Open, all elected representatives having a vote.	Croneyism.	
Decision making based upon the facts of the matter, taking in to account the views of all people concerned, and doing what the majority of the people want.	At the moment only a few powerful councillors make the decisions regardless of what the rest of the people want.	Nothing much!	I don't like the fact that power is in the hands of a very few councillors when the rest of them have no say.	All councillors are involved, or if it is a decision specific to a certain area the councillors from that area can represent the wishes of the people from that area when they make a decision.	should make decisions while the views of the	For many years the council has wasted a great deal of money on their hare brained schemes which could have been put to better use helping the people of this city.
Reaching a consensus and moving forward on the basis of allowing as many opinions to be heard as possible	 That it is democratic and allows the views of as wide a range of representatives as possible to be heard and given consideration 	Nothing	It is not democratic and a small number of individuals have all the power to the detriment of other groups	That all groups have decision making power. That decisions are taken by the whole council	Avoid limiting power to a small number of	The way thing are done now is not democratic and not fair and representative for most citizens
Democracy within council - certainly not empowering just 10 Councillors to make key decisions. Openness and scrutiny to the electorate. Sheffield does not practice this and is subjecting it's voters to out dated practice to further its party political aims.	Decisions should be made by the full Council in order for the voting public to observe this democracy in public. Investing the power in a Cabinet format is closed and dangerous.	Nothing. The Cabinet system is totally fractured and broken, as well as being immoral	the Cabinet system is fractured. You only need to see the resignations from the ruling party to see that. Sheffield is becoming totalitarian with this ridiculous cabinet system. Let's get back to honest and open debate on issues.	Honest and open debate Whole council votes, not just Cabinet decisions Abolishment of the Cabinet system		
Democratic decision made by all involved parties	Decisions are made to the benefit of all groups and individuals throughout the city by a democratic process	Rubbish, secretive by small groups without full council involvement	That 10 of 84 councillors make most of the decisions is wrong in democratic and smacks of doing what's best for the few not the many	Full involvement of all council members and full pen disclosure to all citizens of Sheffield	Secret meetings and behind closed door decision making	
I want to see a meaningful role for all councillors and not just the few who hold cabinet positions. Open up decision making so it is open to make it better for all more inclusive and greater equality across the city.	A modern committee system which has clear standards and a more democratic	I do not feel the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment is very democratic or inclusive.	We need to move away from the Strong Leader and Cabinet model to a Modern Committee System fit for the 21sat century. The current system is open to abuse	Allow committees to be chaired by councillors not from the majority party. Include stakeholders, experts and those with an interest in the local communities to have a greater say in the decision making process.	Need to move completely away from the current committee structure based on giving	Reform of the way the council currently makes decisions has long been something local people have demanded. Let's see this being given priority and not put off into a long term goal/ambition.
First of all if there is a decision to be made then there must be a reason or cause, there for you would need to identify the initial problem and stage you're already at then identify where you would like to be at some stage in the future ie: your end goal. To make good decisions you must collect as much information about the issue as is possible, always seek input form others and not assume that the obvious answer is the best answer, seeking opinions from others often opens up new avenues of thought. Identify practical and realistic solutions and not the ones that just "sound best" often times the best sounding options turn out to be impossible to implement.	r I would like to see a wide range of people consulted on issues before any decisions are made, this doesn't seem to be the way things are generally done. The people making the decisions and the people who have to live with the consequences of those decisions should all have a voice.	To me there is a disconnect between the council and the people of Sheffield. We seem to be informed instead of being consulted.		I would like more public consultations especially on large projects and thing that change our city like the way the new building look, new road layouts and things like where new retail outlets are placed. I would like our local representatives to be consulted more and be asked for input and be more involved in decission making.		Consult the people, ask questions, seek different opinions and ask for advice from those affected by the decisions being made. It feels like the people of Sheffield are treated like an inconvenience some times. We should have a say about how things are done, about how things are run and about the things that affect our day to day lives, we all live in this city and our input and opinions should matter.
Open, transparent and accountable. This is especially important when times are tough and difficult decisions have to be made which have a real and direct impact on people's quality of life. I work in the third sector and so am more familiar with 'governance' and good practice in that context is guided by nationally published codes of good governance, approved of by the chartly commission but developed and owned by the sector. I tend to favour organisations which are driven by the people they aim to service and who work with a co-production ethos - working with not doing to our service users and communities. Its not easy stuff - it gets messy - but it results in better decisions and a real sense of shared ownership of the problems as well as the solutions.	'leadership' is invested in a few individuals, is a really weak model for running a city. It's just not fit for the task we face moving forward. We're all in the boat - we all need to be pulling together, owning the challenges we face and not shut out of the process. The councillors lelect should be included in decision making, not excluded as they have been. And they should be doing their level best to represent citizens, especially those	Nothing I can think of.	It is not inclusive of a wide enough range of voices. It is not open, reflective and self-critical.	I can't put it any better that than the Principles document produced by the Its our city campaign - link below. https://www.itsoursheffield.co.uk/some-principles-for-a-modern-committee-system- briefing/	Over investing in a small group of people and calling them leaders1 A closed partisan approach which becomes combative and blocks open, honest problem solving.	
Gathering of all relevant facts and subsequent presentation to all elected decision makers responsible in the area/matter being considered. Co-operative decision making rather than behind closed doors is always preferable in a democratic system. A good decision is made when the majority of the population affected are in support of the outcome. It is not a decision where retrospective improvements are obvious.	Sheffield. If the decisions affect the whole of Sheffield then no councillor should have	I can't think of anything	Very bad and slow decision making leaves Sheffield with some very poor long term policies. The roads - great decision to do it but poorly implemented meaning that many roads re-surfaced only a few years ago are already pot holed. I vote at local elections but I believe that the current system leaves Sheffield residents feeling that it's not worth voting as it won't make any difference to the area in which we live or Sheffield as a whole. I believe that the courcel Sheffield with bad decisions made by people without the correct level of expertise to evaluate the options	Local charities who work within communities should have a mechanism by which their expertise can be harmessed. For example it's no use talking about knife crime or drugs if the people doing the talking are not actively engaged with the communities suffering with the problem. All residents of Sheffield should be encouraged to vote and whether this needs to be encouraged by reward is maybe a possible solution		It is too difficult to raise potential problems with the council.
	What's the point of having council elections in the areas that do not have any influence. All councillors should have a meaningful voice to represent Sheffield's communities	Not happy finding is only 10 people. Not much, as I understand it. A clique runs the city.	How can it be a balanced view when only a small number makes any input. One councillor one vote. Too few councillors making decisions in private.	Just a fair and all areas being represented open debates and votes.10 councillors should not be allowed to run Sheffield. More open, inclusive system. I support the calls for: We want a cost-neutral change to a modern committee system. •We want cross-party cooperation and a meaningful role for all councillors. •We want cross-party cooperation and a meaningful role for all councillors. •We want community and stakeholder representation, not just 'consultation'. •We want independent experts, both local and national, consulted whenever necessary. •We want an end to tribal politics and to the whip system! How radical is that?! •We want equality and inclusion across the city. •We want transparency and real consultation. •We want expertise in designing the new system. If this isn't available in house we want it brought in from the outset.	happens for other areas of the city.	I hope this is not another sham consultation.
	The Cabinet system is exclusive - I want all councillors, and when appropriate external	Not much at all.	As above.	As above.		This process is very important and I am looking
way of then actioning this. Consensus, or as close as practicably achievable.	organisations and individuals, to be involved in decision making. There fore moving to a modern committee-style system is appropriate. That all voices are heard and actively involved in decision making.	Clarity of leadership roles	The strong leader model allows party politics to become too central to local	Cross party cooperation.	decision making. Consolidated power.	forward to having my say in the referendum.
Consensus, or as close as practicably achievable. A democratic system that also takes minority views into account and makes decisions based on PROPER consultations and final say involvement of all elected representatives	That all voices are neard and actively involved in decision making. That decisions are made based on FAIR and COMPREHENSIVE consultation with the public where all the facts and opinions are presented. Final say decisions should involve voting by ALL elected representatives	Clarity of readership roles	politics. There can be a lack of transparency. The "strong leadership" model is flawed, undemocratic and autocratic I have had personal experience of making a representation with other citizens to a	Proper public consultations with evidence-based research	Putting pressure on Councillors to vote a certain way (the party line)	Councillors can only be accountable if they are allowed full participation in decision-making The current cabinet who have presided over some of the most controversial decisions should resign so they don't try to dominate committees or bully elected Councillors A new decision making structure requires new faces to imolement
Unbiased consideration of the facts by all elected council members followed by a vote by all those members, thus ensuring that democracy prevails.	That we all have a voice, achieved by all parts of the community having input.	Very little. It cannot be democratic for only a small number of elected representatives to have outright power.	I don't like that decisions are made by a small group of members.	Open, fair and democratic representation.	That the powere is held by one or only a small number of members.	Please make decisions based on what is best for our city and its residents, not what suits party politics. Oh and don't cut down any more healthy trees.
Equal share of power between all parties involved. Transparent decision making. Beneficial, effective outcomes. A lack of pointless squabbling over idealistic nonsense.	Sheffield City Council makes decisions which affect my life, from transport, to roads, to policing and fire services, to decisions about inner city regeneration.	Not much, it doesn't seem particularly open.	It's not open. It's not fair. I currently don't feel represented in the council which is supposed to do just that. There are too many stupid squabbles over political ideals, and party politics. Power isn't shared equally. Decisions aren't made in discussion with local residents: for example the recent cutting down of trees against the will of the people who actually live there. Currently Sheffield City Council feels like it is out of date and in desperate need of change, and frankly I'm disgusted that this has gone on so long.	As a bare minimum, some kind of proportional representation. Decision making power must be shared equally across all councillors.	Unfair concentration of power. Any ability to ignore input from local residents.	
An open debate followed by a vote of all councillors	The well-being of its citizens	Very Little !! The current decision -taking system seems to me to be undemocratic in the extreme and puts decision-making in the hands of a few rather than the whole heat of alerted equarillars	See earlier comments	Detailed examination of proposals by a committee followed by full council debate to reach a voted conclusion.		
Where everyone is involved in the decision making?	That the person I vote for in my local election is not just consulted but democratically involved in making that decision.	whole body of elected councillors. Nothing.	The system is left open to abuse by a small minority within the Labour council.	That the whole of the elected council are involved in decision making, ie as if we had a democracy.		Look no further than unnecessarily cutting down trees which involved lying to the electorate about what was in the agreement.

power who make decisions which they do not have.

What is the point in me meeting and voting for my local councillor if he is not involved in any final decision making?

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision main Sheffield?
Good decision making involves the collating of views, an appraisal of those views and consideration of the impact of implementation. That process should involve everyone who represents those affected by any decision made. The decision should be made by the majority. The process should be open to scrutiny by all Sheffield citizens.	I understand that decision making is in the hands of the few which is entirely undemocratic and leads to communities not in effect being heard. This is wrong and should be changed to a more democratic system.	I am not able to think of anything I like about the way in which SCC currently makes decisions.	As stated above, the power appears to lie in the hands of a few, leaving sections of the community not being heard.	I would like all local counsellors to have the opportunity to become invo that affect the community they represent. The views of that community heard and taken into account. Decisions should be made by a represen which has an independent Chair.
Openness & trust from a diverse team of people who are ultimately accountable to the citizens of Sheffield. Decisions need to be made after extensive consultations with the public & plans need to be easily accessible & communicated.	So many bad & expensive decisions have been made by the 'cabinet' council which have been proved to be a disaster & had to be reversed. Just one of many examples was the bus gate on Chesterfield Rd Woodseats. The heavy cost of these mistakes was paid for with our Council Tax & could have been put to better use paying for underfunded or cuts to social services.	I am a Labour supporter but feel ashamed of the sheer audasity to squander vast amounts of money to no avail.	Totally undemocratic.	Coalition of independents'Flatpack' democracy'. Elected members actually listening & acknowledging concerns & sugges dismissing out of hand.
All elected councillors should take part & have a say in decision making & not just 10 out of 84.	The council should listen to the views of the people in the city via their elected representatives. Councillors should not have be forced to vote in a particular way due to party politics. There should be more cross party working.	Not a lot.	If only 10 out of 84 are allowed to vote how can that be fair & democratic.	Showing respect towards the people of Sheffield. Better sharing of information.
Democratic. All councillors who were voted for have power to Influence.	That decision making is fair, transparent, democratic and based on putting people's needs first	Unacceptable and kept quiet for a long time. Undemocratic and not in the best interests of Sheffield people	Misty councillors have minimal say. Power held by too few.	The same democratic systems used in other cities.
Truthful, honest decent Well informed and researched In the best interests of the residents of sheffield now and those in the future.	All our elected representatives need to be part of decision making to make sure all our voices are heard.	Nothing.	They are made by too few people, the decisions are not transparent and the people making them have tried to withhold critical information and to silence people who have challenged the current cabinet.	Truthful, honest and decent as has been implemented successfully in ot already. Sheffield does not have to reinvent the wheel, learn from others and the that already exists for local governance in the 21st century.
Decisions should be made by all councillors, not just a handful. When making decisions likely future costs need to be factored in. Also the longevity of infrastructure should be considered, eg the relatively short lifespan of Don Valley Stadium seems appalling for the cost	The balance of spending should be applied equally across all wards, this does not appear to happen currently, nor did it seem to have been the case historically.	Nothing, indeed some very poor decision making seems to have taken place in the past.	There has been just a few councillors making decisions, this is totally up democratic.	which has been used in other more progressive towns and cities.
cost. Good decisions are based on facts and views of all parties. Whilst some compromise will undoubtedly be necessary the outcome will be the result of thoughtful discussion. 'Joined up thinking' will also be apparent .	It is extremely important that these decisions take into account the view of all parties , show 'joined-up' thinking and considered thought.	I am afraid that I have nothing positive to say about this.	At present major decisions about how Sheffield is run are made by a small group of people. Not all wards have their views considered as their councillor is not party to the decision-making process. This is undemocratic. Poor decisions have been made and decisions revoked e.g. the removal of scaffolding on Chapel Walk, the tree debacle and issues regarding planning re conservation of historical buildings. There is a lack of joined-up thinking.	The decision making should involve all councillors, not just a select few. consultation is necessary there should be a sufficient time-scale of consumary people as possible to attend. Notices of consultation should be m than they are at present.
Where each elected representative has a vote.	That the full range of viewpoints is heard and that democracy is seen to be served by people's representatives having a say in decision making.		Unless your candidate is one of the chosen few, your vote seems meaningless.	All elected representatives given the vote.
Getting the job done for the majority A modern committee system in line iwth the principles set out by It's Our City	Majority decisions not just a select few Majority decisions not just a select few It should be transparent Power should not be concentrated in a strong leader and (and in Sheffield's recent history, inept) cabinet system	Nothing Nothing	Not a majority decision Lack of transparency Lack of accountability Undemocratic nature Failure to represent local electorate favouring party politics instead Failure to listen	See principles for a Modern Committee System set out by It's Our City
Informed and emocratic	That decisions are made by all counsellors so the people of Sheffield's views can be		The fact that only a few counsellors can vote in making decisions	I would like to see a democratic committee system
A process Ch listens to different voices and communities and their representatives to come to	represented as fully as possible. It must be transparent and engage with differing points of view. It should bring representatives of different political parties into discussion to move forward for the benefit if the city, and not follow narrow political ideology. The party in power was never put there by a majority of the electorate.	I assume putting power in the hands if such a small grip allows decisions to be taken quickly, but this doesn't guarantee good decision- making.	It is in the hands of too few people and that is not good for the city. We need a lively and engaged group of Configurable, but it must put people off standing, when being elected doesn't guarantee a say in any decisions. It must also diminish Councillors'standing in their communities.	I thought the committee system we used to have worked well most of th something along those lines should be adopted again. Councillors shou good training to ensure better process.
Good decision making means the right decision in the circumstances. Good decision making by elected officials is making decisions based on what the people who elected those officials want and in the. best interests of the City It is not about party politics, the good of this City should override the petty squabbling that leads to some poor decision making taking place in this City.	That the people who make decisions based on knowledge of the issues and the correct solutions to those problems. Decisions made by a small nucleus of individuals is not informed decision making but is based on the personal preferences of those individuals.	Nothing	That those people making the decisions do not listen to the people of a Sheffield. That council officials in charge of departments make decisions based on personal prejudices often to the detriment of many people across this city. Those self same people are paid a lot of money but do not offer a service to those they should be helping.	That elected officials listen to the electorate and make decisions for the and not on personal likes or dislikes. That heads of council departments the people they are supposed to help.
Fair choices. Decisions that give everyone equality. Treating everyone fairly. Protecting the vulnerable. Encouraging workers. Punishing crime. Fighting against the entitlement culture		Not much. It's a very partial and biased organisation	l don't like the way it prioritises those who shout loudest. We shouldn't have to make a fuss to get noticed.	Small representative groups from all classes and types of people in the or should be heard and considered.
to promote individual responsibility Following principles of democracy Everyone votes so should have an equal right to representation	individual needs and listen to all opinions. That it's fair and cares for all citizens equally which is not what happens now	Nothing	These self serving sanctimonious people who pretend to care	Honesty fairness and transparency
Democratic process open to public scrutiny and debate.	Need to reflect the wider views of the broad community	Not a lot - A small minority group are given a free hand to dictate the most important policy decisions. Totally undemocratic.	Undemocratic - Minority Group given free hand - No consideration given to alternative views and wider public concerns.	Wider involvement of all councillors in formulating overall policy for all i activity. Consideration given to alternative views held by significant minority ground and the second seco
It looks like to me that 87 elected people who are paid by us should get to discuss their thoughts on whatever is happening in our city, then a majority vote would give more	To listen to the people, give the people a chance to give a point of view then the council should look at thses and then consider carefully before they vote against the peoples	Nothing at all	10 fat cats think they god And they ain't	wider consensus view. People of Sheffield input
councillors the chance to give their opinion, those who listen to the people who voted for them then put the people's point of view forward Not some 10 over egotistical people thinking they are god and their ideas are the only	wishes As you saw from the tree cuttings elderly people were arrested because you upset so many people			It's good to be cost effective but not the main objective when making de Think about services that are a minority who's help you may need.
ones, Its NO WONDER that sheffield looks and acts like a back water village with these 10 so called masters of sheffield driving us into a one way ring road to disaster, our heritage buildings are disappearing quicker than a nightly bombing from the luftwaffe. The greenest city in england has trees chopped down by the thousands, and most of sheffield is actually ashamed of how our city councillors don't listen to us at all, and i still wonder				Read the sheffield star and see what opinions your people are writing at
how in how use till managed to get unted in Transparency, seeking and listening to views of stakeholders, and accountability.	That voters can have an impact through the representatives they elect and who they can hold to account for way they contributed to decisions made by SCC.	I am not at all happy about way decisions are made.	They seem to be made by a few people, and those who disagree with decisions are forced out. Also, it seems that by time voters become aware of decisions - eg planning decisions - it is too late to object. Prime example is contract with Amey which 'nodded through' felling of huge number of trees with no-one really being aware of implications of contract. Local councillor who supported constituents views against tree felling was forced out. Democracy??	to put forward their views and that they will be at least listened to. End
Good decision making involves ALL elected councillors discussing and making decisions. This would mean all parties would have a say and involve independant experts being consulted. Also the voice of the people should be taken into account.	Decisions should be cross party and involve all elected councillors. Sheffield people vote for the councillors and it is not democratic if all parties are not represented in discussions that will affect Sheffield.	Very undemocratic. not much point in me voting if my councillor is not going to be involved in discussions and decisions.	At present only 10 out of 84 councillors of one party make most of the decisions. All councillors who have been elected by the people of Sheffield must be involved in discussion and decision making.	All Councillorsshould be invoved in discussions and decisions. The Cou inform the people of Sheffield of their decisions and the reasons for the
Firm leadership and following commitments given when elected even in the face of stiff opposition	I want the council to pursue a green, people-centred agenda: zero carbon soon, less under the influence of the care lobby, more focused on air quality issues, definitely behind living streets and neighbourhood schemes of this sort. To carry this though we need strong leadership. My personal view is that committees deciding this sort of this		Too influenced by the car lobby	Strong, committed leadership
A democratic system using all elected members.	will be ineffective The current system is not a real democratic way of working.	Nothing	Every decision seems to be made behind closed doors and questioning is not encouraged. The council is unchallenged.	All elected parties to have an equal voice.
Democratic open decision making with clear scrutiny All elected representatives have a say	Becomes more democratic Not run by a caucus	l dont Nothing	encourageo. The council is unchallengeo. strong leader model Too secretive	more scrutiny and transparency More open

cision making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
community should be	Whilst greater participation is sought, the processes should not become so cumbersome that no decisions are ever made.	No.
ns & suggestions rather than	Closed-door bureaucracy. Blinkered decision making. Political backbiting damaging all residents & services. 'Dyed in the wool' philosophy.	If bad decisions continue to be made & no change in the overall ethos then the pride we all have of our wonderful city will be eroded & socially -minded people will start to revolt.
	It should not be dominated by party politics.	No
	I don't know but should expect local governments to know	They've wasted do much money delaying on this and pushing it to a referendum at a time when we are already hard but by cuts. Shame on a labour council for making Tory cuts even worse and behaving so
ssfully in other authorities hers and the clear guidance	Lies, poor representation, the 'strong leadership model', adversarial conflict.	badly Change for the better is badly needed in this city.
ecision making structure ities.	Yes, keeping the current unfair undemocratic structure.	
select few. Where public ale of consultation for as should be more apparent	Lack of transparency.	
s Our City	An adversarial system; it needs to be about building consensus	
	National party politics "Ruling cliques" - same people staying in power See principles for a Modern Committee System set out by It's Our City	
Il most of the time, and cillors should be offered	Making It over- bureaucratic, or very expensive. Both may be avoided with good preparation	I don't feel that under the current system, the Council listens to citizens (or even Councillors). There are many decisions which clearly aren't in the best interests of the city and a better decision making process should have revealed this before
ons for the good of this city epartments act on behalf of	Pettiness and arrogance. There is far too many people involved in decision making who are both petty and arrogant. They beieve that they know better than the people for whom they work or represent.	hand. Decisions made by a small group within the Council are wrong and up democratic. All councillors must have a say in those decisions that affect this City. There is not enough experience within the current small group involved in major decisions.
ople in the city. Each group	REDACTED The people who work and pay taxes should be able to decide where the money goes REDACTED Self serving individuals who only care about themselves and not the people who pay in excess of £1800 per year in council tax	No Let's have more decent honest people who serve the city and not themselves
olicy for all main areas of	Minority groups dictating key policy areas.	
ninority groups - to reflect a	Taking it upon your self To make decisions even when the public has	
n making decisions need.	said no	
re writing about		
ws on local issues are able	Presumably the 'strong leader' model was	I'm assuming this will be published in SCC's
ned to. End to decisions	adopted to 'get things done' but this has led to a Sheffield I find hard to accept. Model had to avoid too loose a structure leading to time wasting and circular arguments, but there are models in councils elsewhere to helo with this.	newsletters etc.
s. The Council must also sons for the decision.	The public must be consulted. If necessary the Councillors must have experts to help with decisions. Decisions and discussions must not be made by a select few.	
	committees	
	The current system.	
	REDACTED Avoid decision making by the few	Video and live stream debates

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
	All communities must be represented at Sheffield city council through their councillors. All councillors must have a meaningfull vote and have the opportunity to represent their communities views in working in topic based committees	I do not like the way SCC is making its decisions at the moment	I do not think all voices are heard in a democratic way through the cabinet system. There is not enough due regard for all communities voices. The council should be more proactive in seeking to find out	Committees, involvement of interest groups and citizens initiatives	Avoid concentrating power in too few hands	
Decisions which reflect the views of the democratically elected representatives.	That they fully reflect the views of my representatives	Very little	They are dominated by a small cabal from one part of one party	A chance for all democratically elected representatives to participate in decisions		Modernize and democratise
Democratic. All elected councillors should have a say.	That it values the people of Sheffield above political power	Nothing	Small number of people have power. It's undemocratic.	Equality of power per vote	0	No
As devolved as possible; all councillors having input into decision-making.	That the decisions are broad-based, taking into account the views of all our elected representatives to the council. An open and transparent voting mechanism for making	I can't think of anything that I like.	Decisions are being made made by a very small number of councillors and then imposed upon the rest of the Council - decision-making is in the hands of too few	I would like to see decisions being proposed by cross-party committees who have discussed the pros and cons of different alternatives, and presented to the full council	making in the hands of a small group of the	No
Evidence based, outcome focused considering a range of stakeholder views. Options are critically and rigorously evaluated against established criteria agreed by the stakeholders or committee body. The best option is then decided usually by a cross interest group based on the recommendations coming out of such a process.	decisions. impacts are assessed in short, medium and long term, economic evaluations ensure that social and wider values are included so low cost low outcome isn't the default option and long term strategic investment can and does take place.	Nothing it is undemocratic and opaque.	people. The strong leadership model means views aren't heard or suppressed. Decisions don't necessarily reflect the views of the community. Their isn't transparency in decisions or decision making which damages trust and puts party before people.	for a final debate and democratic decision. More transparency, more collaboration, more cross party working, more engagement.	same individuals. The current structurel Anything that puts too much power in too few individuals. Process that is too complex or too long winded. Lack visibility on process and application. Methods for bypassing the process once	None
Something all affected parties have the chance to contribute to, and ultimately vote on of required. Something that allows everyone to have a say.	Current process keeps most decisions in the hands of very few people. It's is anti democratic as it does not give the representatives of most voters the chance to have an input on decisions.	Nothing. It allows 1 party to act as a cartel to the exclusion of all others.	See last question. Everything, I don't like everything about the current process.	All elected representatives voting on all matters of significance.	established. The chance for one party to exclude all others from discussion, debate and decisions.	
Decision making through a committee of elected representatives Good decision making must be a transparent and evidence based. A good decision often comes from consensus not division.	That all councillors are involved in the running of the council.	N/a Not much.	It isn't representative - too much power is concentrated in too few people The current system has delegated power to a secretive and highly political executive and has brought out the worst of politics. The leadership are disconnected from the people and behave as if they are in a political drama, and the strong leader system in place encourages this. Ordinary councillors are left powerless.	A move to a committee system of local government Power should be decentralised by introducing a committee system. New mechanisms should be brought in to allow some direct participation by the people of Sheffield.	N/a Any system that gives most of the power to a small minority.	
Evidence based Clear and transparent, open to public scrutiny Accountable Learn from mistakes (and admit them!)	Currently I don't think Sheffield City Council make decisions based on the above decision making principles. They seem very secretive and reluctant to share information with the public they serve.	N/A!	Deveries. Please see previous answer - too cladenstive and undemocratic. This questionnaire is a case in point. I've only just become aware of it and it only has a week to run - how are the council advertising this consultation? They don't seem to be too bothered about gathering as many opinions as possible!	Clear and transparent with accountability. Elected councillors to be able to represent the voice of their local community and have a proportional sway/vote on all decisions. Nobody making decisions on our behalf should be unelected and unaccountable.	Secrecy	Aim for best practise not business as usual!
elected councillors knowing their commmunites well and knowing the priority issues in their area. They do this by engaging and talking to the people who live in thier	Democracy. Good and real representation of the people. Because decisions should reflect the needs and voices of the pople who live here. Decisions that are made by listening to people. Seeing petitions and people raising concerns or matters that effect their community as a positive thing and something to be valued and not be threatened by.		Strong leader model.	Committee based decision making so a change to the strong leader model which is completely undemocratic esp when the leader chooses their handpicked cabinet. How can 10 people decide on so much on behalf of 600,000 people.	Yes strong leader model.	We really need to have incredible councillors who have their communities at heart.
Decisions should be made in a fully transparent, evidence-based framework. Where the whole council votes.	The council must not: Wasting money on poor decisions. Hiding information or reasons for decisions.	Nothing	Only 10 councillors, out of 84 we all vote for, have the formal power to make most decisions	An evidence-based approach to decisions Total transparency on all decisions made.	Only a minority, out of 84 we all vote for, having the formal power to make most decisions	
A move to a committee system where there are representatives from across the city rather than just a small selection from the majority party being told how to vote regardless of their constituents wishes . A committee approach will improve governance and transpare	Allow only a minority of council members to control the voting power Open and transparent, being willing to call in independent experts when required and actually have a meaningful discussion and take notice of and act on expert advice even if it is opposed to the council's direction of travel. Being prepared to openly admit to making a mistake and doing something to put it right.			I think I've made it fairly clear I would like to see a committee system and a return to decent democracy putting fairness and equity at the heart of the council's work	Avoid the current system Avoid the use of PFI contracts Avoid selling parts of our heritage to overseas concerns for profit.	
To benetion locality regardless of their voting history. As the council, you are not here	It would be nice if there were more decisions that benefited the people of this great city	It seems fairly small minded	Too few people are involved in actual decisions	Transparency	REDACTED	No
to serve very or agenda regardles One made by experts. Different decisions made by different people according to their area of expertigend any one decision should be made by a group of experts since even experts with any different perspectives on things.		I do not know enough about decision-making in Sheffield other than relative to the comment made below.		See 7 above. Those making the decisions should have in-depth knowledge of citizens' views and the implications of those decisions for the future of the city. They should be made by experts in the specific area or at least be informed by the findings and knowledge of experts.	Decisions made by a restricted group with little knowledge of issues and implications. Certainly no decisions should be made by those with a financial interest in the outcome.	
That is honest and fair and represents the people of the city. That the process behind it is transparent and people in the city have an opportunity to be part of it.	That more than 10 people make decisions that effect the majority of people in the city.	The ones about the development of the city.	That it doesn't help the most vulnerable In the city with regards to homelessness enough.	More open and transparent And involves people from Communities more.		No
It is evidence-based, forward-looking and un-biased. Evidence-based means that all available information about technical and cost-benefit aspects of the decision should be taken into account, investigating best practice as seen in other locations in the UK and more widely. The validity of evidence should be evaluated from a professional viewpoint, not just 'widely held public opinion' (which may be distorted due to media influence or rumour); and not unduly weighted by the loudness of particular voices in any debate: the voices of under-represented groups should be sought. Forward-looking means that the long-term impact on communities and environment should be considered; and 'sustainability' in a total sense should be an over-riding aim. Un-biased means to avoid distortions of the decision-making process due to party-political or other 'tribal' biases, and considering the Nolan principles for public life.		It appears to be efficient, in the sense of time. (But the implication is: lacking in consultation and perhaps over-hasty or unbalanced)	It appears to lack transparency, due to the 'strong leader / cabinet' model.	Communities more: Some form of modern committee system. It should be cross-party and truly consultative; taking into account evidence and best practices from elsewhere.		
		I don't have any strong likes - I believe the power is currently too centralised and should b more widely spread amongst all councillors	I don't like the way that decisions have been made which clearly go against the wishes of many of Sheffield's people recently. I would like the decision making power to be devolved to all councillors and the process to be fair, robust, representative and transparent	I would like to see all local councillors involved and to see positive impact in the city	Please avoid a handful of people holding the majority of the decision making power - this is never a good thing	no thank you
Where the whole council group gets to make a decision rather than a specific few.	That there is a broad range of people that look at the issues and make the decisions.	I don't like the way that the council currently makes decisions.	I don't like the fact that is a limit amount of people that is making the decisions	I would like to see a broad base of the council able to be included in the decision making	. Limiting the input of all council members.	The decicion making needs to be more accountable. The Sheffield public needs to be able to see what decicions have been made, who supported the decicidion and who did not and why the decision wa made. Councilors need to be accountable.
Decisions made a group of people to ensure a wide range of views are considered	That all Councillors are involved in decisions	Nothing	Decisions only made by a select few	Transparency: who is in which decision making group $\&$ why they in that group	Avoiding giving too much overriding power	
One where all voices and views are heard. One where people cooperate together to reach a decision. One where the widest knowledge is shared.	transparent, we know who was able to vote and what their vote was. The people we		Not all elected members are part of the decision making. This makes voting for local councilors a farce.	All councillors be part of decision making. They be able to represent their voters views and vote.	to anyone/few people	
What will benefits over 55% people of the City. It have to be open and transparent, inclusive to BAME and disable people. Which will leave a legacy for our young generation.	elect can represent us in council decision making. The cuts for the devices, Council tax and payment like clean air zone . We don't know anything behind this prices and where it's going?	They just get on with the work. Not much to say	N/a	A public meeting, announcement reasoning What why when and how will the do it. What is the benefits or negative of their decisions	population Don't listen to the officers and directors.	Council needs to reach out more to people. REDACTED People of the city also needs to awake up and ask questions and read. They don't want to engage but complaining all the time.
Democratic. Involving ALL councillors	That they are made in the intrrests of the whole of the population regardless of political affiliation.	Nothinng. Not democratic. Biased towards "favouered areas"	Everything. Non democratic. Biased towards favoured arreas.	Involvement of whole council.	Placing power in the hands of a few	Is not fit for purpose in a modern democratic city

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
ny decision? If yes, then the next task is to determine all the factors by doing some uality research. Look at the issue from all sides, take advice, think long term and	That it is transparent and makes financial and social sense. I prefer to see long term planning rather than short term fixes. For example, many roads in Sheffield have trees overhanging them that are an obvious safety hazard. Yet what happens? Nothing until someone is injured / killed by falling branches. So I would say the current operational side of the council is simply not working and needs to change.	I'm not in a position to comment.	I see no long term planning for Sheffield, no direction of travel. Everything seems to be reactionary and whatever the whim of the day is.	I would like to see a long term plan that turns Sheffield from the congested dump it currently is, to a wide, open and welcoming place full of life and vibrancy. Then every decision can be related to this plan to make sure it improves things long term. Major changes should be thrown open to the public for comment and the best ideas incorporated.		Sheffield council has been Labour run for decades and has run up mountains of debt through wild schemes. If I think back to all the wasted money ove the years it is simply massive: The centre of town du up, a giant hole dug with fishtank and shops and then all filled in. A shiny new swimming pool, built and used for a few years and then torn down and moved half a mile. A shiny bus station refurbished and now virtually unused. An athletic statiom, built at huge cost, used a few years, torn down and turned into a school. A new eggbox town hall extension. Built at great expense, now torn down and replaced by an office block. A bandstand on the Moor now torn down. This must represent billion of pounds wasted over the years and illustrates the calamitous Council planning process. We need long term planning and proper financial management.
2) Any decisions are based on evidence	As above - the council needs to be far more inclusive in the way it forms decision-making groups and it needs to be far more transparent. At the moment Sheffield Council acts in an arrogant and undemocratic manner.		See previous - it's self serving and undemocratic	Mechanisms to ensure community participation Mechanisms to ensure the proper garnering and attention to expert opinion when appropriate A more democratic broadening of decision making groups A structure that stresses the primacy of local over party needs	Pathways that allow the old structures to operate under the disguise of superficial change.	I am prepared to be pleasantly surprised if I saw the council take heed of this consultation.
5) The decision making process should be genuinely transparent at all stages nformed and evidence based. Made by those open to opinions other than their own or	It is important for them to make good strategic decisions that are appropriate for a	SCC seems to make decisions with the best intentions in mind.	There are too few people making the important decisions. I also worry those making the decisions lack the chills, training, or experience, to be making such	A modern committee system that allows all councillors, regardless of political party, to be involved in decisions.		Please embrace this opportunity for change. Sheffield will achieve so much more with good
	large city. It is important that there is a plurality of views taken into account in descion making.		making the decisions lack the skills training or experience to be making such decisions.	This would be far more representative of the people of Sheffield than the current mode	group of people from the same political	governance and leadership based on listening and openness to new ideas.
	It needs to be clear exactly who makes the decisions and that they are accountable.		How much experience does the leader of the council and the other members of the cabinet have of working outside Sheffield?		It is not healthy to have power concentrated	
	Decisions need to be in best interests of the city a not influenced by local party politics.		For example what have they done outside local politics that is comparable in terms of budgets and strategy and leadership to the roles they now fulfill?		in one small group that will not critically review each other.	
			Decisions are made without proper consultation and appear to be very heavily influenced by local party politics.			
			When demonstrably bad decisions are made there is a reluctance to admit mistakes and there is very little ability for the public to hold SCC to account.			
	It's important that the councillor I vote for, even if it is an independent (unlikely in my seat but not necessarily in others) should have the same right to influence decisions as		Sometimes I feel the council attempts to impose a decision already made, and the 'consultation' which follows is a box ticking exercise.	More open early consultations.	More political point scoring.	
oncerns, rather than a suggested idea by the council being put forward first. It would ave a lot of tiphe in the long run by ruling out utterly unworkable plans so that the fiat ictual computation had options that were feasible.	any other councillor. Councillors who go against party line should still be able to represent their constituents fully. It is up to the party whether to push them out (make them an independent councillor or refuse to put them forward as a party councillor in future) but they should not have the power to all that councillor sitting.	the later consultation meetings that are nearer to a decision have been run better	At the moment, political parties can 'pull rank'meaning that independents and councillors from smaller parties are not heard. Too much time is spent trying to score political points rather than addressing the issue at hand.	Every councillor getting a chance to speak. Better debate.		
public should have time to submit documents if necessary so that all sides are	As I previously said, there is a balance to be struck between expediency and democratic accountability, but the main arguments on all sides of each debate should be heard before a decision is made.					
	That it needs to be voted on by every Councillor.	I don't	Strong leader model	A new leader	That a small number of individuals can make the decisions on behalf of a whole city.	
Participative and democratic, attending to diversity, efficient open and accountable. Local ouncillors must be involved in decisions and discussions that affect residents and usineses in the wards that they represent. Political parties must share responsibility, while attending to their vote-shares in the composition of committees. The "strong leader - cabinet" model is inappropriate for a diverse and complex city like Sheffield, and wastes he talents of the great majority of the councillors, and indeed of other interested groups and individuals. Consultations" must be integral to the planning process, not conducted solely to satisfy arrowly interpreted legal obligations after decisions have been taken. The active nvolvement of Sheffield's people and their organisations in all council activity is essential, and ensuring that this happens will take some resources; however, there are currently vasted resources - formal (but largely ineffective) "scrutiny" is redundant in a properly onstituted open and accountable committee system, and as noted above the talents and energies of those elected representatives who are currently excluded from the decision naking processes will be released by the introduction of a modern power-sharing system n which leadership is encouraged and distributed among many individuals.	See above.	Nothing .	Undemocratic, insular, defensive, tribal party-politics, patronising to residents, in thrall to a few large contractors, such as Amey and Veolia, that do not deliver value for money.	See comments in Section 1.		Please do not use the Tory government's dreadful austerity policies as an excuse to keep cutting support for Sheffield's cultural heritage. Our galleries and museums represent the city to many visitors, and at present they take away an image of crumbling spaces and broken infrastructure. The Millennium Gallery rof and windows leak, the Graves Gallery/ Central Library has broken heating system. The maintenance of these buildings is under-resourced. It is incomprehensible to me that the new developments in the city curne, with splendid and imaginative landscaping, etc. can be afforded, while our heritage is allowed to crumble. Something is clearly wrong with the strategic decision-making.
ositive ideas from a wide range of people based on facts	Transparency and accountability.	Not a lot	Poor transparency, poor representation of the public, poor accountability	Better Transparency, Better representation of all wards, better accountibility	now. Avoid thinking that the general public	This whole strong leader thing has to end. Everyone needs to have their say.
horoughly researched, properly and impartially advised, taking account of the views of all nterested and affected parties, free from political bias and / or self-interest, informed and nade by an appropriately diverse and broad range of decision makers. Decision making hould not be in the hands of a small, self-perpetuating group of people who are not properly accountable.	leadership' approach only leads to decision making being concentrated in an elite. It is	Very little. The Streets Ahead programme, the felling of street trees, the misleading information given to and misuse of South Yorkshire Police resources, the plans around the City Library, the approach to history and heritage in the city are all recent examples of Sheffield City Council's poor decision making.	I don't like the way decision making is concentrated in to the hands of a small group of people.	A wider range of voices with access to the decision mnaking process. A genuine committee structure where elected representatives actually have a voice.	won't hold you to account. Concentrating the power in the hands of too small a group of people. Allowing those who have dominated decision making in Sheffield for many years to pay lip-service to change and simply construct another system in which they remain uncahllengeable and unaccountable.	
	Sheffield Council should make decisions that reflect the views of Sheffield people and that are carried out in order to create the best city for Sheffield people.		Decisions appear to be made by a very small group of councillors and it sometimes feels like commercial interests are more important than the council's role providing services for the people of Sheffield.	Open and transparent. Representing the views of people across Sheffield.	Don't know enough about possibilities	
	In recent years it has felt like a small core of councillors have made decisions where commercial interests have come above the interests of Sheffield people and views have not been taken into account.					
	For example street trees - where even councillors were protesting and did not appear to have any input in the process. There have been other issues that felt like this too, but I can't recall specific details.					

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Good decision making involves all parties with a stake in those decisions being able to participate in a meaningful way. Decisions should not be made by a select few. There should be proper scrutiny of decisions and they should only be made after consultation, not before.	Sheffield Council are there to represent all the constituents, whichever party they voted for. Therefore all Council members should be able to represent their constituents and have a meaningful voice within the Council chamber. It is important to me that decisions that affect the city are not made by a small handpicked group of 'yes men', doing the bidding of the Leader, but that all decisions should be able to be challenged in a proper democratic way. It appears at the moment that this is not the case, and decisions are made on tribal grounds often behind closed doors and not always in the best interests of the	Very little.	Please see comments above. I don't like the strong leader approach that is being used at present. This disenfranchises a large number of constituents who are represented by the majority of the eighty four councillors who are outside the small tribal cabal of ten councillors currently making decisions.	That SCC move away from the strong leader model to a more democratic committee system where all councillors should be able to properly represent their constituents and have a meaningful voice. There should be more involvement with stakeholders and others who are working towards a more equal and inclusive city. There should be more scrutiny of decisions.	There must be care taken to ensure there is no increase in unnecessary bureaucracy .	
Good decision making is accountable, fully transparent and aims to deliver the best possible outcome for those affected by the decision.	constituents. It is important that, while council members follow the national approaches of their political parties, that this is not done blindly, and that subtle differences that make national policy less applicable to Sheffield are weighted carefully.			A citizen observatory - a representative body to ensure decision making is witnessed by the electorate; particularly when significant public funds are at stake.	An increase in closed-session evidence and decision making.	
Good decision making considers as much broad input as possible, from a range of sources and diverse representation - this allows contribution to the effort from those whose voices are not easily heard, and decreases the chance of the echo chamber effect - where a few voices in agreement are amplified and assumed to represent the majority.	\$					
When all reasonable views are taken into account, considered respectfully and a decision is made with transparency by all our representatives	Important that large groups of people (who vote for other parties) are not excluded from the decision making process.	Very little	That a tiny group of the elected representatives have far reaching power to make decisions that are then ratified quickly and without transparncy.	Most importantly, I'd like to see a committee system that includes proportional reps from all parties, not unlike the Westminster cross aprtyy committees. I'd also like to see citizens assemblies being used to gather information and ideas to	Any moves towards more secrecy, especially with contracting out services.	I believe we should move to proportional representation in our local elections, to get a Council that more accurately represents the wide cross section of views. See Scotland for examples. Perhaps
				enable the Council to be more responsive and less secretive.		the Council might then move forward in a spirit of cooperation and compromise?
All the facts + evidence being considered All possible solutions being put forward	All councillors should be able to weigh in on decisions with an equal vote.	I am mostly interested in environmental issues. The grey to green scheme idea is good.	The AMEY contract has been terrible for the city and should be stopped ASAP.	More investment in the environment/renewable energy/zero waste/zero carbon options.	There should never be a small number of people making decisions for the many.	Please invest in more environmentally friendly options.
Which solution/decision is best voted on by an impartial group of people, who fully comprehend the benefits/pitfalls of each solution.	on serious issues the public should be consulted.	The city incinerator is good for waste removal/energy recapture. I hear that the council has applied for funding for more cycle/walking/public transport infrastruction development. This would be very good for the city.	Not enough investment in environmental/sustainable growth cycle/public transport/electric car charging there should be a big push in these areas.	Fine people for idling cars (particularly around hospitals) maybe have double red lines (no stopping) around hospitals/schools with cameras to	This generally leads to corruption/abuse of power.	please have councillors from all parties involved please involve the people.
			It seems like decisions are made based on financial gains, rather than what is actually best for the city.	enforce this. Basically all decisions should consider the environmental impact, and this should be as	the larger the group consulted on decisions the better.	
It should be evidence based and include input from all elected members who are aware of local needs. Whilst input from organisations is important, the primary focus should be on what people living in Sheffield will benefit from most, such as health benefits.	open to all members. Decisions should be transparent and available to the public online Use of confidentiality agreements in private contracts should be eliminated as it distorts		There is no public access to how decisions are made, with recent disastrous contracts such as the tree felling that brought bad media coverage, hidden behind private agreements. This is not how the council should be run.	immortant ac anv financial henefit Open, honest evidence presented with voting records open and all elected members able to have equal voting rights.	There should be no hierarchy of members and all information that decisions are based on should be open to public scrutiny.	
Democratic views being considered A collaborative, open, honest, transparent, sensible and pragmatic process that allows an equal input and say from all elected persons (in this case councillors) A process that doesn't aim to serve the cause of the individuals involved, anxious to retair their positions, but rather one that utilises best practice, genuine expert advice and opinion and takes the honese view.	by SCC be scrapped and replaced by a truly democratic, non self-serving system as employed by the vast majority of authorities.	Nothing nothing	Not representative of the people see my earlier responses (this is simply asking the same question, phrased differently)	All councillors should be involved in decision making see my earlier responses (again this is asking the same question, just phrased differently) Yes, the Strong Leader system.	UnbalancedLabour heavy If Sheffield could hold a candle to similar northern cities in terms of Inward investment, infrastructure, levels of affluence, a vibrant city centre, quality and diversity of housing stock and economic growth, then maybe I could be persuaded that the current system, despite its obvious flaws had some merit.
opinion and takes the longer view.						However given Sheffield lags behind on so many metrics, then it suggests the need for a change is long overdue.
The princes set out in the 'it's our city' document (https://estitsoursheffield.co.uk/some-principles-for-a-modern-committee-system- briefing) set out in the 'it's our city' document seem to make a lot of sense to me as a guide to a system that can lead to good decision making.	working together to seek the common good listening widely transparency and accountability looking to be cost effective - using funds wisely		Lack of openness/transparency Only a minority of councillors involved - from a single party non-elected, non accountable decision makers	As in my answer to question 1. I think the principles set out in the document produced by 'it's our city' are a good guide.	Too many layers of decision making and accountability	I am pleased that this review is happening and would also like to recognise, with thanks, the wealth of work that is undertaken by the council in it's council chambers and it's offices.
For me there principle of collaborative working and evidence based decisions along with good listening to communities and experts. The ethos of working together seeking the common end seems to me a end underlying principle Inclusive and informed. Respecting opinions. People being heard, unbiased reporting so everyone has the facts. Transparent and open to scrutiny. Clear governance and	It's not currently inclusive or transparent. Many Sheffield residents are not clear on the current process	I think they have residents' interests at heart, and the decisions do not seems to b motivated by party politics	e Lack of transparency, no scrutiny by Sheffield residents	Open and honest, inclusive and making Sheffield a desirable place to live and visit. Addressing inequality in the city, giving all residents a voice or representation	Closed shops	
accountability Inclusive. Measured outcomes. Clear guidelines. Study all the facts from both sides of an argument and then make a logical conclusion.	Decisions are clear and inclusive. Full disclosure , no redaction , available for public scrutiny . More democracy the same 10 making all the decisions invalidates the rest	Hard to think of anything	Slow. Should be more inclusive of communities The way they set up an independent assessment e.g. Tree panel and then ignore the findings and as a result wasting the money spent on it . Some of the council seem to blatantly lie about their actions .	More community representation. Any major contract should be fully scrutinised by anyone signing it. Employment of experts where necessary to help with decision making. The Amey contract was redacted , how can that work. Fuller transparency. Conclusions made by a working party to be voted on by the whole council before	Bureaucracy	
Made with equal say from ALL elected councillors.	All councillors to have an equal vote in decision making.	Nothing	A small elite make decisions	instigating. Real consultations not sham exercises	Elite cabinet secrecy	must be democratic with all councillors having a real
A selected cabinet is anti-democratic and means many wards are not represented in decisions.	Committees etc to have representation based on electoral share	Too much secrecy (eg the abysmal tree felling contract with Amey)	No electoral accountability	Give all councillors a say so that people feel their vote isn't wasted		say and genuinely representing their wards
Transparent, inclusive, evidence based and competent A democracy. Where multiple opinions are taken into account and then voted on by as wide as range of people as possible.	Taking appropriate (drastic and urgent) action on climate breakdown. I want to see whatever changes that are needed to make this possible and effective. I think the system should be modernised to allow more councillors to represent the people who voted for them	I don't like the current system	They get away with things like the appalling Amey tree contract. My councillors don't have any power. The public are shut out. Can't see what council is working on. Too few people are making the very big decisions	significant element of deliberative involvement of the public (citizens assemblies) - not for all functions, but to steer things. More councillors should be consulted to make decisions	A system which allows just a few people to make decisions affecting thousands of	
Good decision making takes account of the breadth of opinion, need and evidence from al sides. It does not dismiss any concerns as 'trivial' or 'stupid', but considers why such concerns may arise, and evaluates how they will be affected by the decision. It is thoroughly checked - both by impartial civil servants and by all stakeholders - and its impacts are considered before the decision is taken. It is transparent, based on evidence, and considered.	rather than the interests of the people of Sheffield. It appears narrowly concerned with its own bureaucracy and unable to collaborate effectively, fairly, or reasonably with loca organisations. SCC seems to be obsessed with large, corporate, external parties, over	Not a lot.	see question 6. You can't even get a questionnaire right, can you?	Proper involvement of all councillors, with decisions made through a committee structure, where members of the public are encouraged to attend, observe, and contribute as appropriate. I would like to see council papers written in a way that is easy for non-members to understand, in common-sense language, and not hiding behind jargon.	people without proper consultation and accountability. Committees must be accountable, and their decisions should be demonstrably based on evidence (ie evidence must be cited to justify decisions), and all decisions should be open to challenge if it is clear that evidence has been left out or ignored. Committees should report directly to the whole council for ratification. It should be possible for anyone to raise an objection when committee reports come to full council, whether they are a councillor, civil servant or member of the public. Vexatious objections should be dismissed, but serious objections must be attended too. There are many excellent examples of how to organise a committee structure to ensure that decisions are accountable, justifiable, and responsible. It cannot be beyond the wit of SCC to find one that works for us.	
Good decision making is based upon opportunities for participation across a range of political positions and stakeholder organisations such as community forums. Good decisions are transparent, based on evidence and have taken the time necessary to arrive at them	That SCC decision making should reflect the principles underlying good decision making as noted in 4 above	I can't honestly that I 'like' the way SCC makes its decisions as this process is unclear	Apparent lack of transparency and the dominance of a single political grouping. Some decisions e.g. pertaining to planning, heritage, public transport are opaque	More involvement of community and neighbourhood groups in direct democratic processes (e.g. Barnsley model)	Relying on the 'tried and tested' and lack of openness to other council models (e.g. Preston, Bristol)	No

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision in Sheffield?
All council members having a meaningful vote. Not just the select few	DEMOCRACY as it's intended not just the leader choosing who can make decisions.	N/A	The Cabinet method appears to be elitist and undemocratic. What the point of elections if the councillors can't decide what's best for their constituents.	Committee style local government where all councillors have an ec
A system whereby all councillors have, and are expected to use, a vote on all council decisions	Decisions must be made in a fully democratic manner with all councillors taking part	Not much	It is undemocratic. Lack of transparency.	Please read the It's Our City briefing Some Principles for a Modern it's all there!
Proportional and transparent with minutes of meetings which can be accessed by the people it will effect and the whole of Sheffield before decisions are made. Any changes made must be "signed off" by a majority proportional to the people it will effect.	Proportional and transparent with minutes of meetings which can be accessed by the people it will effect and the whole of Sheffield before decisions are made. Any changes made must be "signed off" by a majority proportional to the people it will effect.	I like that I have been contacted on this issue.	Not being contacted or informed or not having access to information about decision making. I do not like decisions being made without proportional consent.	More contact with residents. More online information. More notice boards providing information not only in public areas supermarkets, leisure centres and transport hubs (also advertising
Sheffield residents must have full access to all council related minutes whether they will effect change or not. This should include planning meeting records and proposals.	Sheffield residents must have full access to all council related minutes whether they will effect change or not. This should include planning meeting records and proposals.		The absence of representation of people not affiliated to a political party. The disconnect between residents and council.	
The system that is used now in my opinion is totally undemocratic and denies a balanced approach to the governance of the city it renders the votes of many people as irrelevant unless you vote Labour. In parliament all MPs have a vote at the end of debates not just the Prime Minister and his cabinet. Tha system has to alter.	to enable a small number of counsellors to run the city on ideologies that suit only a	At the moment I cannot really say that I like the way the city is run	It disenfranchises most of the local councillors who don't vote Labour	For all voting to be open to scrutiny and not be decided behind cl the views that go along with the status quo are listened too
Good decision making in local government should make its decisions based on the following. Facts and analysis - all decisions should be supported by facts and analysis. Cognitive bia	is important.	The executive approach offers benefits such as timeliness, reduced procrastination, clear accountability and implementation of policies aligned to the majority party. However, it does mean areas of the city and many people in the city feel under-represented, regardless of how much the executive body feels it has the second se	decisions are actually made. Perhaps that says something about the need for	I think I have outlined these in my comments in the first few quest
has no place in local government decisions. Political policies - we voted for the councillors and the policies their parties stand for, so decisions should be based on the policies. The policies of the majority should take precedence. People oriented - local government must represent the people and decisions must be made that is for their collective good.	But, there has to be priorities based on the different needs of different parts of the city. For example, some areas may require more urgent developments, improvements and maintenance than others. So, there needs to be a portfolio of projects and services that addresses these differently prioritised needs A portfolio means that lower priority actions would not be endlessly put off, in favour of high priority actions. A portfolio g would be a mix that while favouring the higher priority items also addresses the lower es priority items, a few every year.	included their needs. There is a a key area from my perspective that I believe would benefit from a		
All elected representatives (councillors) should be able to contribute to discussions, be made aware of pertinent information and be involved in decisions. In the case of a ward issue, the 3 councillors of the ward should have a greater influence on the decision. Issue being decided should have been brought to the attention of affected residents/beil esses/service users and their views included in discussions before a decision in the Appeal/reviews of decisions from affected residents/businesses/servic users should be norshibe.		nothing strikes me, but I am pleased that the street trees decision was finally resolved, but it took too many years.	The belligerent attitude in refusal to review decisions or consider points contributed by counciliors of minority parties	What i said in question 4
One whet the opinions of all stakeholders are considered and acted upon in the best interests the majority.		Not much if it is based on a sub set of councillors, rather than all councillors.	Small group decision making across all council areas.	Representative, democratic decision making.
A fair cross section of council members to work together from all areas of the city giving consideration to the needs of ALL residents in the city.	I think my comments above answer this question. I live in S17 and note Furniss Ave. has still not been resurfaced two years after the contractors attended to most of the roads in this area. I have also noted other missed roads in this area.	I don't like their method of democracy, which it certainly isn't.	This was answered in the previous section.	Already mentioned in an earlier section.
Good decision making for Sheffield should be on the basis of the current available evidence and not prejudged or carried out on Party Political lines. It should be for the benefit of all residents and not tailored to garner support at the next election.	The decision making process should take into account representation from all councillors whose constituents are affected and not be party political but for the good of all the residents of Sheffield.	Nothing f	The council is dominated by Labour and does not represent the views of a large proportion of the residents. It appears to make decisions without recourse to expert opinion but has a "we are in charge and therefore we are correct and can do what we want" mentality.	A more representative make up on committees from councillors of that all residents are represented.
A democracy. A variety of people giving a variety of opinions and then, as a whole, makin a decision. Good decision making at SCC would mean doing the right thing for the majority rather than minorities. I would also like to see more of the elected councillors have an involvement in the way decisions are reached and consequently, am in full agreement with the It's Our City Campaign and it's aims.	and not from one political party.	l don't. Decisions are made by 10 Labour councillors. There is no option for dilution of opinion or policy. Not much. It seems highly politicised, tribal, insular and lacks transparency.	It's focussed on the views of only 10 councillors from the Labour Party. I would like to see a more varied political council. See previous answers. By the way, this survey is very poorly designed. Feels like an attempt to sweep any issues into the long grass.	All councillors to have a say.
	make the correct decisions. The removal of the current leader system and its replacement with a more democratic,			
have a say and a vote on all issues effecting the community in Sheffield	modern committee system, with immediate effect.	the views of the council as a whole or the people of Sheffield.	of the council as a whole or the people of Sheffield.	successful in other cities where the system has been implemented
	I am concerned that it is not currently as democratic as it could be as some councillors have more power than others. I would like membership of committees to relate to vote share as far as possible. I am often mystified as to why services have been contracted out and how the contracts have been written - I would like to be able to access information so that I could understand this more and be confident that decisions had taken the future of the people of Sheffield into account.	have been swingeing. I appreciate the efforts which have been made to reduce the		see above
Decisions that result in sensible outcomes that benefit people of all types Self interest.	That they listen to all local representatives I am all for the changes to the way the council is run at present, not very democratic. I would go further in saying councils should not be run on party politics but for the people they represent. The way some of the decisions Have been made have been shambolic.	No comment Very poor, not democratic	The dominance of a few people Too few making the decisions, Not democratic	A full democratic voting system for all counsellors Every council member should have a vote.
Transparency Clear accountability Reflecting communities before political ideals	Government of the people by the people for the people	Very little Seems decisions are made according to political doctrine not the interests of its citizens	Power in the hands of a few making decisions based on political doctrine not in the best interests of its citizens	More inclusive of all the councillors we elect this will ensure their are considered

cision making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
e an equal say and vote.	Elitism and the leader having too much power	What a pity change had to be forced on the council. They should have done it voluntarily themselves. It's a shame that the people of Sheffield had to force this change on SCC by signing a massive petition. Well done to the people of Sheffield. I believe it should never have been required if we'd had a true democracy in the first place. A cabinet style gives the real impression of being anti democratic and elitist.
odern Committee System,	Pointless expense and even more waste of taxpayers money than Sheffield is already notorious for Minority groups councillors or people making decisions for the majority.	It is obvious that the decision making process requires reform and should be more proportional.
areas but in areas such as ertising billboards).		
nind closed doors with only o	That common sense is use and the council dont jump and opt for fly by night schemes that last no longer than city centre highway systems.	All the schemes that are ratified seem to be in favour of large companies that dont take an hollistic view to the city and its inhabitants
questions.	Procrastination. Decisions need to be time- boxed appropriately. Political games that lead to inaction. One	I believe fewer councils now run with an executive council, so changing would be a clear signal to voters and potential partners for redevelopment that Sheffield too is willing to have a more democratic
	can only hope this would be avoided, but if not then publication of why delays are happening would probably help to move things forward.	and representative approach.
	Decentralised action plans. As I stated previously, the council should have a centralised, mixed portfolio of projects and services to develop, improve and maintain areas of the city that while favouring the high priority (based on needs) items also includes lower priority ones running in translat That the majority party should not be able to enforce decisions which are rejected by all minority parties	
	The ability for small groups to unduly i fluence decisions that affect all of Sheffield.	
	The present way it is handled by hidden decisions by a minority of council members.	I feel I have covered most in previous sections.
lors of all factions to ensure	It should not be an exercise in finding a back door to carrying on as we have been for years. There needs to be an independent review to decide on the best committee structure for Sheffield based on what is currently proving successful within other councils of similar size. A closed-shop type council where other political parties aren't represented.	
		Sort out graffiti and litter in the city.
system. This has been very ented.	Anything like the current system, power being in the hands of a small number of people	The amount of money wasted under the current system is criminal, ie money spent trying to prosecute the tree protestors. The current 10 leader system councillors should be accountable for their actions.
	Extremism of any sort Being a closed shop, which you get from party alliances. Councils should be not party politics	Been vey poor and costly, fine example "Sevenstone" and there are many moor.
e their constituents views	Get rid of P& CC & mayor both cost us money & we didnt want them Both roles existed in the old committee system	

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision n in Sheffield?
Good decision-making flows from good governance, that incorporates:- Transparency, expertise and inclusion, representing views from accross the community, not 'tribal politics' that favour the views of one small segment of that community to the exclusion of all others. Unfortunately, many people do not even vote at council elections as they feel that their views will never be represented in a 'first-past-the-post' system, so proportional representation would be much more inclusive. There are too many Councillors and many are of limited intellectual ability. I would prefer to see a much smaller number of properly paid representatives.	As Secretary of Nether Edge Neighbourhood Group and having formerly been engaged with other community groups, I have valued the effort and contribution of Councillors from different political persuasions. I have been even more impressed by the skills and knowledge of Officers who support them. Unfortunately I have found too often that the views of both local councillors and their officers are over-ridden by a ruling 'Cabinet' who have little interest or knowledge of local issues. As is generally agreed, Sheffield is a great city, but it is also effectively a collection of villages and this, in my view is what makes it a great place to live. The differing needs and characteristics of these 'villages' should be respected in the decision-making structure.	Not a lot.	The Strong Leader cabinet model depends on greater levels of individual skill and intelligence than are generally available from the pool pf candidates who are prepared to stand for those posts. The traditional Committee system is more likely to produce good, democratic decisions. I deplore the fact that the skills and experience of professional Officers are constantly undermined by the cabinet, leading to poorly considered decisions and at cabinet level and a general reduction in the skill-base of the Council as a whole.	*Professional expertise, both in the design of a new system and in d making *Genuine consultation
Decisions reached through discussion and consensus among ALL elected councilliors in consultation with the community and in light of information provided by independent experts on the issues.	All elected councillors must have a proper role in decision making to ensure fair and meaningful representation of us citizens. As it stands key decision are made by a very small number of councillors who are heavily influenced unelected officials who do not follow the council's own policy. This has put aspects of our lives in the hands of people interested in profits and not citizens especially with regard to the planning and development of our city's housing provision and the maintenance of the city e.g. the PFI contract that led to the distruction of healthy trees and the spending of council money on attempts to proscecute and imprison protestors unlawfully.	Nothing. Decisions are in the hands of a few for the benefit of the few not the community.	See previous comments and: Zero accountability for the decisions taken by the current decision makers - as a citizen I feel like I amilving under a local dictatorship Lack of fairness and transparency e.g. the PFI contract which should never have been awarded and wouldn't have been if all councillors and community had seen the terms of it The influence of unelected officials The current control by 10 councillors Party politics being a driving factor in decisions instead of the needs of the community Public attendence at comittee meetings is just window dressing and a mechanism to pretend that community views are taken into account. I speak from personal experience after presenting evidence at one which showed the assumptions made in a planning document were false and flawed and I was simply ignored - no response was given by the committe members to explain why there were claims in the report were false.	
I think you initially need to canvass a range of opinions, including people external to the decision-making process. This includes special interest groups - they should have an influence but should not necessarily have the final say just because they are vocal and/or the decision directly affects them. When as much evidence is gathered as possible, then it should be reviewed by people with a range of beliefs. This group should not just consist of a few people whose career advancement depends on agreeing with someone else in the group, but instead of people not afraid to speak truth to power. The speed of the decision is not as important as getting the decision right. Part of this involves decision makers not being afraid to admit they have got things wrong - we all make mistakes and pretending otherwise is silly. If there are complaints about a decision then they should be dealt with by someone independent of the original decision maker.	Most of my knowledge of SCC's decision making comes from questions I have asked about waste management and cycling infrastructure, two subjects I am interested in. With waste management and what gets recycled It might be that SCC gets It right in that it only collects recyclables that can be processed locally rather than items that are sent to the Far East and nobody really knows what happens next. But the council does not explain this at all and so gets criticism for an apparently low recycling infrastructure. a recent example would be the so-called Gold Route from the University along Portobelio into town. There were several flaws with the design, obvious to any cyclist (and probably other road users) that used it, making it unsafe and not fit for purpose. I and possibly several other cyclists complained to councilors and the planning department but it seemed these complaints were all dealt with by one particular individual in the planning department without any oversight for anyone else. For whatever reason this individual did not/ chose not to understand my misgivings and just kept saying the same thing back to me. As I stated above, if there are complaints about a decision them they should be dealt with by someone independent of the original decision maker. In this case a senior manager in the planning department.	right decisions	 The fact that so few people make the decisions The fact that the decisions aren't explained well The fact that SCC doesn't appear to be open to scrutiny of their decisions The fact that SCC doesn't seem prepared to admit it might have got things wrong 	- For decisions to be made much more collectively. My understandin Commons is most of its most productive work is done in committee, different parties work together. That is what I would like to see in Sh
It is accouncille, open to scrutiny, democratic and transparent.	The current strong leadership model is not transparent enough and the public are not able to scrutinise their decision making to an acceptable standard. The decision on street trees is a case in point.	I am opposed to the current model of decision making and want to see more accountability and subsidiarity.	The strong leadership model does not include the voices of all city councillors and decisions are not accountable to wider public scrutiny. This leads to a democratic deficit and people feeling they have no stake in city wide decision making.	Inclusion of more all councillors in decision making, more public con transparency in all contracts for city services and more involvement level most relevant to those effected by decisions (more citizens cou
Where all councillors have a say, where councillors can make their own mind up not be told what to be by their party. Open and transparent, even if people do not like the outcome, the can see the process	I personally vote labour but if you do not and a councillor from a different party represents you then they should have a voice. I cannot believe that the same 10 people making decisions is fair	I don't really, I suppose they would argue it is quicker but then the time taken having to argue against opposition once a decision is made does not seem democratic	Strong leader model, as already stated, the same 10 voices, chosen I assume because they agree with the leader,	consultations). Committee system where every councillor can be involved in decisio own mind up, jut follow party line
A system which involves all councillors, within a committee structure so that all wards are involved in decisions. Quick responses and a range of opinions taken into account. This involves the organization listening to the views of its electorate.	 A proper democracy rather than a small group selected by the ruling party making the decisions. I would like decisions made by a wide range of councillors, to reflect the views of the people who elected them. It seems to me that the city is not well served by having all key decisions made by a small number of people. 	It is undemocratic I'm not sure I do like the decisions made at the moment. The council does not seem to be doing a great job, really.	See above Some decisions seem poorly thought out, and don't well reflect the views of the electorate. The 'strong leader' model focuses power in a narrow group of people, while the rest of the elected body seem to not do much.	The possibility of all councillors being able to comment on proposals affecting the area for which they have been elected I'd like a committee structure, with more councillors involved in ma
Consultation and scrutiny	Should be made by representatives of all voters	Nothing	Decisions are made by a small coterie and the scrutiny process merely a sop to public concerns.	All elected councillors should have an equal input to the decision mathematical elected councillors and the second s
Proper consultation not lip service. Open and transparent Accountable with the opportunity for me as a citizen to see all minutes and for these to be easily accessible online perhaps? The opposite of what happened during the AMEY PFI contract and the issue with the trees All voices can be heard and all, parties have a say.		No comment	They come across as autocratic sometimes and dogmatic. I want my councillor to be able to have a say on decision making which he doesn't at the moment.	I dont know if this is available but I would like consultation to be mo to reach more people in minority and vulnerable groups before key TO be honest however the structure is change the key thing is a cult open honest and democratic decision making which takes into accou- perhaps citizens assemblies but making sure all sectors of the comm not just the usual suspects like me! better checks and balances
			Not transparent and answerable to the public. Not fully democratic and too fewer council members making decisions for high level planning/decisions.	As previously described. Various levels but much more democratic fo amounts.

managed in a cost effective manner.

n making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
n day-to-day decision-	*Single-party dominance, enforced by 'whips'. *A 'one size must fit all' approach	Not today, thank you
ncillor representatives		
esses make them following website. ooard. -committee-system-	The status quo which is unethical and immoral. The decision making must not be on party political lines and must be made in a way that ensures all of the councillors elected actually collectively make decisions in a fair and transparent and ethical manner for the benefit of all sheffield's citizens	The current system needs radical reform. I am frankly digusted to learn that reforms of the kind needed and I am suggesting can be made without the council wasting money on a referendum. The number of signatures obtained through the petition makes it clear the people of Sheffield want change and all previous referendums of this nature in other regions have resulted in a vote for reform. Furthemore, I would be very surprised if the people of Sheffield voted against the democracy that reform will bring. The current leadership needs to admit that mistakes have been made and that change is essential and to do the ethical thing and use the resources available to develop and implement reform proposals.
ding of the House of ee, where people of Sheffield	The current cabinet model, where if your councilor is not in the cabinet you have very little say. It leads to closed in thinking and people defending decisions they probably know are wrong	
consultation, ent of the public at the councils and	Centralisation of decision making in the hands of a single person or executive.	
sions and make their	Please take this opportunity and make positive change, it is getting harder to justify Labours position locally and that makes me truly sad. Don't just rebrand the same model, we have a real potential to lead the way here	No
sals, especially those making decisions.	See above I wouldn't like to see a system where committees are pitted against each other, and decisions take a long time.	No.
making process. more meaningful and ey decisions are taken.	A powerful elite who can ignore inconvenient opposition	The process should be much more transparent and scrutiny need to be taken more seriously by councillors - no more shuffling papers, checking phones or chatting to one another. Nor do I want to be palmed off by individual anecdotes of councillors' experience. LISTEN. Thank you I know this cant be an easy task!!!
ulture shift to more count minority voices. nmunity are involved		
c for budgets over set	Additional costs.	I think it is excellent that a review is taking place and if a more democratic process is introduced and decisions are not left to a few, then it will be a step forward.

Perhaps a more accessible update of decisions, budgets and plans. It may be available but where is it and how would I know?

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Evidence-based. Action-oriented. Clear objectives and deliverables. Understood and supported by the people for whom it is made or who are affected by it. Gives overriding priority to the interests of the people of the city (which implies that it is politically impartial).	Able to influence the decision before it is made. Able to make a meaningful challenge to a decision. Trust that decisions will be made in the interests of the people of the city. Complete transparency of the reasons for a decision. Knowledge of a decision.	Not much. However, only objectionable decisions are likely to be noticed. There will be well-made decisions of which there is little public awareness.	the impression of being out of their depth. All executive decisions are made by members of the ruling political party. This disenfranchises much of the electorate, and removes even the possibility of alternative views and approaches being considered. In Council, the ruling political party sees any proposal by another party as an attack and will vote it down, regardless of its merits. Other parties feel the need to propose motions that imply criticism of the ruling party. This hurts the reputation of the Council as a whole, as well as resulting in the loss of ideas that merit consideration. Much the same applies in committees. Even in the Planning committee, in which members have a responsibility to make decisions that implement policy, voting on controversial decisions quite often divides along party lines.	committees. Committees should circulate their agenda to the chairs of other committees and invite a representative to attend to ensure synergy. Committee membership should be reasonably stable. There has to be scope for new members to be appointed and bring fresh ideas, but it is important that members have time to build their knowledge and experience. The size of committees may need to be flexible to accommodate this. The largest possible number of members should be encouraged to be involved. A network of external consultees should be created, including community groups, institutions, professional bodies, campaigners, businesses and others. Committees should hear evidence from these as a matter of course, and co-opt individuals with relevant expertise. More useful communication of decisions. Press releases and newsletters provide some information, but only contain the stories that the Council wants to promote and invariably give these a positive spin.	members by another name. The chair should rotate, and all parties should have opportunities to chair.	
Full participation of all the elected councillors and complete transparency of council business including the tendering process and decisions reached.	Full transparency regarding tenders and how these are awarded and full participation of elected councillors.	Dreadful, lack of transparency.	As above, there is a lack of transparency.	As above - again full transparency	Decisions being taken without proper debate and the participation of all council members.	
Good decision making takes account of the views and impacts on all stakeholders. Decision makers in a politically led situation will represent a wide majority of political views and their decisions will be made accountable by robust scrutiny mechanisms.	The City Council should be properly accountable to it's decisions and subject to cross- party involvement and scrutiny. Decisions should take all stakeholders into consideration.		There should be more input from all councillors rather than being dominated by the majority group.	Cross party Committees for key policy areas, with relevant cross party scrutiny panels. Recommendations for key decisions should be presented to full council for decision.		
 Elected representatives seeking citizens' opinions and suggestions. Then passing them onto appropriate sub committees for scrutiny. Gonclusions discussed and voted on in council meetings. 4 Cabinet fine tunes and implements. 	Be well informed and take account of citizens' majority wishes within the scope of the budget. Not to take decisions in small closed groups. Be transparent to avoid accusations of being biased.			Include representatives from all elected councillors across the parties so all citizens feel included.	Autocratic structures.	
Good decision making should consist of a committee from all parties having a say .	To make sure that all areas are treated fairly and not allowing some areas to have the lion's share. Monies from sales of council land should benefit the people in that area and not be sent to other areas.	Nothing is fair at the moment. The cabinet has too much power.	As stated before I do not agree with a small number of people making all the decisions.	A cross party committee who would be accountable for decisions made.		
Wide participation from a range of views, to inform decision, open democratic decision making after presentation of arguments	That my councillor has a voice and a vote in the decision making. That that vote counts and that the councillors vote is visible and they are accountable	I don't, its been the same old clique from the same old party with the same old leader who is never accountable for their decision.	See previous	Better use of technology to engage the voters and make the process transparent. A democratic decision making process 1 councillor, 1 vote	Lack of transparency, party not people first, let councillors represent their people	
Collective decision making, not by a few behind closed door. Decision making by all elected individuals again not by a few behind closed door. Taking into account of all individuals tell perpsented not by partisan individuals behind closed door. Full account aparts and transparency for decisions made. Credible decisions from people with true opertise.	expensive projects which I am sure if it was their own money would not be so freely spent. In these time Councils are saying how strapped for cash they are but a lot of money	Shockingly low voter turn out and presentation as a symptom of a stale and dated local politics that has to change Nothing that syrings to mind at the moment which is very disappointing. Disproportionate spending on East side of city not taking into account other parts of the city.		Open decision making and not made by a fraction of the Councillors elected. Complete transparent needed . The system as used at present is not fit for purpose. There does not seem to be any accountability	Yes a few Councillors making decisions on citizens of Sheffield money for there own political ends and not for the whole good of the People who pay there taxes and who they should represent but don't.	Completely shocked after having been brought up to speed how this council is run and the few people who make decisions that are not on my behalf. Complacency and self interest is apparent. We need a total clear out. Councillors living in a Bubble comes to mind more self serving that serving.
A good decision is one made , not by the few, but by all those who represent all parts of the city, and the main decisions being made by a "cabinet" of the minority doub rows on the set of the main decisions being made by a "cabinet" of the minority doub rows on wust fly in the face of a proper democratic? There have been several instances in the past year when the so called cabinet have overridden the views of many of those in the city, and this has to change for proper debate and discussion to take place. People become cynical about what the council does or doesn't do when so called "consultations" appear to citizens to be decided even before "consultation" takes place. Moves away from "cabinet" systems in other areas seem to have happened already. Too much power in the hands of a few must change if the city is to go forward.	gives more opportunity for all council members to have meaningful input. This must be more democratic.		its current form is pretty stifling. People become cynical, disenchanted and	Wider participation in decision making and less power in the hands of the few. A modern committee structure where all elected members have the possibility of having input and influence thus making the city more democratic.	Avoid a cabinet system with the concentration of decision making in the hands of the few.	Please can it change from the current system which leaves many citizens frustrated and angry.
Difficult to put into words, easiest to say "exactly the opposite of our present (and several years back) parilament" where point scoring, time wasting trivia, entrenched dogma hold sway.	S.C.C. has the relevant committee representative present at meetings, with anyone who wishes to oppose or comment entitled to attend; we employ experts to meet beforehand with councillors to be briefed on relevant factors. The public can see notices of the subsequent meetings where the final deciding is made.	I think my answer above also answers this question.		I am not an expertfew of us arebut above all I want common sense, no time wasting (which can be used to discourage discussion, busy people as chairpeople, with work in another place that they want to get back totraining for these chairpeople as part of their reason for sitting in that place.	l feel l have said all l want to .	I take the local weekly newspaper, and hope that S.C.C. will use space there to keep us informed.
Discussions would involve all elected council members as this will incorporate more ideas. There are several methods of reaching decisions - brainstorming; each coming up with individual ideas and then voting on the favourite; working groups who make recommendations. All residential areas of Sheffield as a well as institutions and businesses should be represented. Discussions should be recorded as with Hansards in House of Commons.	Decisions must be made in a way that fairly reflects the whole of Sheffield - residents, employees, organisations.	on the resuots regardless of what people actually thought and the centres were closed as a result. I am unimpressed with the way the council makes decisions for	underhand. Also the closure of the Childrens' Centres around 7 years ago. The consultation survey was written in such a way as to place a certain interpretation on the resubts regardless of what people actually thought and the centres were closed as a result. I am unimpressed with the way the council makes decisions for	Consultations documents should be produced impartially and ensure the outcome is not set before Sheffield people's voices are heard. All the councillers should be involved in discussions.	When the government recommends that council makes changes to the way they are run Sheffield Council should make those changes.	
Decisions should be based on evidence. The biases inherent in the evidence should be transparent and decisions should take them into account.	Council decisions should be made on the basis of evidence that is accessible to the public so that we can understand, interrogate and challenge them on equal footing.	the people. I don't know how the council makes decisions at the moment.	the people. I don't know how they are made.	Inclusiveness. Collaboration.	Partisanship. Competition.	
The intended outcomes and impacts of decisions should be clear. The degree to which these are achieved should be measured and this information should be used to inform future decisions.	The council should give an account of each non-reversible decision affecting the public, to include: its context, constraints, evidence, the alternatives considered and the council's reasoning.			Timeliness. Transparency. Ownership. Clarity of intent / goal / desired outcome.	Monocultures. Delay. Secrecy. Hidden agendas.	
Decisions that cannot be reversed need to be taken with great care. Most decisions can be reversed, however, and these should be taken more lightly so as to avoid undue delay and unhelpful risk aversion. Good decision-making recognises this difference.	The council should measure the intended and unintended consequences of its decisions, and report these in some routine way that is accessible publicly.					
Decisions should have owners, or directly responsible individuals, who can provide an account of their making to people with a stake in them.	Council decisions should not be made by monocultures (political, social, educational, demographic or otherwise). Decisions that cannot be reversed should be made in consultation with a group the diversity of which reflects that of the people who would be affected.					
	Council decisions should be timely. They should provide stability so that organisations and individuals can make their own decisions with confidence.					
All views should be taken into account and a consensus reached. All parties should be represented in decision making. The process of making decisions should be transparent and open to scrutiny.	The present system where decisions are made by a small but powerful ruling group of councillors is undemocratic and does not reflect the results of voting in Sheffield; that is, less than 10% of the electorate voted for the members of the ruling group. This makes representation meaningless when local communities do not see things changing for the better. The system should be changed to one of cross party committees so that the needs and views of the communities represented by their councillors can be brought to bear. All decisions should be open and transparent and the focus should be solely on local affairs, unbiased by pressure from political parties. It is important that all councillors should undergo training by an outside agency on being	unrepresentative councillors from one political party and this cannot be	See above.	See answers above. Councillors from all parties should take part in decision-making committees so that views from the people they represent can be taken into account. Greater transparency. Meaningful, ongoing training by outside agencies.	Pressure or bias from political parties to take the party line rather than act in the best interests of local people. Just having one small group who make all the decisions without meaningful consultation.	We need a more democratic system in Sheffield for the benefit of its people.
An educated discussion resulting in satisfactory decision making	It is important that all councilors should undergo training by an outside agency on being annointed and then continuing throughout their tenuine. No decision shall be left to a individual person or party	No comment	No comment	Discussion amongst colleagues from all political parties9	No comment	

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Non existent as far as Sheffield City Council is concerned.	Common sense.	I consider Sheffield City Council to be a complete and utter joke.	Lack of common sense.	People making decisions to have a reasonable level of common sense, and not making decisions purely based on politics.	Avoid allowing decision making by complete idiots.	I consider that a lot of decisions currently made by persons in authority to be based either on political viewpoints and/or a desire to "cover their backsides."
Good decision making requires wider representation and democracy. Resistance to the Modern Committee System will not only cost the city much money when the public vote is held, but also indicates an unwillingness to listen to democracy. While you fiddle and fudge providing no credible opposition the country goes down the tubes.	Sheffield sits near the bottom of many league tables for health, education, economy etc perhaps if we have better leadership we might do better. Greater consultation might engage more people and result in more successful operations and initiatives.		The behaviour of senior leaders who are not willing to consider other perspectives indicates an autocratic approach. Also, the fact that there is clear evidence of disputes among the leadership removes any semblance of confidence from ordinary people.	It's Our City provide many suggestions - isn't it time they were listened to?	A continuation of the present leadership.	
Good decision making should: Be fair and meaningful Have increased participation and impact Include new systems, structures and processes Have clear standards and structures						
Clear objectives - what is the desirable outcome of the decision? Objective evidence of the pros and cons of each option under consideration. A committee structure to deal with detail of the mater and formulation of recommendation. Aoproval by a body representing all the the electorate.	Serves the interests of the whole community. Avoids decision making being high-jacked by a dominant party. Options considered and basis of decisions published in plain language so that the electorate can see that the governance mechanism is working and effective.		Lack of transparency and annalysis of options.	Committee or working groups to specialise in the various responsibilities of the council with a final decision making body of representatives from all electorate.	The creation of an inner circle of elected representatives who dominate decision making.	No thanks
Good decisions should represent the views of the electorate as a whole. They should be transparent and free from the influence of vested interests. They should be free of political dogma and ideology and based on the widest possible collection of relevant facts They should take account of long term implications rather than short term expediency.	Sheffield City Council should make decisions in accordance with the points in 4. above .			I would like to see a new decision making structure based on a politically proportionate committee system and discontinuation of the executive powers of the current Leader and Cabinet system. I would like to see full cross-party representation on all committees.	Disenchantment of sections of the electorate who feel their views and interests are not proportionately represented.	 Irrespective of the processes in place, the City's perspective should be progressive and outward looking, seeking to improve the City's cultural and business standing and reputation as the fourth biggest city in the country.
Balanced, informed & consultative.	I voted for a councilor to represent my views at the local level. That councilor was elected to the council, so I feel completely disenfranchised when I discover that my representative is almost powerless on the council & instead a system that mirrors our failed national Parliament operates so that there is non-consensual rule by a single party. This has resulted in terrible decisions like the policy to shred Sheffield's reputation for being fantastically green thanks to it's tree cover, and make it an environmental & political laughing stock as it jailed pensioners in pre-dawn raids. Rule by consensus would not have allowed such terrible decision making to happen, no matter the terrible pressures placed on the council by terrible austerity policies of the national government	I cannot say I like anything about the way the council is run. I understand the terrible constraints placed on the budget but that is not an existing for despotism	It is undemocratic and unrepresentative of the people of Sheffield. It is open to abuse of power. It is responsible for decisions that any Tory council would be ashamed of, so how a Labour council has acted this way can only be a result of the corrupting system.	Decision making by consensus. Cross-party voting and democratic processes as standard so that all the people of Sheffield feel they are represented.	d Concentration of power in the hands of a small number of unaccountable decision makers.	The current'strong leadership' has ignored the call for a more representative system in the council until they could deny it no more. This undemocratic behaviour has cost time & money that Sheffield does not have. They must stop their stalling factics immediately and do everything possible to implement a new system quickly, efficiently & cheaply.
When all councillors are able to take part in the decision making process and not by just 10!!!	That they should open and transparent and held accountabal for their decisions to the rate payers.	I dont like anything about the way the Council make decisions behind closed door:	s. Does not appear to have any proffesional qualifications to make some of the decions they (the Ten) make.	Open and transparent and all councillors that have been elected should be involved in the process.	Follow the guidelines laid for the propers way of making decisions.	Now that Sheefield have to follow the guidelines hope that it is not fudged and put on the back burner.
A balanced decision made by all elected members responsible to their electorate for representing their electorate and the effect on them of its outcome.	The current system is crazy and apparently not representative of all sections of the community. We need total participation of all elected representatives in the city's decision making process.	It is crap! They just don't represent the balanced and significant sections of the electorate.	What on earth is the point of having such a small number of people from a very narrow section of the electorate making decisions and who are only responsible to a fraction of the City's population. If it was intended that the City should be run by such a small number of councillors under the strong management system what is point of having such a larger number of counsellors who are effectively disenfranchised.	Each councillor should have an equal voting power to each other councillor.	We currently have a system that egnores a significant proportion of the City's population and who, from previous recent experience, don't seem to take a blind bit of notice on common sense and the facts of the matter example Street Trees. The current council has made Sheffield a laughing stock world wide. We visited Alaska last year and	
Page					when we introduced ourselves to Alaskans or other tourists from all over the world their reply with a gufhar of laughter was "Oh Sheffield, that is where they are cutting their street trees down"!	
Decisions reached after open representations and discussions involving all interested parties who should be free to represent the interests of those they represent	That the system is open and fair so that all representatives of all interested or affected parties have a say in the decision	Nothing	Outrageous lack of democracy - secrecy, lack of consultation with and involvement of all relevant representatives	A modern committee system designed by experts to provide a base for democratic and informed decisions. All councillors should have full access to information. Committees should be cross party and local councillors should be involved in local decisions. Councillors should be free to represent their electorate and not subject to a whip. Independent experts, local and national, should be consulted when necessary and their responses made available to all councillors and not ignored I want reformation of local governance to provide equality across the city I want to be proud of Sheffield.	Decisions cloaked in secrecy and made behind closed doors Decisions made by a cabinet consisting of	
Proper consultation from all, particularly experts in the field (and they really must be not just someone who agrees with you). Appropriate time given to research and do the consultation. Preparedness to admit mistakes and rectify them but ultimately taking responsibility for	It would be good if any or all the above points were adhered to.	Nothing that I can think of	I don't like the 'behind closed doors' nature of decision making. I don't like that decisions are taken by so few councillors thereby missing the input from large swathes of the city	Much more openness. Work within the Labour group particularly to get a better crop of councillors - refer to national party for their ideas on improved representation . More accountability. We, as citizens, need to know who has made some of the catastrophic decisions of the last few years and be able to challenge them where necessary and not find the Labour group closing ranks and suffocating debate.		We have a good city with great people with a heritage of good socialist principles. Remember that and use your powers for the good of the people, not to do what a Tory government decrees or private developers bribe or bully you.
vour decisions. All councilors should have an equal voice and play a strong role.	The people are not being listened to by the present Council.	Nothing!	Less than 10% voted for the current ruling groupbut the 'strong leadership system' inexplicably gives them overwhelming power!	Co-operative, cross party representation formed by equal power, FULL access to information and the decision making process (rather than the shady dealings with	The 'strong leader' system is undemocratic, dictatorial and self-serving. Avoid it at all	LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE OF SHEFFIELD!
This should be proportional to vote share.	Dissatisfaction appears rife with the way the Council treats the public, and how they respond to criticism. Shameful tactics are used (such as the difficulty people have with people able to speak to the Council when they have issues) in order to deflect responsibility.		How is this democracy in 2019? It appears as an attempt by a few people to prop up their own self interest. The result is a lack of representation, falling standards for the people of Sheffield, a lack of accountability and festering problems across all aspects of life for residents.	companies like Amey, REDACTED which were a disgrace to the city and it's residents).	costseven if it costs certain individuals money and status!	
Democratic - i.e. it represents the wishes of the majority of people that the decision will affect Prompt - i.e it is in time to implement effectively Transparent - i.e. not kept secret	It is vital that all councilors are given an equal voice to stop the few acting with impunity in their nwn hest interests Answers as 4 above.	I don't know enough to comment	Decisions sometimes are made secretly. I would like to see a more democrativc process	More account taken of local councillors. Answers as in 4	Making it too hard to come to decisions	Decisions made regarding the felling of trees and in particular waking residents of Rustlings Road up at 4 in the morning should be investigated and steps taken to make sure nothing like this happens again.
Realistic - it takes account of economic and environmental circumstances Considers evidence and a wide range of views before reaching decisions on an objective rather than ideological basis.	That decisions should be fair, balanced and not driven by prty politics.	I think the people involved genuinely aim to do their best for the city. But I believe wider councillor participation and representation in the decision making process would improve it further.	Many councillors seem to be excluded from the decision making process. Decisions seem to made exclusively on political party lines.	Wider participation in the decision making process. All councillors should have the opportunity to be involved. Decisions shouldn't be made on the basis of councillors following a particular party whip.	Previously covered, I think.	
			Decisions often appear to be driven by unclear motives.	Decisions should be fully transparent and evidence based.		
Transparent and accountable. Based on open consultation with stakeholders and experts, which is documented.	The secrecy many council decisions are shrouded in reeks of malfeasance. Amey contract, Chinese 'deal', Gleadless Valley plan, demolition of heritage buildings, Birley Spa sell off, Cobnar Cottage, Graves Park all go against the best interests of the public and community groups are ignored or even lied to. This needs to change.	Nothing. I have attended council meetings and the contempt with which the labour council treat councillors from other parties (including my councillor) and members of the public is disgraceful. No willingness to work together or constructively. Just party political point scoring	Ruling group including senior council officers act like REDACTED 'gang', not like people who are paid to serve the public. 'Consultations' are loaded or just a facade and the decisions have already been made behind closed doors.	All decisions should consider the long-term impact on the city and its environment and not inst short-term nolitiral exnediency. No more strong leader, replace with modern committee system as suggested by Its Our City. Councillors from all parties involved in decision making. Labour councillors allowed a free vote in council meetings according to what their constituents want, not what the leader dictates. More transparency of all meetings including with outsourced services like streets ahead Follow Nolan principles.	Secret decision making. Officers having too much power over councillors. Lying to the public about decisiin making. Lying to the police to lauch operations	
Benefits the community and visitors in a sustainably, aesthetically pleasing way	Engages with local people	Nothing	Policy and comms officers act like spin doctors for the ruling group. Does not take into account local views, aesthetics or sustainability	Involving local people	against citizens (tree campaign). Rerakina of the Notan Principles All the previous mistakes made in the past	SCC has made too many awful decisions in my lifetime to count. Putting Sheffield and it's people first like other cities would be a good start

	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council	What features would you like to see in any new decision make
What does good decision making look like to you?	Council makes decisions?	decisions at the moment?	makes decisions at the moment?	in Sheffield?
Good decision making is clear, transparent and independent and taken on a case by case basis. There should be adequate provision for citizen input and feedback where the decision is likely to be controversial. Genuine scrutiny should allow citizens to influence decision makers and scrutiny panel members should on the merits of the case not along	As above	That there is a scrutiny process. [However the one I attended was unsatisfactory with factual errors and insufficient consultation / listening to all stakeholders.]	That decisions are often taken on a "scratch my back basis" i.e. that if a councillor t takes an independent decision they will not be supported by their councillors on future decision that they wish to pass.	Fairer scrutiny demonstrating that the interests or concerns of all stakely been taken into account. Clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why a decision has been taken and why alternatives has clear reasons for why alternative has a decision has been taken and why alternative has clear reasons for why alternative has a decision has been taken and clear reasons for why alternative has a decision has been taken and clear reasons clear rea
party lines. Officers advice to decision makers should also be transparent and shared with all interested parties. Final decisions should not be made by a small section of the council			Major decisions would appear to be taken by a small number of councillors which reduces representation of citizens.	
alone.		Network	The shine and a second s	Accurate advice from officers.
It means balancing and including views from all groups of significant size and seeking a consensus which will carry sufficient support to have a greater chance of being successful. Where we used to live, the ruling group used to decide among themselves the policy and then vote that through. On occasions a narrow vote in the ruling group was carried through council, despite other groups on the council opposing. If a proper democratic vote had been allowed, a more representative view would have been reached. Also the "capital " of the county appeared to be unfairly advantaged in relation to the rest of the county.		Not much	The cabinet model concentrates too much power in a few hands, who only represent parts of the city. Views of other elected representatives appear to be ignored.	Committees made up of representation based broadly on relative streng representatives of parties, with some leeway to allow for including those expertise in the area or policy with which the committee is dealing.
Taking all aspects into consideration and suggesting the best long term decision then explaining it.	Transparent and taken by the whole council. Small groups should be used to target specifics: group make the decision.	Given the decisions you've made lately (trees being a good example) it's not possible to answer!	Seem very centre specific & I've not seen any thing from the council other than at elections.	Whole council making decisions that cover & benefit whole city.
Good decision making should involve collecting all the necessary information needed, discussing with the relevant people, organisations etc, consider all the options and positives and negatives. They should be done within a certain amount of time and within budget if applicable.	The council should listen to the citizens of Sheffield and act on this. At the moment they seem to have consultations just to 'tick boxes' but don't take any notice of what the people want and go ahead and do what they want anyway. More councillors should be involved in the decisions and not just a few, and each area should be represented. Decisions should be open and communicated to residents. Listen to everyone's input and opinions.	Not much really. I have attended and listened online to the monthly council meetings and have been unimpressed. Very unprofessional. I have also attended council meetings for different reasons such as learning disabilities and interested parties have put forward their opinions etc and they are not listened to.	Too few councillors are involved in the major decision making in the city and have too much power and responsibility. The Labour party/councillors do not listen to other parties. They have consultations but most of the time do not listen or take notice of what residents say or need.	There are 23 wards in Sheffield and at least there should be a councillor ward involved in important decisions and discussions or have input. More co-operation. More input from more councillors. More consultations with residents and listen to them. Publish results and recommendations from consultations.
Completely democratic not run by a small unrepresentative minority.	That all elected councillors participate in decision making.	Nothing.	They are totally undemocratic and secretive.	Transparency and democracy.
Taking into account all information and views and then taking the best course of action. In terms of the city it would be that the voices of constituents are heard through the local representatives that they voted for and that decisions are based on this rather that the view points of 12 individuals.	That voices and opinions are heard. That the process is fair and transparent. That people can influence decisions through their local representaives.	Nothing. The process is unfair and does not take into account the wider views of the population.	As per previous answer. The process is unfair and does not take into account the views of the wider population as the representatives they elected are not able to directly influence decisions.	A more fair and democratic process.
Democratic. Power not concentrated in a few people.			Lack of diversity in the people making decisions. Lack of transparency and openness.	A distribution of power.
Taking into account all sides and views. Consulting with appropriate bodies which are impartial to make an informed decision.	Getting impartial, informed and experienced advice so not swayed by a conflicting agenda Transparency is also vital to get on side with the public	The clean air initiative is an example of SCC seeking out local people and businesses opinion. A set period consultation.	Perhaps the key people making the decisions have not been elected or known to people in Sheffield.	A non biased consultant, looking at precedent, gathering of facts and ta account local people's views
Something what help and is for the average work man	Doing the right thing not just following others	Not a lot at the moment	They arnt thing about the people of sheffield	None of these crazy emissions ruling all the other towns and citys have linto
Inclusion of all stake holders ie ALL population of Sheffield particularly those who used to be described as hard to reach. Much more ambitious in setting up ongoing participation	That they are open, that they truly involve ALL members of the public, not simply drawing upon the views of professionals in the city and with whom they have a far too	?	Arrogant. Entitled.	MODERN COMMITTEE SYSTEM
of grass roots community groups, not take safe option of constantly engaging with fellow professionals. Meaningful commitment to proactive transparency and accountability. An end to arrow and and bullying which is what we have seen under the strong leader model of government. The course of publically say when mistakes are made, eg trees, Birley Spa, refusal to work wither resentatives from other political parties. A root and branch change structural and and and and and and graties are not be public are respectful and supproved and bullying the public are respectful and supproved and as at present intimidating and bullying REDACTED .	cosy a relationship. eg talk to young people w mental health issues, ACTUAL rough sleepers. Dare to be proved wrong. Why do the POLICE feature so much. It is important		Incompetent. Dishonest. Cowardly. Corrupt. Ungenerous. Limited. Uncreative. Unimaginative	see above
A democratic process where all members and stakeholders have an equal say in decisions	All people who live in Sheffield need their voices to be heard. We should all be treated	Very little. It is unfair and biased	It is weighted in favour of some areas and not others meaning some residents get	All areas of Sheffield should have counsellors who are involved in the de
that affect them. Democratic, using expertise, knowledge and views from a variety of people from different ages, races and backgrounds. To make fair decisions that will benefit the many rather than the few. Decision making for the long term rather than the short term and just for monetary value.		Not a great deal. Decisions currently are being made to benefit temporary residents in sheffield and by people who have been on the council for far too long and with no bearing or knowledge of living real lives in this city.	very little representation. That decisions are made by people who seem to have very little foresight and who have stood in council for too long. That the group is too small and not in touch with the changing times and what is needed to future proof the city not just for the students but for those who have made sheffield their permanent city and who	process at all levels. A varied group of people from all walks of life and backgrounds to help : decisions in order to make well thought out and relevant decisions for th here. To think forward and long terms rather than short term and to ma
Democratic, inclusive, bottom-up, evidence based, transparent	That all elected members should have a say	Nothing- I feel reform is necessary	were born here. Too much power is vested in too few people	I welcome It's our city's 'Principles for a Modern Committee System'
Good decision making is democratic and there is a consensus of elected members.	Currently the council operates in an undemocratic fashion where only a few elected	Nothing it is undemocratic!	As above.	Elected councillors should play a full and democratic role in policy and d
	representatives make all the decisions.			The current system should be replaced by a modern committee system, democratic decision making by all elected members.
A place where ever point of view is herd, and good and even representation of all parties are represented at decision making times. No one party or group of people should have the final say.	That it is fair and even and that everyone people vote for helps in making the decision not just 10 individuals from one party who may have personal agenda's.	I think it is currently an unfair system. Nothing I like about it.	There is no scrutiny of the 10 members of the party that runs the council. There doesn't seem to be any checks to why or how they reach decisions. I feel like it isn't a democratic system, my vote isn't taken into account if I haven't voted for one of those 10 individuals.	I would like there to be an even representative on each party or indeper decision making committee's. Everyone' voice should be herd and taken consideration when voting. And there should be checks buy independer decisions.
Good decisions are reached when the widest possible range of informed views can be taken into account. There should be a broad definition of 'informed'. In the context of a local authority, for example, input into decision-making should come from people with learned expertise in the issue under discussion, e.g. trained council officers and perhaps local academics, and also from people with lived experience of the issue. Good decision making always approaches a problem from as many angles as possible, and ensures that no one group is unduly advantaged over another as a result of the decision-making process.	See answer to 4 above. In addition I would say that I want all councillors to have an equal role in decision-making. If I vote for someone to represent me, I want them to be able to have meaningful input into the decisions the council is making on my behalf. I don't want decision-making delegated to a small group of people in a way that excludes my local councillor and thus devalues my vote. I think local people should be actively supported to have a say in decisions.	I was amazed to find out about the 'strong leadership' model. I didn't know about it before, and so I suspect there are other aspects of the way the council makes decisions that I don't know about. So I can't answer this.	I don't like the fact that a small group of councillors has a disproportionate influence over the decisions that are made. I strongly object to the fact that many councillors are excluded from the decision-making process, and that decisions are made behind closed doors. Decision-making should be open and transparent and all councillors should have an equal say.	Decisions should be taken according to a committee system. All councillors should have an equal voice and meaningful power to repr communities. Committees should be chaired by councillors not from the ruling party. Councillors should not be whipped to vote in a particular way if this goe wishes of the community they represent.
Free of political bias Free of political bias Based on well researched information Everyone affected to be told what is going on and an easily understood route to letting decision makers know their views Captioned recorded broadcasts Whassappenin? - make it easy for citizens to have access to timely information.	Up until the foundation of 'Its our city' I didn't believe that I could have any part at all to play in the making of any decisions affecting the community. A situation where party politics isn't the be all and end all sounds very attractive.		As I have said. It may be denied but I have hitherto believed that political coloration currently and in the past has disproportionately influenced whatever decisions have been taken. At least in parliament there is an opposition.	A breath of non - ideological fresh air. The engagement of people who love Sheffield
Where all parties make decisions based in the best information available and use it to act in the best interest of the wider public One which is evidence based & has been reached transparently.	Democratic where elected councillors have an effective vite that they can use to best represent the best interests of their constituents. I believe in consensus decision making	Not much I don't know much about itif the strong leader model is as described then it does not seem transparent or democratic.	I dont like the current model where a small number of councillors hold the decision making power and the majority of councillors are powerless	All councillors get to vote on all decisions
Co-created Improvements that involves service users as partners rather than a tick box exercise. Decision made by being informed rather than imposing decisions.	Co-created Improvements that involves service users as partners rather than a tick box exercise. Decision made by being informed rather than imposing decisions.	Nothing. Decisions made in isolation by top management. Doesn't involve service users.	Please see my response to Q6.	Service users part of steering groups or programme improvement board finish groups to review improvements. Meaningful engagement that inv with various stakeholders, in particular service users.

cision making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
of all stakeholders have	Major decisions being taken by a sub-set of the council.	
ternatives have been	Lack of independence of individual decision makers.	
	Exclusion of interested/concerned citizens.	
elative strengths of elected cluding those with particular dealing.	That the chair persons on the committees should not automatically be from the ruling group. Proportional chair representation should be sought, based on strengths of groups on council.	No
city.	Closed groups making decisions & Labour control	Seems ironic that the petition was able to pass without ANY response before a referendum was required. Are the current decision making processes
a councillor from every re input.	Avoid the Leader of the council having too much 'power' as she does now. Not just have Labour party reps. Each party should have input	so slow that this was not possible?
	conituing so-called strong government.	Take moree notice of people's views rather than ignoring them as in Amey tree felling. Pay much more attention to environmental isssues and climate change.
	Uneven distribution of power	It needs to change. The consensus is the population of Sheffield do not think the current process is fair.
facts and taking into		Please be as transparent as possible. Avoid conflict of interest, eg motivated by profit
d citys have be brain washed	Congestion charge	Not real probably wont listen anyway 🛙
	Keeping same inadequates at the heart of power	
ved in the decision making	Inequality	
nds to help steer or make cisions for those that live m and to make a quick buck.	To stay the same.	
System'	I would prefer the It's our city model to a slightly revised version of the current system	
policy and decision making. tee system, which facilitates	First past the post style politics and structures need to eliminated in favour of decision making systems which are more representative of voters. Strong government often makes bad decisions quickly, based on tribal ideologies rather than through	The council should in principle be the most democratic of bodies, representing all the people.
y or independent's on the d and taken into independent reviews on all	consensus. Yes, ignoring what people are asking for, change.	Let's have a brighter more democratic future, where everyones vote counts.
ower to represent their	The new system should not be more expensive than the current one	N/A
uling party. ay if this goes against the		
	Negativity, self seeking, greed, bigotry, small mindedness, holier than though, lack of consideration and a multitude of other human frailties.	As yet I don't have any further information.
	A great deal of positive self awareness is going to be needed to overcome the inevitability of the emergence of the above.	
	Taking away the ability of councillors to act in their constituents best interests Divisive method of working & hidden decks making.	This process seems to typify what is wrongthe Council has turned a blind eye to this change and consequently is costing the tax payer couldn't this
		cost gather avoided with more receptive attitude?
ement boards. Task and nent that involves co-creating	Top down approach. Labour councillors	Make this engagement meaningful and listen to responses made.

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision ma in Sheffield?
Involvement of all elected counsellors who each represent their local areas. Listening to views of residents so there isn't a repeat of things such as the street tree debacle. Decision making also needs to be completely transparent. We shouldn't need to pull teeth to find out finer details of contracts. All counsellors should be allowed to express their	Honesty. Integrity. No conflicts of interests.	Not sure	Not transparent. We didn't choose the cabinet. They're not representative. I don't trust their intentions. Don't seem to represent Labour values even though they're from that party	Public consultation on very important issues such as environment, or b expenditures.
own ooinions and be eiven eoual weiehtine Clear identification of a problem or area for improvement. Realistic aims and objectives. Time limited process with clear goals for each. Evidence gathering from independent bodies and experts. Discussed in depth by knowledgeable individuals. Consensual decision making. Strategy for implementation with required resources accurately	The decisions made should be those that are deemed best for Sheffield and should not reflect party political preferences.	Not in a position to comment	Cannot comment	Decisions being taken to include those already in post who are best pla process. Development of in house expertise to avoid consultancy costs outsourcing and more control over the quality of any requisite work.
determined. Evidence-based, fair, transparent, consulted upon	Must be more transparent (learning from past failures itself and grave mistakes of other local orgs like SYP). Doing things efficiently (good decision making can't be slow, especially in a climate emergency!)	Seeks people's views, keen on consultation	Slow, dithering, avoids making big decisions, doesn't want to rock the boat, sticks with status quo	More agile. Piloting and expanding quickly. Not waiting ages to set targ future and write reports. Just get on with it and be transparent as you
Full and frank discussions on any topic with all elected representatives having the right to		Not impressed if all power is in the hands of a few.	Ten people having more say than others elected.	A fairer balance of power.
vote on the course of action. Based on data and hard evidence and agreed on by at least five 'educated lay' people, with no conflicts of interest.	It must be transparent and based on evidence/data.	I like nothing about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions. Awarding the contract for road maintenance to a shower of rubbish like Amey shows they must be stupid or corrupt.	I dislike the decision to award the streets ahead contract to Amey. Their work is so low quality that Sheffield City Council must be corrupt or stupid.	Decision making based on evidence and data and implemented by maje across all council members qualified and not conflicted.
Engaging with the people whom the decisions involve. Good communication	Engagement. Homesty. Communication.	Not a lot	Lack of communication. Lack of regard for the people who your decisions impact	Community engagement. Open consulting. Public meetings and memb
A full democratic process taking into account ALL views of elected and accountable representatives	That there is transparency in how these are communicated and that the population understands why the decisions taken have been.	Not sure I can say anything good about it at present, poor record based on recent events.	on. Doesn't represent all views of those elected representatives	areas allowed to be involved and listened to I would like to see all councillors have a meaningful voice that fully rep Sheffield's communities, I would like to see SCC listen and undertake p consultations that are not just a tick box exercise because decisions have made. I would like to see SCC being less insular & scrutiny of decision working more effectively.
Talking and planning with those who will be affected by those decisions. More than 'consulting', being meaningfully involved start to finish.	That it makes it decisions from a broad church and is transparent about who is involved and who isn't. All elected representatives need to have a fair share of influence if the demographics of the city are to be represented fairly.	Very little. I've been shocked to learn how few councillors are involved in key decision making.	That it is done through such opaque processes. That it does not consider the views of the city as represented by all councillors. That decisions seem to be made with profit in mind before people. That decisions seem to favour multinationals over its local citizens.	
Looking at the facts, discussing how it will affect different groups, listening to different views, and making a decision based on this knowledge.	That the residents needs are put before party politics or personal ambition. I think the best way this can happen is if all elected representatives have a say and are listened to.	I receive weekly emails, and I like that there are often surveys or questionnaires for residents to have their say too.	I don't like the current model, it doesn't seem democratic to me. It feels removed from the people it serves.	Cross party collaboration. All elected representatives should participate chosen few with the rest shut out
Good decision making is where people have all the information required to make those decisions together with independent oversight from the public and media and other political parties.	That it should do so openly, without expensive hidden contracts, that are undemocratic due to the length of time they run for. Also council members that are derogatory about their constituents should be properly held to account by an independent organisation with binding powers to discipline Councillors.	Practically nothing, if they can screw things up, they will. They are unaccountable and when obviously have broken the code of conduct, the Labour group sticks together and finds them innocent. https://www.yorkshirepost.co.uk/news/latest- news/sheffield-councillor-reprimanded-for-arrogant-little-s-tweet-about-rival- politician-1-9483089	See previous comments. Undemocratic; decisions only taken by a small number of people Unaccountable; Difficult to say who made a decision due to cabinet system, Unrepresentative; few regions of Sheffield have any influence. Hypocritical; using Tory Anti-Union laws against tree protesters.	Just implement the request of the 'It's our city' campaign and stop wast with surveys like this.
A decision that is based on experts advice, involving a committee of cross-parties, cross- opinions representatives, and resulting in a transparent outcome.	As a taxpayer, I trust that the elected representative will be driven by people's interests. For me it is important that decisions are informed and that the process is transparent to avoid doubts of corruption or incompetence.		Most of all wasteful, spending half a million to fight a petition signed by over 20.000 validated voters to notert a failed system. The fact that it is opaque. The fact that I vote for a councillor that has very little satin the running of the council. Very important decisions have been poorly managed and there seems to be an inertia about the cabinet. No meaningful consultation, no accountability. Too name a few, the mount pleasant estate being sold to a private retirement business, streets ahead and the trees saga, the dealings with Chinese investors (central library, cheap building when councils should impose some conditions when handing over prime locations, etc.	r Transparency. Involving ALL councillors. Expert advice (not spending huge amount, to then ignore the reports). Accountability. Treating citizens respectfully (peaceful protest debacle and shameful in add that I was personally belittled when raising a concern about new p very slippery, (more than the perfectly fine old ones), especially for old neighbour. I was told other councils use them and that they were comp did not use to slip. Now I do.
A democr Depen, transparent, fair, honest and clear process.	It is important to me that decision are made fairly and the outcomes benefit the majority. Be honest and accountable in your reasons for making decisions	Don't know much about this not well publicised.	Decisions are made by a small collective that may not represent the interest of the masses.	Exactly that a transparent decision making structure. Available informa stage of this, perhaps online.
Decisions where all the elected members have an equal voice in the discussion and voting.	That they are fair and have the interests of all Sheffield citizens, that they are made by all elected members, rather than a select few who I did not elect.		That it has outsourced too Much of the services provided to its citizens to organisations with an interest in profit over customer service. I have no idea who the few people are who make the majority of decisions, but if I complain to my councilior, they have no power to effect change or opinion of	One where all elected members have an equal chance to put the opinic electorate forward and have an equal day in any policy which is set as a
An agreed and fully impacted decision with benefits to the community as a whole and not		I don't	those few in the inner circle. How is this democracy? They are made by political policy's and there own party agenda and not	A public input and a democratic election process to agree
iust resolving the issue Informed Consensus	politically That we are all equally represented by our councillors	Nothing	necessarily the right for the circumstances The strong leader model. It's not democratic and doesn't represent me or my area	Meaningful votes for all councillors on all decisions. Debate in the coun discuss views and inform everyone , including the public. Accountability representatives.
Considering all viewpoints with an outcome that benefits/ represents the community as a whole as much as possible. Involving mindfull and compassionate communication throughout the process.	That they represent the communities of Sheffields views/ interests and do not put profit or business over the wellbeing of our communities.	I don't. The model currently in use does not represent the community as a whole.	As stated previously.	Mindful communication. Considering a broader range of viewpoints (m be involved in the decision making process) therefore representing more Sheffield public.
Initiality and the brocks. Including all of the councillors rather than just a few. We elect all these people to represent and only a small percentage are heard Good decision making is: -open - subject to proper scrutiny by councillors and independent organisations - based on evidence and the evidence is available for the public to see wherever possible. - puts aside tribal politics to do what's best for Sheffield - has clear principles against which it can be tested (eg the council declared a climate emergency, is the decision aligned with that? - is done in accordance with good governance standards - the decision making process balances the need for all the above with time and cost.	That it reflects all the views of the people of Sheffield. In addition to all the above - the whole city is represented through its councillors. - the public and experts have the opportunity to contribute - councillors have to be ready to overturn bad decisions when evidence shows they have been made. - there needs to be a highly proactive culture in the council to take action when things go wrong and seek constant improvement. - where things have gone badly wrong there must be an open and transparent examination of the reasons for failure and published lessons learned. Councillors and officers must be held to account if they fail to comply with the law or the standards and principles but the culture in the council needs to be one that is also comfortable with learning and recognise that failure is something to learn from.	not a lot. We have a history of being not heard. having the council to people to court, misuse of police, perjury in court I could go on I don't think there is much I like about the current system.	That all of the voices of the council let alone the people of Sheffield are not heard. The cabinet make decisions that appear to be counter to the available facts, and are extremely reluctant to overturn bad decisions. Local councillors cannot access information - even about their own wards. Decisions have been taken based on evidence that appears to have been contrived to produce a particular outcome. Where consultation happens it is done very badly. The online consultation for Sheffield's environment was so badly put together it forced members of the public to offer view without any understanding of what was being asked. Public scrutiny is often blocked.	All council members voting - surely this is more democratic See answer to first question - "What does good decision making look lii

sion making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
nent, or big financial	Commercial interests of individuals	
e best placed to inform the incy costs. Less e work.	Wasting money.	
o set targets years in It as you go.		Needs to be honest to the urgent and stark challenges of social care, publ8c health, climate change. Hurry up and make improvements!
	Avoid democracy being circumvented.	
d by majority voting	Conflicts of interest. Partisan, unpragmatic opposition. People with no understanding of relevant issues making decisions. Corruption.	Amey are absolutely terrible and the quality of their work is shockingly bad.
nd members of the local		
fully represents dertake proper isions have already been decision making processes	Yes tribal party politicking	
ens which justify decisions.	Favouring the small group of the same old faces.	Please take us seriously. Please be as progressive as the citizens of Sheffield are. Our council needs to represent the creativity and open mindedness that people across the city offer.
articipate, not just the	Too much hierarchy. The structure should be transparent and as flat as possible	I think the current leaders have brought ridicule to the city and are completely out of touch from the people they serve. The way the tree situation was handled was diabolical and embarrassing. Many councillors simply could not do a thing about it as they were shut out.
stop wasting our money	I really don't see how you could make it much worse	
reports). ameful injunction). I would ut new pavements being lly for older people like my ree compliant. The fact is 1	Avoid the strong leader system that brings mistrust on the council. Avoid interference with the work of law enforcement when what is at stake is the profit of a private business. Involve experts before signing large PFIs, or better yet in-source it.	I found it baffling that there's was no attempt at cooperation with the "it's our city" campaign. I believe the peole in charge, who have ignored the calls for discussions, and then threw the city into an avoidable and costly referendum, should be held accountable.
e information on each	Don't make a decision making process a tick box exercise when the outcome is already decided. If needs be have criteria that excludes certain decisions from the 'honest' decision making structure. If not carried out honestly it loses all credibility	Consult with the affected communities more on decision options.
he opinions of their is set as a result.	Whatever structure we have in place at the moment that means only a few selected councillors in some sort of inner circle get to make the decisions that affect us all	
	Political pressure	
the council chamber to untability from all our points (more councillors to nting more views of the	Leaving people without a voice - as it currently stands, only the cabinet makes decisions and the rest of the councillors pretend to their constituents that they have a voice when they effectively don't	Please change the system! It is NOT representing me or my area
	The existing voting system.	
ng look like to you?"	See answers to previous questions above	This process feels like it has taken too long to get started. The priority has to be to get a good enough view of the new process ready in time for the

view of the new process is being worked out

As the detail of the new process is being worked out, It is essential Sheffield council is open about how the existing process works and takes a case study of where decision making has gone wrong to ensure we learn how to avoid the same problems in the new system. Given the history and issues involved, the council could appoint someone independent to look at this. The obvious example of bad decision making is the tree felling programme under streets ahead. Refusal to examine mistakes and learn, openly, so councillors and the people of the city can be confident the improvements being made are the right ones will be a huge missed opportunity.

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision main Sheffield?
In management theory one of the key factors in administering power in a healthy way, is transparency. That is sorely lacking in the way the current council leadership enacts its power.	That it's clear that decisions are being made with the city residents' at heart.	I am struggling for an answer.	Both the lack of transparency and the authoritarian manner in which policies are implemented, as well as the fact that not all elected constituents have a share of power.	Inclusion of all 84 members in decision processes; transparency as to ra decisions, especially when tendering private contracts; a citizens commi our city into the future.
Fair representation and discussion of all issues, rather than a select few making unilateral decisions for all. Listening with respect to those with different points of view. But being willing to compromise on some issues, whilst being true to your convictions	It is important to me as a senior citizen as I feel my rights are slowly being eroded. I do not think I am being represented by the small closed group that decide issues. It is important for med to know that my money paid in various ways to the council is used wisely.	I do not like the way the council makes decisions at the moment.	I do not like the way a small closed cabinet make decisions, this makes me think my views are not being fully represented by the council. I do not like the lack of transparency, as I am suspicious that they have something to hide.	Transparent and fair decision making by the whole council, which is wh labour, socialist council, that I trusted to represent me. Integrity.
Fair and meaningful representation by all 84 elected councillors regardless of party with a	See above.	Nothing.	Everything- its undemocratic.	See1 above.
change from the present system. A democratic decision taking all views into account based on hard evidence.	All residents must be considered as it is our money they are spending.	None.	Decisions are made to suit the few high up council leaders.	More opportunities for residents to decide how the money is spent and
Every councillor has the same voice as all have been voted in. They agreed on the best way forward.	/ 1 councillor 1 vote	Im appalled that only a reduced group make all the decisions that affect the way Sheffield is governed	The views of most citizens are not taking into consideration	council focuses on to improve our city. 1 councillor 1 vote Listen to complaints
Transparent decision making, led by evidence and sound justification.	That I can publicly see what decisions have been made; how much has been spent; that a range of due diligence checks - financial, legal, hr, equalities have been made; why		There are pros and cons - constant chopping and changing of politicians with . different priorities and pledges has to be weighed up against long term power for	When arguments are presented to the council they are discussed by all. That all decisions are held to account and can be properly challenged.
Being able to make unpopular and hard decisions, yet stand by them with a rational case.	decisions have been made		one party.	That all decisions are grounded on evidence and a clear rationale.
Making decisions in the interest of the public and taxpayer, not the political party.				
Clear question set out Those deciding given time to research question All views clearly stated Areas of agreement established Areas of disagreement discussed Compromises offered and accepted by both sided A consensus decision reached. Discussion where	Desisions should be openly reached WITHOUT the whip being used so that councillors can genuinely represent their constituents wishes	Nothing at present.	Bullying behaviour by certain prominent figures. Use of the whip Attempts to stifle the input of smaller parties. I have completely lost trust in the devious way this Labour Council has operated. I speak as a life long Labour voter.	A modern Committee system Proportional representation on committees by total number of votes ga number of wards won. Consensus decision making after serious deliberation. Compromises made by all sides to reach decisions.
Good decision making in a local council should be above party politics. All elected representatives should be able to put their electors views and be heard with respect and an open mind. There should be transparency.	Transparency is vital as is honesty. I am deeply unhappy to say that I have experienced being fobbed off with half-truths and downright lies in my dealing with Councillors. It is important that a city council takes account of the views of all of it's elected representatives, reflecting as they do the varied experiences and views of the electorate.		The cabinet system closes down transparency and true democracy. Whilst acknowledging the constraints and difficulties facing the Council under a Conservative Government I believe the city has had the wrong priorities over many years. It has wanted to be a 'big' player like Manchester, Leeds. I know a city has to be economically sound but we can never compete with them. That is not being negative just realistic. We have to nurture more than large developments. Work more with local organisations and campaigning groups. This has not been the priority it should have been.	place to start. I quote from there website - "A move to a committee system form of governance is a not a "return" working – it is an opportunity to think differently about how and why th be designed to be fit for the 21st century. We can help to manage politi
A majority vote with clear and open details Have good representation across a wide range of diversities and background making decisions for our city. Also, knowledge of the surrounding area enabling community-based decision rather than a top-down approach.	Openness Because I feel that is only fair we vote for candidates for our local area that they have a voice when making decisions.	Nothing Undemocratic.	It is too one sided That it seems to be a laborious progress and not made by a good representation of the city.	Identify concensus where none reviously caemed possible " All councillors having A voice f More community-based decisions and power to the people with inform
A proper wullation where the decision is actually based on the results, not based on a small come who have already made up their minds.	Important decisions which affect the whole city should be made by a majority of our elected representatives, not by a small number of the ruling party.	I don't like anything about the way SCC makes decisions at the moment.	I don't believe SCC take the views of the city into account when making decisions which can affect everyone.	There should be transparency. Decisions should be made based on independent advice where necessa proper, not rubber-stamped, consultation. All, at least a large majority of councillors, should be responsible for ma
A system when things get done, problems get support, people kept well informed. Informatic clear and precise and delivered in a timely manner. Information available in mulitiple format. People (public) consulted properly not just lip service. No short surveys that don't mean anything. Officials concerned listening carefully to public opinion and not just side stepping problem with excuses.	 People are kept informed and are listened to. People get all the information they require, in a format they can access. Not just online. Full unbiased consultation nwith no political bias. No pathetic excuses for not doing what you said. No last minute surveys with tight deadlines that no one knows about. Openess and transparency. 	Not a lot. It is almost impossible to find anything out. Your website is useless since you changed it. Even your own staff and councillors can't find the information they require. Even a freedom of information act request does not answer the questions asked. Information has been removed and hidden from public and even council staff view	It is very secretive and controlled by a few people who hide what they do. Who never answerer a question properly. Who communicate with the public as listtle as possible.Who don't even communicate woth their own staff and fellow councillors. Your new website is useless. Even your staff on customer services can't find things . it's like you've taken 1000's of documents off the internet because you don't want	
			poeple to access them! People who don't have internet access through cost or ability are totally cut off from what is happening in the city. Those that do have no chance of knowing anything unless they spend all day looking for it. Information that is received is always late leaving very little time to read/consult/answer. I can giver numerous examples.	

Transparent decision making by people who understand fully the issues involved. Decisions that take into account varying views and are not made purely on face saving or party lines.	It affects the lives of the inhabitents of the city and so is very important.	Very little. They are not transparent about the reasons for the decisions they make and are not making them in the best long term interests.	Lack of transparency, short termism, party dogma.	Open decision making involving all members of the council. Decisions th account all areas of the city.
Good decision making is firstly sharing ideas / options then consulting local organisations	Decision making should not be down to a certain group of councillors but all elected councillors	Nothing	Presently out of all elected councillors only a small selected group of councillors make decisions that is undemocratic as it stops other councillors being a voice for their constituents	I would like to see a local select committee's made up of stakeholders a residents who can scrutinize the local authority and Councillors and or management can be accountable to the select committee's
In government, decision making has to be democratic. That means all directly elected representatives have the same influence and voting power.	In government, decision making has to be democratic. That means all directly elected representatives have the same influence and voting power.	Nothing. The outsourcing of services such as public transport, rubbish collection and highways has been catastrophic. The fact that the terms of the contract with Amey are not open to public scrutiny is a scandal and the business over the felling of street trees a disgrace, worthy of a police state. If this is the result of the current decision structure of the council, then the quicker it goes, the better.	See previous answer.	See first answer.
Gathering and considering information from all available sources. Open discussion by all parties involved. Reacting to genuine public opinion.	l am an individual not an organisation. Decisions can affect my day to day life in retirement and the future of my children and grandchildren. Not a $lt_{\rm so}$	Not a lot!!!! eg trees, road improvements.	See question 6 ?? Pay attention to detail. Democracy	Democracy and inclusivity. Arogance
Honest policy with clean hands and a majority opinion	We are all occupants and they need to think about us all when they are making plans and policies not just people with money	Nothing	We do not get a proper say you tell us what we think don't tell me ask me	More input from people on the front line who are directly affected by y

cision making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
ency as to rationale of key izens committee. Let's bring	One political party holding all the power. Vested interests influencing decisions. Lack of citizen consultation with key	
which is what I expect of a grity.	decisions. Closed decision making by a cabinet style group, anything that closes down fair representation and rough shod riding over those who do not agree with a closed group.	People who serve and represent me and my concerns, that I do not think happens at present.
	Party politics.	Be less secretive.
is spent and what the	Quick snap decisions for the short term.	
ussed by all. hallenged. onale.	Circular discussions and an inability for decisions to actually be made due to a range of disparate voices - see hung parliament and effects on Brexit.	Introducing a wider range of representation may benefit decision making, e.g. more women, more people from BAME community, more people from a range of diverse backgrounds etc.
r of votes gained, NOT by	The Cabinet system and the whip to enforce the view of a very small 'elite'	
ve knowledge and elieve there has to be a ny seems to me to be a good	Splits along party political lines , excluding smaller parties who do have elected representatives.	
a "return" to an old way of v and why this model might anage political tensions to		
with informed processes.	Closed door decisions That the same people who have been at the top for years and year are still okay to make decisions with no real knowledge of what really happens in their city.	To make more public all decisions
ere necessary, and with sible for making decisions ne public and public bodies.	We must move away from the current model where only 10 people make massive decisions affecting such a large population. Anything you did before. Your current system is biased and self centered and does not do it's job i.e. serve th public. Any councillor that does a good job and becomes populare with the public is currently removed! This must be stopped.	I would like to see no further delay in moving this very important issue forward. This could have been resolved without the need for the petition, but it seems those in power are reluctant to let it go. At the end of the day you are public servants and as such should listen to the views of the public and act on them appropriately and not impose your own ideas. For example you spend millions of pounds, in your opinion, 'improving the city centre' at great cost and upheaval for know obvious purpose. You should be spending more across the city not just your 'designated areas. the city centre used to be full of character, it was easy to access and a place where you wanted to be. Now it looks like a building site with all the facilities removed just for the sake of it. Roads closed to facilitate unecessary building. Buses diverted to who knows where. Meanwhile the rest of the city is totally neglected. the worst roads in the country. Cheap cover ups on roads and pavements. Parks with no paths while millions are spent on 'special parks' No public toilets in the city centre. empty shops, overcrowded schools, this is what you hae done to our city. In my life time you've built a town hall and then knocked it down and repaved Fargate 3 times!!! How does this help the poeple of our City?
Decisions that take into	Too much power held by the leader and the leader's followers.	
keholders and local lors and or senior	Unpopular it maybe but avoid making costly agreements with other countries ! Trying to make central library into a hotel a deal with China! What is there to show for it? What lessons have been learnt if any?	I would like to be part of a select committee in holding council management and elected councillors to account. I want to be a voice for others with mental health and autism and long term physical health issues! I don't feel a select committee should be politically motivated though.
	Anything secret, closed or of restricted access.	No
	Arrogance. Party politics. The council is here to serve the community as a whole even if the decisions	Stop thinking you automatically know best and listen better.
	are not in line with my views and not in-line with the view of the incumbent councillors.	

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
That there has been wide consultation with the appropriate people and that a variety of perspectives are listened to and considered. Voting should take place with all councillors involved - committee system Whether it is a simple majority win or there is a 60/40 etc requirement should be discussed and decided on before hand. There should be an opportunity to hear the voices of the public in certain situations	That a wide variety of local voices via elected representatives are included in the decision making process. That local people can have a voice in major decisions that will have a large impact. It must be democratic	I believe the current leader /cabinet format is not democratic in that there is no opportunity for all voices to be heard. Having a broader committee style approach would open up space for other voices and views to be considered		inclusivity a committee style more democratic		
Consultative, open and clear. Representative i.e. reflecting the views of voters etc., to be guided by the folks who voted them in! I would expect reasoned arguments from both/all sides to be made available for decision makers and the general public where appropriate. I would expect all elected members of the council to be involved at the outset, with a process to agree on a specialist 'sub' committee to progress with fact finding etc. if appropriate. Then the final decision should be voted on by all elected members. I believe that this model is how the general public have assumed that the council would work.	That any decision is made by a genuine majority of elected councilors, certainly not by a closed clique of selected and partial individuals.		It appears that some very major decisions have been made by a small 'clique' of councilors, not by a full council vote. The consequences of some of these decisions have resulted in many people being extremely suspicious of the council.	More consultation with the people on the ground who will be affected by a decision. For major decisions there should be extensive consultation and the opportunity for peoples views to be heard. The argument that this would be costly and slow the process down would be made I am sure, but any decision based on a strong consultative model will be far stronger and have greater support than those made under the current system.	enquirys, and sense that the public are being hoodwinked!	
Clear, well-informed. timely	Clarity, well-informed, open, subject to challenge, timely	Nothing	Taken within a narrow cabale, no real challenge, poorly informed and argued,	Openness, much wider basis, multi-party, real challenge,	all the current faults mentioned above	
1 Critical, clear thinking about all the stages in a transparent decision process, from the initial formulation of the proposal onwards.	That all citizens feel they have voice and that what they say will be heard without prejudice.	I can't think of anything.	arrogant That my elected representatives are not able fully to represent me and other constituents.	1 That all decisions are publicly approved by the Council in such a way that any elected representative may record a comment and vote.	Giving final decision-making authority to a single individual or committee/group.	No
2 Open (a) to direct input either from all who will be affected by the decision, e.g., by a full consultation process or by announcement of intention and simple invitation to comment				2 That ways are found to give the Chair of the Council a clear and effective role in strengthening the voice of elected members as a body. (Recent events and discussions about the role of the Speaker in Parliament are relevant here.)		
or (b) input through an elected representative who is entitled to play a full role in the decision-making process and is committed to reflecting the range and depth of their constituents views, regardless of any political party affiliations. 3 Should leave all involved - citizens and representatives - feeling that the process has						
treated them fairly, regardless of the substantive outcome.						
Informed, educated, and joined-up,.	Transparency and accountability.	I'm afraid there's not very much that has impressed me recently. I assume that the council leadership has decent intentions.	Very unaccountable and a lack of transparency. The interests of the council's business partners seems to be put ahead of the people the represent. There seems to be a tendency to play things 'safe' rather than looking for creative and forward thinking solutions. The strong leadership model means there is very little democratic accountability.	Complete accountability. Transcribed and/or open communications regarding how the decisions were arrived at. Clear and easy interaction regarding plans and decisions. Wherever possible, local councillors being responsible for local decisions.		I think the fact that SCC waited for the strong leadership petition to reach the legally required number of signatories rather than seeing it as inherently undemocratic and getting ahead of the process early says an awful lot about SCC's lack of commitment to fairly and equally representing the
Timely; Agreeable; Fair	To hear representation from all sides, including members of the public.	I am struggling with this one.	The perception is that they appear to have gone a little power crazy. Decisions made in secret; no explanation of how decisions have come about; a shroud of secrecy.	A more open approach, including more consultation. Not the sort of Hobson's choice consultation that has become the norm, i.e. " Which of these two options, both of which we have decided on and already provisionally approved, would you prefer?"	Secrecy.	neonle of Sheffield. Yes. Don't forget who votes for you and what you are there to do. You are there to serve the public and not just help yourselves.
Pa				Proper, independent consultations.		
(\mathbf{O})				And complete transparency of ALL discussions and ALL decisions.		
I feel we need an appropriate and representative way for decisions to be made - ensuring that the dwarent political voices that make up Sheffield's constituencies and communities are heard and can contribute to the overall political management of the city.	I want it to be representative, inclusive and promote cross-party cooperation.	The current system is not fit for purpose	The Strong Leader model concentrates power in the hands of a very few councillors, and they have demonstrated poor judgement, particularly in relation to how SCC addressed the tree protests.	Open, transparent, inclusive, deliberative, co-operative.	concentrating power in the hands of the few. Overly complex or restrictive processes. Obscure or inaccessible processes that limit	
It should corresentative of the views of all people who are represented by the councillors that they elect. It shouldn't be a system where only 10 councillors get to influence decisions.	That it is fair and representative.	In all honesty, I believe that the way Sheffield City Council has been run during my lifetime (since the mid-70s) is responsible for Sheffield being behind every other leading city in these country for economic prosperity, opportunities and facilities. I don't like most of the decisions that my council currently makes, and I don't like the way that the decisions are dominated by just a few voices.	See previous answer	A fair system that is representative of all of the councillors, not just a chosen few.	oversight. Hard left politics and economic policies. These have held Sheffield back all of my life.	
Decisions should be made based on expert evidence and views of stakeholders/local people. Whilst the project/plan should be financially viable the decision must not be influenced by individuals or companies/organisations who may profit from the issue.	There should be adequate information about the issue and an opportunity for the public to be consulted if it is likely to affect their well-being or quality of life.	Unfortunately, positive points do not readily spring to mind.	Decisions seem to be rushed and often take place behind closed doors. Recently this has raised a considerable amount of negative publicity.	A more politically balanced committee discussing issues. Independent chairing if appropriate.		It is highly important that decision makers have access to all relevant information and are open about why decisions are made
	As well as financial criteria, environmental and social issues must be considered.					
	Decisions should not be made solely on party lines.					
evidence based, sensitive to varied perspectives, taken openly through a clear procedure, recommendations subject to open challenge before final decision is taken, clearly communicated. Local decisions need to be taken as locally as possible with information exchanged on a local level and a clear role for community/stakeholder representatives.	Experts should be called on when needed and councils should be wary of getting rid of knowledgeable people from their workforce. However also look to the knowledge, evenerience of the Incal nonulation That we know what is happening and going to happen in our city and have the chance to influence it through formal democratic procedures. The our representatives are accessible and that their performance can be assessed. That not being in cabinet does not deny councillors a voice.	in secret by the ruling group. While the time given for questions in council and	other public committees tend to be rehearsed. We don't really know what decisions are made, when they are made and by whom they are made. Communication of consultations and executive decision-making on the website is poor. It's obvious many councillors, including in the ruling group, feel excluded, let alone the wider community. Whilst party politics has its role there is far too much opportunism (or defensiveness) at the expense of good governance for the city. Too much delegation to unaccountable officers whom it is difficult to challenge. Outsourcing presents its own problems with the buck being passed. Both the Council and Amey behaved disreputably about the street trees, adding to the leverage which was then exerted by STAG - often inappropriately and in the case of my street with highly problematic results. The last couple of years are my first	with inefficiencies and individual grandstanding. It was also quite remote from the public despite the gradual introduction of rights to attend. It was designed in an era long before the internet and social medial radically changed the whole basis of communication. However the current system in Sheffield only has a veneer of democratic involvement. The lack of committees means that the only debates and the main questioning and challenge comes in the highly charged atmosphere of full council and many things get swept over. Any new committee system should take full account of modern technological opportunity including both information provision and access to decisions. The democratic services section of the website works well in general but could be expanded and made more approachable. The Voice of our City lead group seems to want a much	which mean that decision making drags on and on. Lack of clarity when decisions have actually been taken. There will be conflict over decisions. Don't try to disguise it except where it is damaging otherwise even more trust will be lost. Avoid cumbersome joint committees except where there is clear need for agencies to be formally communicating with each other in public. To replace them find a structure	Local government is one of our most important institutions which the behaviour and policies of successive governments have demeaned. That makes it all the more important that major Counci like Sheffield try to rebuild a framework for local decision making which is seen as relevant and can trusted. Also don't be afraid of more regional co- operation - though without trying to centralise issu in Sheffield. In general the Council has done quite a good job in trying to rescue our city from the problems the government has thrown us. But it hasn't been goo enough, nor have the processes of accountability- which have often been tainted by too much spin.
Cooperation between different parties.	It is more important that people work together to make decisions on a city size scale, than just a small narrow band of like minded individuals do at present.	If there is only 10 people making these decisions, or have the power of the final say. Then I do not think much of the way Sheffield Council is making decisions.	It is not open and by the 10 vote strong man idea, it is very narrow in ideas.	We also need to know what type of decisions in all the major areas of council activity are taken at what level and if possible by whom. Modern Committee System.	Don't fall into the same trap as now, and think you know better than everyone else. Think of the very bad Tree decision.	Make it more inclusive.

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision ma in Sheffield?
Have those effects been clearly identified? Have we asked those affected for ideas? Has a full range of options been gathered? - incl who does it well already. Do we have a good forum to consult re options? - and to follow through as plans are implemented.	I would like to think that my Councillor(s) a) have my interests at heart. b) are (more obviously) accessible, and (more actively) seek residents views/ideas. c) are responsive to reasonable requests for assistance. d) are well supported by professional and helpful officers to do their job. e) views are properly sought and included in discussions about the city's 'big issues' and their solutions	Dont feel i know much about it!	Just dont feel stuff gets a wide enough discussion/hearing.	I cant say more/put it better than the four sections of 'Its our city' "Son Modern Committee System" briefing. (Which i would have copied and knew how to!) Please see: https://www.itsoursheffield.co.uk/some-principles-for-a-m system-briefing/
Do neonle FEEL included ? Evidence based open discussion addressing the needs of all concerned with the outcome.	Open sensible fact based decisions with regards to how they impact the local community		Very little clarity or seeming reasoning behind decisions. Lack of openness and accountability. Failure to involve a huge majority of councillors and by extension population of the city.	Public forums and open review of the decisions made. Involvement of more than just 12% of the city. Freedom of information not just for the process, but the resources used to gather the information to make tho preventing corruption, narrow intelligence gathering and poor method
			Disregard of social impact; concern with only financial profit without consideration of social profit or long term benefits to the wider communities.	presenting contribution, noncon interingence game ing and poor include
			Decisions being made for the benefit of profit making organisations. Many citizens view is that most of the councils decisions are made for business and corporate entities and not for the citizens themselves.	
forward their views and to have them considered. If appropriate co-opted members with	decision making process. Cross party discussion Cost effective policies. Less wasting of finite budgets. Transparency of the process and how decision was voted on. Public	Not a great deal.	Power is in the hands of too few. Elected members are not just there for those who supported them by voting for them - they are also there to reflect the views of those who voted against them. Also the needs of the locality should reflect the	Cross party cooperation in discussions and decisions. Greater spread o f opting of member from local businesses and educational organisations groups. Transparency. Easy access to information.
experience in area under discussion would be included in committee make up.	ability to hold council members accountable for their actions - need to have easy access to information [where appropriate] so that constituents can use their democratic vote at election times in a more meaningful way. {Accept that this may not be liked by elected member but we live in a democracy and are always being urged to use our voting rights intelligently}		decisions taken and not those of central government or party politics. Yes central government policy affects locality as does party policy but these should be given less weight than what is good for the locity.	
Democratic, formed by an elected group of relevant authorities.	Must be DEMOCRATICALLY elected. Made up of a diverse demographic. A decision making panel should change every 5 years or less. The decisions made should not directly benefit anyone on the panel of decision makers. We (the people) are sick of unelected people getting into positions of power and influence and in doing so furthering their own position or filling their pocket.	REDACTED . Across the board the most atrocious council I've had the displeasure of having to give taxes to.	84 elected councillors however only the cabinet leader and 9 cabinet members making the decisions. Does that honestly sound right to you? Do you think 10 people making all the key decisions for the city is democratic? Do you think it represents the will of ALL the people? Or does it represent the will of just those 10? Probably the latter. Sheffield city council is well known for being an archaic	Want to see the councillor that I voted for having a seat at the table an decisions. I'd like to actually be able to get hold of someone at the cou sent round 12 departments. I'd like you to stop felling the <i>REDACTED</i> I climate change be at the forefront of decision making instead of what or what back hander could I take.
Democratic decisions made in the best interests of the community. Listening to the electorate.	That people are listened to.	I don't know! How does the council make decisions?	dinosaur. I don't think the whole council makes decisions on important issues. I think a small number of cabinet members take important decisions.	More openness about how you make your decisions.
and should be on party or national lines. Meeting Could be transparent (not behind closed doors!) and decisions should be made democration by all councillors. not by a small cabinet. Evidence based policy. Issues presented before decisions made with clear indications of ways to be may be all to express concerns and preferences. Options and possibilitues	Transparency ! Truth! Democratic! Inout from all councillors! That it be transparent and open to change, that it does not take a narrow partisan view of issues and is able to engage with the best and brightest in the city to come up with new and vibrant alternatives – for example, the catastrophe of the mount pleasant development - this outcome was poor on almost every level and entirely arbitrary. Much	I do NOT like the way decisions are made at the moment. Almost nothing.	I do not like the closed cabinet system. I do not like the way Sheffield residents have been treated when they tried to protest about decisions made by the cabinet. Decisions seem arbitrary and incapable of being challenged. There is a very great amount of confirmation bias.	Total transparency. True democracy. Involvement of all councillors. Not on party lines. but cross party. openness.
evidence at the same time. Specifically not a decision already made where the evidence is sought after to fit the decision alreadt made. 1. Involves all interested parties and stake holders;		Very little, I'm afraid	The 'strong leader' (who on earth thought that was a good name?) madel. The total lack of power of non-cabinet councillors.	The involvement of all councillors. An effective scrutiny apparatus involving citizens, representatives of va
make, should be heard.; 3. It should be a product of discussion, based on full, accurate and open information,	It should involve all councillors but also non-councillors, representatives of various interests and sectors and organisations. It should not be derailed and distorted by perpetual elections, I.e it should be based on		and therefore of their constituents who effectively have no real representation. The overriding emphasis on Party advantage. The electoral model.	relevant experts as well as councillors. More open and accountable decision making.
All councillors to have a voice and power at least in proportion to their share of the vote, so that decisions are seen to be representative of the electorate. Decisions need to be consensual, not imposed by party diktat.	Transparent Consensual Fair-eg currently the Greens are unable to put forward many motion to council, despite them having 10% of councillors and a higher % than that of the votes.		The cabinet system is inherently unfair. The cabinet does not represent the span and breadth of the people who voted in elections. It has the potential to create a cabal that may or may be be good for Sheffield citizens. It mans that cabinet members have to rely much more on the advice of officials, who have not been elected.	Transparency and openness Seen to be fair and honest. All councillors to have a voice. More cross party working that is genuine, not going through the motio Seen to have consulted widely, with citizens, interested parties and pro I would like to see objectives of the Council move towards representing Sheffield first, and party politics second.
Good decision making is fully informed and pragmatic. Opinions of all stakeholders are taken into account. When faced with information that affects how a decision was made, good decision makers will change their decision if appropriate.	Decisions should be agreed by consensus of all councillors in a democratic manner. Decision makers should be able to change decisions when faced with new information.	I can't think of anything I like about the way decisions are currently made by the council.	I understand that decisions are made by a cabinet, but that the leader of the council essentially has the final say within that cabinet. One person can direct every aspect of how the council operates. If that one person can overrule opinions of members of the cabinet, and totally ignore those outside the cabinet, then I don't believe Sheffield City Council is at all democratic outside of local election campaigns.	A committee-based system to debate policy and make proposals, whic on by all councillors.
One in which everybody is represented not just ten elected representatives from a possible 84 this gives rise to people believing the the council is just a Labour stitch-up Modernise Now	Make the decisions based on full council voting not a rigged vote by a few people	NOTHING	SEE PREVIOUS COMMENTS	A fair vote A vote that takes into consideration all elected representatives
	If only a small group of people are making decisions then inevitably those decisions will not have been rigorously tested in full debate. Those decisions may have been made without consideration of all the facts or full knowledge of the circumstances.	I don't like it because a tiny proportion of the councillors hold sway over a fully elected council. Why bother with all the other councillors?	A small group of people are too powerful and making decisions that are not necessarily in the interests of the people of Sheffield. The rest of the council does not really have adequate representation at the point of decision making.	Cross party groups discussing items that are of interest across the city. More involvement for all the elected representatives. A mature and balanced way of behaving in council and not making che party.
Transparent with all views considered. Documented and evidenced. Open to public scrutiny. Conflict of interests need to be reported.	Fairly and with the public's best interest at the centre.	I don't, it smacks of a boys club full of back handers and incompetence	See previous	Public consultation groups representing a wide cross section of the ger

sion making structure	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?		
city' "Some Principles for a pied and pasted here if i	Secrecy about decisions/choices like the trees/and PFI's generally.	no		
s-for-a-modern-committee-				
ement of councillors from ust for the decision making make those decisions, r methodology.	Avoid lack of accountability. The ability of citizens or groups to talk to the council in direct response to decisions made is a considerable concern of many people i talk to, the inability to challenge and overturn patently incorrect policies is damaging to the support and trust of the council by the city's citizens.			
	Avoid exclusion. The fact that so many members of the city have no direct or indirect voice in how the city is run or how decisions are made is a failure of the current system. Communities who are poorly represent need to be encouraged to engage and stand up for their local areas and engage in a dialogue with the council. A large part of the city council's failure to address issues is the lack of awareness that the issues even exist.			
spread of power. Co inisations and interested	Party dogmatism. Closing down of discussion.			
e table and a hand in the t the council without being DACTED trees. I'd like of what profit can I make	Anything like the old system. First thing to do is sack the old panel. Fresh start.	Consider the impact on the planet first. Dont do the quick cheap fix. Invest in the future.		
	Secrecy.	More openness and honesty is needed. Better communication about what is happening. Better consultation.		
	No "strong leader" and cabinet.			
	closed sessions, agenda setting, public consultations that seem designed to specifically indicate the outcome desired. Perhaps there should be a strong separation between the council and the preparation of consultations, and a greater adversarial process in setting up those consultations to make sure they do not quickly fall towards the status quo or already entrenched positions.			
ives of various interests,	The 'strong leader ' type model. The over-reliance on over-powerful officers. Too much Party partisanship The present electoral system			
	Inappropriate power given to officials.			
the motions. Is and professional parties. Presenting the people of				
als, which are then voted	Too much power invested in individuals.			
'S	YES AVOID PUTTING THE DECISIONS IN THE HANDS OF THE FEW			
the city.	Continuing in the same way as present.	This process to me seems like another way of stalling		
aking cheap jibes cross		and putting off the decision to move to a fairer way of running the council. The Council Leader's decision to proceed in this way is simply adding to the costs of the whole process, deflecting addressing the root of the problem. It is not helpful.		
of the general public.	Avoid those with conflict of interest being involved in decision making where they or their families could directly benefit			

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
ALL councillors, as representatives of Sheffield's wards, should have an opportunity to input discussions & vote on decisions. The existing 'cartel' does not represent the views of Sheffield's inhabitants or the democratic involvement of council members elected.	The end of a narrow reactionary approach to 'management' of the city, the allocation of f resources & the priorities decided upon to make the city not only resilient as we face the complexities of life in the 21st century but as a place people want to inhabit & visit.	The LIKE ! Are you serious ? There is NOTHING to be liked about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions currently! Entrenched attitudes & outdated mentalities & methods that are unresponsive to the needs & preferences of the people are rife.	10 people making poor decisions, allocating limited resources inappropriately, excluding input & decision making from other councillors with different opinions & views leaving Sheffield looking like the poor relation to other Northern cities like Leeds, Manchester & York.	The inputting of different views & approaches leading to the adoption of different a policies backed up by different decisions outworked practically in the community.	outmoded mentalities. (that led to ill informed decisions like no super tram routes to the South West of the city & not having	Listen to those that truly represent the people & their views. Be open minded. Think outside the box. Be realistic to the needs of the people as well as the planet. Grasp opportunities. Don't be intransigent. Do all that can be done to throw off the reactionary reputation the council has had for years. Think carefully about the consequences of decision making, particularly in the long term. Investigate, refer, respond to to & practically asses the views of the electorate on the needs of the concerns of the people & the needs of the city
Well thought out. Democratic. Possibilities explored. In favour of the many.	They need to be fair and reflective of the needs of the people.		Decisions are made by the few. People elected by Sheffield residents to represent	Every elected member to get a vote.	Power given to the few.	
As many people as possible having their views heard and listened to One that takes in broad range of voices and opinions, but come to make a decision in a timely manor that is for the service of the people of Sheffield who are represented by the voices round the table.	I expect my elected representative to have a say in all decisions Currently opaque with the cabinet model in place.	I don't like the fact that not everyone gets a say Nothing	them are not given the power to take part in decision making. Not everyone gets a say I do not like the way decisions are made with the strong leader model which appears opaque and closed to challenge / transparency.	All elected councillors have a say Committee based decision making making use of more elected representatives from across the parties. Well chaired committee structure which allow for timely decisions by the Council.		
Consideration of all options & impact on different groups / demographics.	Fair & people making decisions represent the people of Sheffield	Not sure how they do	Ignore popular public opinion. Eg tree debacle	More representation from community.	Interest of pfi companies over residents of Sheffield	
centre is being turned into (mainly) somewhere to live with no thought to people who use it to shop, or businesses that employ many of those same people. It's short sighted. Good decisions take the old and beautiful uillings, the quirky, the things that are unique & add to themot tear them down & build ugliness. Good decisions see the bigger picture	I their hands up and admit when they get things wrong. At the moment it seems like the	Absolutely nothing. I'm disgusted at most decisions made by the council.	It's undemocraticbordering on bullying. I don't know anyone who isn't heartily sick of their bully boy tactics.	A more democratic structureallowing input by all elected members. Also listening to feedback from normal sheffielders. More opennessI actually found, in Council notes online, that they had withdrawn a service (litter removal, I believe) from an area of Sheffield without notice or consultation. They stated that if no one complained then it would remain withdrawn! How disgusting is that? So, I'd like to see them held to accountwith consequences to their actions like everyone has.	Secrecy, sneakiness (see above), bullying, decisions made for 'business' but that are not actually beneficial for Sheffield people!	I'd like to think this (& other people's) survey would make a difference but I won't hold my breath. People do not trust the council and many loathe it. It looks like it's corruptsort it out, you work for US!
iust bav lib service. Every elected member has an equal voice. Decisions re coproduced with the people that	Democratic and inclusive process	l don't like it	Not every elected councillor has a say. The council does not appear to take local	All elected members have a voice and a vote	A structure which unfairly favours the status	
are effected. Good decision making to me, is when elected councilors can participate and not be left to a selected few that could have their own agenda.	The decisions that Sheffield City Council made in appointing a PPI contractor to carry out work on road improvements in my opinion was a bad decision. There appears to be no supervision from the council. The work that is started takes forever and is at a snails pace.	their own fiefdom and ignore any protests or other opinions, ride roughshod over	views into account when making pivotal decisions in the city Too insular and secretive.	A better system that involves more councilors, not the selected few.	Yes, obtain more opinions and engage with the public more, before the final decision is made not after when it is too late.	Yes, get rid of Amey and their cash cow that is draining vital revenue.
	The supposedly road improvements at the bottom of Corporation Street / Derek Dooley Way is like watching paint dry, the work is supposed to improve traffic flow. That is yet to be seen, it is difficult to get a quart in a pint pot. Plus the fact that the Council will not allow the contract with Amey to be viewed. The council do not seem to penalise Amey for any breaches of contract, they should take a similar stand as Birmingham Council and fine them for non compliance of the contract when it is breached.					
The decision improves on what was there before . That it is not change for the sake of it. That all reasonable options have been considered . That consequences have been considered . And that decisions are explained before implementation and people's concerns are looked into and not in order.	That they are prepared to admit they may be wrong , rather than lording it over the citizens and listen to alternatives . That they consider full costings going forward by using QUALIFIED specialist accountants d	Little ! The cabinet system is elitist and excludes elected representatives from the full decision making process	See above	More public meetings and briefings	Alienating the public and especially the more deprived areas	Give the people more of a voice
And that the cision making process is clear and transparent Collaborary Everyona causes taken into account. Comproverse and the broad picture. Full under diding of the broad picture. Thorough research on the pros and cons and how people will be affected.	Always consider the views of those who live and work in the area. Transparent. Democratic. All evidence considered. Researched and in line with best practice.	I don't really know how SCC makes decisions at the moment.	My understanding is that decisions are taken by a handful of councillors, thus excluding those voted for by many Sheffield people, and I feel all councillors are elected and therefore all councillors should have a say. Each councillor should know the views of their own constituents and be able to feed those in.	Transparency. Fairness. Decisions which relate to certain areas of Sheffield should include the councillors from those areas. If people have expertise, use it.	Factions making decisions. The same few people making all the decisions. Ignoring views of colleagues. Ignoring views of the public. Ignoring views of third & voluntary sector. Anyone thinking they "know best" - two heads are better than one.	
Gather evidence. Review evidence and consult experts and stakeholders on the subject to review the evidence. Consider costs. Present evidence and costs to elected individuals (all Councillors). Allow those all elected individuals to have a vote to make the decision. This will actually be a democratic decision.	The Council is a body of members voted for, and representative, of the entire voting population of Sheffield. Sheffield City Council's decision-making process should allow the wishes of ALL of the population to be represented – i.e. all Councillors should be able to vote on all decisions made by the Council, in order for a democracy to function in the City.		A small proportion of elected members makes the decisions. They don't meaningfully consult experts when their knowledge is limited.	All elected Councillors allowed a vote on all decisions, so that policy and action truly represents the democratic wish of the people of Sheffield. Challenges to decisions allowed and heard when based on sound scientific evidence. A governance mechanism that allows legitimate concerns to be heard, considered and answered. Most organisations have this in place. When obviously wrong decisions, financially wrong decisions or illegal activities occur, individually, responsible should be held to account publicly. Everyone needs to consider the limits of their knowledge and know when to ask for help Have an open and transparent way of doing things, where individuals feel free to "whistleblow" or raise concerns about the process, and have those concerns listened to.	Single individuals or small groups of individuals should not be allowed to hold all the power. This is not democratic. Avoid making decisions based on "gut instinct" or personal feelings/ interests. Avoid a "blame culture" which tends to foster dishonesty, and instead have an open 1 and transparent way of doing things, where individuals feel free to "whistleblow" or raise	
Based in evidence and consultation of people involved / effected. Decision made by widest number of people possible.	t That my elected councillors are involved in decisions regarding services and running of the local authority.	Nothing.	Seems only a few councillors involved ed in decisions (cabinet) making it hard for ordinary citizen to have direct input as other councillors not involved. Also I dislike the fact power is all with one political party. Should be more input from other parties and cross party working.		Remove cabinet and leadership models.	Officers should be more accountable to electorate. More scrutiny by whole council means officers have to persuade all council their ideas are worthwhile rather than just focus their pitch at cabinet.
An issue is aired on the SCC website and appropriate channels for the public, with information given. People can contact their local Councillors with their opinions, and discuss the facts. The Councillors will know whether Committees are involved and contact them, re more detail. Councillors are all included in a Council debate on the issue, which leads to a vote or consensus decision.	The public and their local Councillors should be involved and listened to.	it is too secretive.	It does not listen to the public and is too secretive.	I don't know enough about it.		
Consensus accision. Assessing why a decision needs to be made, including the original motivations and intended outcomes. Collecting relevant data and evidence. Consultation with stakeholders. Discussion by and informed and diverse contributing group. A determination made by a broadly agreed mechanism.	The current mechanism that SCC uses to make decisions excludes representation of the majority of residents of Sheffield. It is important that this changes as soon as possible to ensure that the decision making is more inclusive, representative and there is more diversity in the make up of the decision makers.	Not a lot.	It lacks sufficiently broad input and oversight of members. It lacks the ability for contribution by a majority of Sheffield's residents. Reviewing the minutes of meetings and committees it appears that a lot of the decision is emotive and informed by anecdotal experience rather than consideration of data based evidence.	Committee based decision making with mechanisms to ensure that members are provided with reviewed data based evidence and that the committees are agile and effective.	Concentrating power in to a small group of people. Failure to provide data based evidence to inform decision making. Unduly broad committees that are at risk of getting bogged down in lengthy and	My local Councillor REDACTED has given assurances that a citizens assembly would be formed by the Council to address the issues of the declared climate emergency. This would be a valuable addition to the decision making process but doesn't seem to be materialising.

A determination made by a broadly agreed mechanism. Continual review of the need to make a decision as new information emerges.

getting bogged down in lengthy and circuitous discussions on the day of meeting rather than requesting and reviewing information beforehand.

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
comments. When those questions or comments are made, they should either be responded to by ammending proposals, or where it is decided that ammendments are not appropriate, responded to with evidence based reasons. These answers should then themselves be open to scrutiny, before any final decisions are made. At the legislative level, they should then be subjected to approval by council meetings. At a more administrative level (where decision making is being undertaken within the framework of established law, rules, protocols and procedures), members of the public in communication with local civil servants should be treated with the utmost respect (even when in error). "Service" (the council provides many services) has a meaning, and council	business interests), but should not give preference to the views of corporate or business interests over that of other stakeholders. Often the excuse is made that such interests represent potential much needed investments (often with a view to an undefined "future"), without further discussion. Scrutiny often shows that in reality, at best, lazy assumptions have been made about the efficacy of the assumption, which have led to long term sustainable investment being sacrificed to short term gain by corporate	innappropriate. Even under the present system good decisions are often made. However, many that are poor could have been avoided if the decision making process had been more open, amenable to ammendment and more honest. Lack of an honest response when proposals are challenged undermines confidence in the system of decision making, particularly when it can be seen that attempts have been made to deny or cover up ways of avoiding legitimate scrutiny. When that happens, the obvious question that is begged is why? Why would officials seek to shut down legitimate attempts to understand the reasoning behind particular decisions? How does that help to promote confidence in the system of decision making?	it is obvious to me after many years of dealings with the local authorities that decision making is frequently of an authoritarian nature. That would be worrying enough if the decisions made were usually good, but when they are demonstrably wrong (such as in the case of the decision to give planning permission to the	saught informally. This could be done more often in order to determine whether it is deemed important enough to seek more detailed professional advice. When ideas are being considered for the outsourcing of services, or the implementation of planning proposals, advice should also be sought to build a positive case for such ideas where they are being considered (as well as advice that might give grounds for not making such decisions). Positive, evidenced based, proposals that provide proof of how changes are to be made will deliver good outcomes, rather than vague and nebulous appeals to	such decisions where they are made.	
Transparent. Above all, it *must* be open and transparent.		To be honest, not much. Four years ago I genuinely believed that most councillors and council officers were doing the best they could in very difficult financial	No transparency. Small group of people (Cabinet) develop ideas and full council just rubber stamps them.	Q committee system with cross-party involvement.	Avoid the current system like the plague.	I just want them to say sorry for bad decisions in an honest and generous way.
t must be well informed and honest.		circumstances. I have seem council officers and councillors say things in public that were just not true, refuse to apologise and refuse to listen. I now have very little		Humility.		
t should involve more than 10 councillors listening to all good ideas and not just lismissing them because they are not from the party in power. Is should be as non-party political as possible and councillors should be able to vote for	It has seemed as though decisions have been made to keep a small group of Labour councillors happy.	respect for SCC.	Leaders seem unable to grasp the concept that they may have got decisions wrong. Decisions are III-informed and councillors take what they are told at face value.	Transparency Openness		
each decision on it merits, rather than being told how to vote.	The Cabinet refuse to be accountable for their decisions by failing to answer questions from the public at full council or cabinet meetings. Accountability is vital.			Honesty		
	Councillors.from other parties MUST be included in decision making.			Well informed councillors prepared to challenge officers and do their own research.		
It should be areased to anclosice when thinks have once wrong these are just a few points which I think are important - there is no short, simple answer 1. Outline what is being discussed 2. Collect the views and opinions from a wide variety of people where appropriate. This should include these with experience in the are as well as those likely to be affected by the outcome	I would like to see more councilors included in decision making I would like to see greater consultation, with a realistic timescale, to allow people the chance to have their say where appropriate		Decision making often appears to involve only a small number of council members. Public consultations do not always allow enough time for people to respond. The council does not appear very open to alternative suggestions.	Inclusion of more members of the council More co-operation between parties More open discussion	It is important to try to avoid coming to a discussion with preconceived ideas	
 3. Discussion should be open 4. Timescale should allow proper consultation where appropriate 5. When the decision has been made, the outcome, and reasons for the decision, should be available to the public 						
ccountability and accessibility tizens in every area of Sheffield having access to the decision making process via their cal councillor		I don't. I do not like the governance system in which the power lies with the few councillors who happen to be part of the cabinet with a "strong leader". In my view this makes democracy in Sheffield weak	The "strong leader" and cabinet system of governance. Our local councillors are elected to represent our views and yet it is only a few who make the decisions.	A more democratic system. Local councillors having access to the decision making process. Abolishing the cabinet and "strong leader" system. Other political parties being involved in the decision making process, after all we voted for them too.	cabinet and "strong leader" models	
It looks like whatever is the absolute opposite of what Sheffield City Council has been doing over the 35 years I have been living in Sheffield.	It is vital that they do it differently, because the way it's done now is clearly not working and it is very difficult to alter the course, even when it is clearly wrong (e.g. trees). Also concentration of power in the hands of a few enables corruption.		It's open to corruption. It's is probably corrupt. It is hard to excercise democratic influence. It has a strong track record of making REDACTED decisions.	Much more democracy and accountability.	Please make the system much more robust against corruption. E.G. involving a sufficient number of people that there is a good chance that at least one of them will be a whistle blower. Or even just involving a sufficient number of people that buying them all is a much more expensive prospect than it currently is.	The current system blows goats.
sood decision making is a process in which differing options and views are considered, xpert ad the sought if needed and good evidence is used to support options and views. rom this presented list of options , evidence and advice a final decision can be taken. To ensure that decisions are representative, decision makes should also be representative.	It is important that decisions are based on solid evidence and expert advice.	Scrutiny is a useful tool and all cabinet decisions are publically available.	The Labour only cabinet system can be too much of a closed house.	A more open system such as the proposed committee system where the committee is representative of the city. This may slow decision making, but ultimately should make it more robust.	A system needs to be in place that stops the total deadlocking of decisions for political reasons. Also it is important to maintain scrutiny and rotation of any committee.	
Problems to be discussed with everybody with possible solutions compared and discussed between councillors to allow for property scrutiny and expert knowledge regarding the problem/solution. Then, a joint decision made between all individuals involved that best suits everybody.	community is not necessarily best for the wider community. All of Sheffield's			Proper consultations BEFORE making a decision. All councillors to have a voice and a vote in decision making.	An autocratic, dictatorship approach to decision making by a small number of councillors.	Sheffield needs a Modern Committee System now! The current system is holding the city back!
lemocracy is guided by the people, the people elect councilors, ALL the councilors should se involved in the decisions to truly represent the opinions of ALL the people.	that every one can voice there opinions and be listened to. not having politicians simply doing what they think best.	not a lot, peoples views get lost between their councilors and the cabinet.	see no. 6.	that ALL councilors can be involved in major decisions.	one or a small group of councilors gaining to much power.	
he facts available. A democratic vote of ALL concerned efore the decision id implemented	this making the decision and then seeking views on the acceptability or otherwise of the	Not a lot	Please see points 4 and 5	Please see points 4 & 5	Please see points 4 & 5	How is the City Council going to change in view of the overwhelming desire of the population of Sheffield to see All elected councillors involved in desired enderging and the set of the s
Decision making that; - never decides then consults but does these things in the correct order. - is open and transparent about the process followed to arrive at the decision including using the information gained through debate and consultation to justify the decision.	Streets Ahead and tree felling, seeming inaction on the climate emergency, etc) has severely undermined the credibility of SCC and its ability to properly lead the city.	be entirely opaque with a front of 'consultations'. It is very interesting that I have not come across this survey in any communication from SCC despite signing up to the council bulletins. It is unlikely but possible that I have missed it - if so apologies. Alternatively maybe decision makers are only	have fed into a decision making process - frequently the matter has already been negotiated and committed to before 'consulting'. There is no openess nor genuine debate, either with experts, the public or other political parties.		Continuing with the current cabinet system.	decision making and voting
Listening to all relevant parties or groups. Being transparent with information from officers. Being transparent with decisions and how they are arrived at.	My Council is far from transparent. Decisions are made behind closed doors. We dont even have a youtube channel for filming of the various depts or committee meetings.	Zero.	Our Councillors and Officers seem to dismiss our electorate on too many occasions. Apologies are rare. The Tree Saga being a typical example. A Public	Transparency YouTube Channel for filming council and committee meetings	Yes, please ensure your use of PR are better understood.	
Informed, evidence-based, timely.	Transparency is key. Democratic, representative, transparent and scrutinised. Informed, evidence-based, timely.	That consultations happen. (Though see what I don't like about these.)	Review is needed urgently. Cabinet control excludes most councillors from decision-making, even those of the controlling party. Consultations often seem late in the process and the questions asked make it difficult to actually say what you think aboiut the subject without writing a lot in the "anything else to say" section. Because they're late in the process the questions are built to support a desired outcome.	Majority of councillors involved regularly in decision-making across the whole of the council services and responsibilities. Early consultation without preconception. If the responses suggest a certain course of action is not desired then a rethink is required. Open-minded benchmarking, use of research and evidence, identifying best practice. Strategy should be about the outcome, not the method of achieveing that. Councillors can be designated a lead for a service/area of responsibility but they should be leading decision-making by committee using the tenets of good decision making and accepting of the outcome. There should be clear limits to the length of time a decision takes before extra scrutiny looks into why something appears to be languishing.		Don't reinvent the structure or processes. Find the best aspects of the Modern Committee System used elsewhere and implement these. Plan to review and tweek annually for 3 years or so.
Evidence based, good practice, well debated. Open to compromise. Open to scrutiny.	Some holes in the evidence based decision making. No transparency. Refusal to compromise until after the lawyers join in (in the case of the tree protest). Confidential sensitivity should not be used when public money is being spent. It is our money as it were and contracts of any size should be open to public examination.			A wider decision making process that can feed back to council wards. Climate emergency led. Open to public scrutiny at all levels.		Good luck, getting the representation and flattened decision making balance right is hard.

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Good decision making represents all the people impacted by the decision, and takes into account their opinions and why they feel the way they do to reach a decision which is in the best interests of society as a whole and the long term interests of the population, without unfairly impacting any individuals or groups. Good decision making requires consultation and engagement, with compromise required on all sides.	to influence. Information required to make decisions should where possible be in the public domain, and if there are legitimate reasons why this is not possible it MUST be available to all elected representatives. Decisions, plans and resulting contracts and agreements must also be public domain in order to demonstrate that those elected to the statements must also be public domain in order to demonstrate that those elected to the statement of the statemen	excludes the voices of large numbers of citizens who may well not even be aware that their elected representative is powerless and that their voice will be unheard, and increases risk of disengagement and disenfranchisement. Local politics needs to build and maintain grass roots connectivity so those who are elected to serve do	effectively communicated to the citizens of the city. Information is deliberately withheld and misinformation spread, taking full advantage of the small number of	I would like to see much better positive and transparent collaboration with citizens, experts and interest groups to ensure the best decisions can be made to the benefit of everyone, and ensure the council serves the city, and not the other way around. Transparency, openness and honesty are absolutely key, and nothing should happen behind closed doors. The public should be invited to observe, to participate, and to feed back on all council actions. I would like to see an outward looking council, reaching out to neighbouring authorities	new decision making structure, and it needs to be free of the constraints of party politics for the council is here to serve the city - this is especially true of national policies which may be in conflict of the requirements of Sheffield; our council must stand up for Sheffield and if that means holding a national party to account then that will be in	I am happy to see this finally happening and believe this can be an opportunity for Sheffield to shine and demonstrate what we can achieve. We are so much more than a few voices behind closed doors, we need to take advantage of that.
				and working with them to improve the region, rather than taking an isolationist and competitive approach to regional politics.	the interests of the country as a whole. Community and interest group involvement must not allow people to buy influence, and therefore needs to have an ability to adjust for social and financial capital in involving stakeholders.	
 Ones that are clear and have a practical benefit to the community. In the case of long term or controversial decisions, the community should be invited to make comment. Boecisions should be made in the interests of the community, not the Council itself 	 Clear and open sharing of any proposals with representatives of the community before decisions are made. The result of those decisions made public. 	Not very favourable. If we hear about decisions, it is usually after they have been made. There should be some way of seeing what's being proposed.		 Councillors should know about what is being discussed in committee. Where a particular community will be affected, that same community should be invited to make representation. All proposals should be communicated to the public, not just the ones that the Council wants. 	Committee members should be appointed because of their knowledge or particular interest in the subject under debate and not a political appointment.	I am very disappointed and alarmed about the cancelling of the revue of Conservation areas and the recent decision to reduce the number of planning officers. It is important that with all the new development in the city that unsuitable buildings are allowed to be erected and buildings of architectural and historical value be demoished. I want Sheffield city centre to have an individual style and not be like any high street anywhere in the country.
A good decision is subjective. However, from the perspective of the council, decisions should be made by every councillor, otherwise there is no point electing them. Rationale should be explained and everything should be in the public domain - we pay the taxes that pay for the council and its services. Good decisions are based on evidence and should take into account all views and opinions before a decision is reached. No decision should be rushed.		Not much. Of note are the woeful decisions made by SCC with regard to Sheffield street trees. The decision to cut down so many healthy trees, the decision to involve the police, the decision to employ bouncers, the decision to take street tree protesters to court. The decision not to make councillors accountable for their actions.	The decision making process should be transparent, there should be the opportunity for individuals to comment, all councillors should be empowered to vote not just a select few.	All councillors empowered not just the inner circle.	Party politics and secrecy. An unwillingness to accept when a wrong decision has been made and reconsider. Entrenched positions.	
It makes things happen. It makes things work and generally people are satisfied with the outcome.		There seems to be very little to like, which is why this consultation is taking place.	It is totally undemocratic that 10 out of 84 councillors of one party makes the decisions. Many elected councillors have no real powers of representation.	Openness, transparency, accountability, truthfulness. With budget cuts from Central Government it is all the more important that cross - party politicians should pull together. Act upon experts reports following consultations, don't just pay them lip service.	Undemocratic systems of the past.	
Where each member of the council has had an equal opportunity and power to participate in decisions made. All councillors would have equal access to pertinent information in order to make a considered choice.	That decisions are made within a framework that is truly democratic and not limited to a cabinet of ten members. Please see previous response.		Cabinet government by ten people which is not representative enough .	I have read the documents recently produced by 'its Our City' and urge SCC to implement the four practical sections itemised in their 'Some Principles for a Modern Committee System' In short, transparency and real consultation are crucial. It is clearly important to have expertise in designing the new system. If this isn't available in house it should be brought in from the outset. The clock is ticking.	Cabinet government which disallows the meaningful participation of all councillors. This also prevents the timely, evidence- based scrutiny of proposals by representatives.	
For the common good Extensive and inclusive discussion Taking into "Jobunt the views of everyone concerned Everyone get a vote Majority Otte All actio ("Den transparent and fair and honourable	The decisions made by SCC should be made by ALL 84 Councillors who represent aALL the citizens of Sheffield	Nothing	It is leader led which is undemocratic. It is a farce to have decisions made by 10 "senior" councillors, when there are 84 representing all the wards, and all the people. It is not remotely possible that the senior 10 have the expertise or experience to hold such power.	A modern Committee system, so that all elected Councillors who represent all the citizens of Sheffield have their voices and opinions taken into account. Open and fair consultations where every Councillor plays a part.	Meaningless and time wasting debate. Decisions made behind closed doors Ignorant and unacceptable behaviour	The cloth cap and clogs approach is stifling the city and treating us all with contempt. We are all important and the voices of the counciliors who represent us should be heard and contribute to the decision making.
	SCC should make its decisions in a genuinely democratic manner with all elected counsellors having an equal vote.	It's completely unfair, un democratic and denies a voice to the great majority of the City's populace.	As previous.	I would like all meaningful votes to be put to the full council.	Cronyism, nepotism, bullying & intimidation.	
Transpared emocratic, fair in line with accepted policies and procedures.	live in Sheffield and pay council rates so I am directly using the services provided by the council. I feel that my local councillors should have equal representation in SCC.		I don't like that only 10 councillors make the majority of decisions.	Transparency in decision making. Input from all councillors, if a councillor chooses not to be present or abstain from voting (or there is a conflict of interest etc) then at least they have had the opportunity to be consulted and represent their constituents in their ward.	Making it overly complicated! Good luck!! Ensuring good governance is key.	I don't envy some of the tasks that the council have to do, but we have a great city and transparency of process will just make that even more apparent.
transparent, inclusive, and reasoned. Fact based and not ideology driven, underpinned by strategic values.	Inclusive of all councillors views and representation of districts	None The current cabinet arrangement is a disaster. It is undemocratic and creates a culture where the incumbent cabinet believe they are unassailable and answer to only themselves	The follow only the cabinet—it is undemocratic	Fair eovernance system. Include a range of councillors views so all wards are represented	yes, the restriction of power to the few	
	Decisions should be inclusive and should made considering the interests of all Sheffield residents from across the city by involving all their representatives. I very much want to see a modern committee system employed and an end to the "Leader and Cabinet" model which I believe is fundamentally un-democratic can lead to decisions made for party-political ends rather than for the greater benefit of Sheffield residents.	Nothing.	made by a small cabinet are less likely to be taken considering the interests of all	All elected councillors having real powers of representation. Equality of representation for all Sheffield residents. A cross-party system that does away with tribal politics. Use of independent experts and consultation where the council does not have the necessary expertise to make a decision.		I would like to see the current leader and cabinet properly and genuinely embracing the need for change according to the will and interests of Sheffield residents and not trying to come up with a fudge to suit their party political ends.
Transparency and accountability	That my local councillors are active and influential in the decision-making process	Not much	Decision-making for issues affecting the whole city is undertaken by a few councillors only, i.e leader and cabinet system.	Greater involvement of all councillors in decision-making, and decisions affecting specific areas and services to be taken by councillors local to those areas or from areas specifically linked to the issues / services being considered.	The current system.	If decision-making was more transparent and accountable and locally based then people would feel as though they have a greater influence on outcomes. At the moment its definitely a 'them and us' situation with decisions handed down from on high.
Open, honest and collaborative! Decisions based on the needs and wants of the people. Their collective voices must be the key focus of a council. Each ward should have equal representation. Ultimately, decisions should be made after a community enquires, reasons and reflects using caring, collaborative, creative and critical thinking skills.	Decisions should not just be financially led. There are many simple and effective community led solutions so decisions should involve them instead of being made for them. People will be far more incentivised if they feel they have a part to play in how their city breathes, develops and grows.	N/A	We generally don't hear about the issues under discussion and have, seemingly, very little influence on any decisions made. I don't feel that I, or anyone else, has a voice in how our city exists.	Increased participation and impact Cultural change hand-in-hand with new systems and processes Fair and meaningful representation Setting clear standards and improvements	Not making the system clear to all. If people don't know how something operates, they won't trust it. Increased costs should be avoidable if community support is gained. Most important of all is not to rehash the cabinet model by another name!	Treally hope that SCC's national reputation will be regained by the implementation of a fully considered and fully democratic Modern Committee style model.
Involves discussion with a broad range of people, the voicing of different opinions and listening to views from different perspectives. Then the decision should be taken in a	It needs to be less centralised, less secretive and more open to discussion within a broad range of views before taking any decision.	Not a lot.		Involve a much larger number of councillors in key decisions, preferably all of them.	Centralisation. The views of one person or a	The current centralised, secretive, unaccountable, elitist model of running the council has to go. The
decentralised manner involving a broad group of people.			Council leader won't listen to anyone who disagrees.	More transparency and publicity about decisions and decision making process.	Secrecy and obfuscation about how and why	council are there to serve the people of Sheffield, not the other way round.
			Ordinary people often kept in the dark about council decisions and decision making process. Little opportunity for meaningful engagement with the decision making process by ordinary people.	Greater opportunities for meaningful engagement with the decision making process for ordinary citizens, with opportunities to object to council decisions.	council decisions are made. Ordinary citizens given no opportunity for meaningful engagement and objection to council decisions.	

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Making decisions based on ALL the data relevant to the situation Not taking dictatorial positions Injustice is a terrible failure of responsibility - decisions always have to be seen as being just and fair by those on the receiving end Decisions made by officers can be biased in favour of their perceived priorities and may result in wrong solutions. Councillors must always be on guard against this.	Injustice and unfairness seem to have been a continual error state of Sheffield Council in recent years. Examples are the tree felling debade - the dictatorial decision to persist with felling so many trees was always going to be wrong in the eyes of the public and would have to be modified. It should have been changed long before reaching national prominence. Whoever advised that line had to be pursued should no longer be working at the Council. Similarly the Glossop Road bus-gate changes in 2017 were dictated by officers who were basing their dictatorial stance on flawed logic. Their decisions have done massive damage to the economy of the area and the scheme they introduced makes no sense on any reasonable measurement - public transport benefit, economic impact, damage to local businesses or personal inconvenience to residents. But the officers, backed up by Councillors, have stuck relentlessly to their flawed scheme. Such injustice.	Individually the Councillors seem decent people; collectively they make and stick to flawed decisions where the interests of their citizens are concerned. One has to question the quality of the people advising them.	See my comments above. Plus- Too dictatorial. Too little consideration of the implications of dictatorial decisions. Failure to consult properly on decisions affecting residents. Failure to reconsider decisions when people shout "Help!" Failure to act when people ask for something to improve the situation - for example - why has it taken more than 4 years to consider a Cumulative Impact Policy for West street to control the number of off-licences in the area? And still there is no movement on something that other Councils put into effect years ago. It's needed, it's not difficult, but SCC can't do it - WHY?	Less of a dictatorship - wherever it is coming from - officers or councillors. It is understandable that the Council has faced cruel and massive cuts in government support which must impact on decision making, but that calls for more reasonable consultation and listening to people to get the best solutions. Not circling the wagons and shooting out at the locals when they tell you a mistake has been made. We don't take pleasure from criticising the Council - bit we cannot accept the injustice of some of its actions.	done this way" and "It cannot be done at all for (spurious reasons)". You must avoid those people who tell you there are no alternatives - much of this is done to simplify their own workload or to avoid being criticised. You need a fair and independent review	The redevelopment of the City Centre is a commendable achievement but the Sevenstone development should have been kicked out a long time before it was. Poor decision making or locked into a poor agreement? 22,000 residents in the City Centre are being treated badly - is it because they vote for non-Labour councillors or is it big businesses pulling the strings? Or is it highway engineers making life difficult with no consideration for locals? There is limited parking for residents, no electric charging points for cars of the future, high air pollution, some areas have been cut off for local access. There's no coordination between Licensing and Planning.
Absence of bias, absence of preconception, detailed knowledge of all available facts and data, plurality of views considered and validated, thorough understanding of costs/benefits of potential solutions.	Fairness, lack of bias, perspicacity, openess, auditability	Little	Lack of leadership, bias, too narrow, undemocratic.	More transparency, more democracy, greater scrutiny , better post implementation reviews.	Decisions based on preconception, lack of accountability measures, undemocratic structure.	
Clear, transparent fully informed by the relevant facts. Decision take account of what will be of benefit to the greatest number of people and not influenced by party politics or individual prejudices. Reasons influencing the final decision should be clear and accessible to all those affected by it.	representatives have the opportunity to have a meaningful role in important decisions	chance to properly represent their interests when key decisions are being made.	small number of councillors. So few of us are aware of this system and it feels as though we, the citizens of Sheffield, are being treated with contempt by the ruling	Greater representation and a meaningful role for all elected councillors. More cross- party working and greater co-operation across across all groups. Always putting the interests of the city first, over and above party politics. Transparency and fairness in decision-making through a more modern, committee-style system.	Anything that resembles the 'strong leader' system. Anything that does not properly represent all areas of the city.	The 'It's Our City' campaign did an amazing job of exposing the reasons behind the current poor decision-making by SCC and the unrepresentative way in which it currently operates. The campaign has put forward a number of principles that should inform a new system. I fully support and endorse those principles.
A decision made after real consultation with all parties involved	The views of all council members should be considered	Not enough council members contribute	It doesn't consider everyone's views. 'Consultations' happen but the decision has already been made	Something which would take all councillors' views into account	Keeping to the same old ways	It's a pity that it appears we are ruled as a one-party state
Free and transparent discussion of the issue with the involvement of experts if necessary, for advice and evidence based information. Decisions should be voted on by all councillors who have an equal say. This should make the whole decision making process more transparent and accountable, it will mean that decisions are made which are more valid and therefore more likely to be cost effective.	because they have not involved any consultation. Unelected and unaccountable individuals, often with limited experience, attempt to put the decisions into practice. Often there is opposition and delay, costs rise and yet another initiative failsto the	I have neither seen nor felt the positive impact of any decisions in recent years. Our council appears to have stood still. I realise the impact of government cuts has badly affected finances but stagnation is not an option for a city with aspirations.	At the moment some very hardworking local councillors have no say in the decision mkaing process until the last minute. The Strong Leader system excludes	More open government, involving councillors of all wards and all parties. More positive attitudes, rather than confrontational party politicswe have enough of that nationally! Less decision making by unelected, unaccountable people. All councillors having an equal right to vote and to discuss so that all wards and all parties are represented. Better use of evidence based ideas. Clear published governance standards. A more transparent and open decision making process.		Juc
It will depend on the decision to be made, but generally Non-partial operation is provided in an easy to understand and access way. There is a way for people to have their opinion listened to. Expert information is provided where necessary, and may be given more weight dependition the nature of the decision. Opportune provided for scrutiny of possible outcomes before a decision is made. The decision is made by reaching a consensus by a group of people, but who have considered outer opinions and advice.	Decisions should be open to scrutiny. Information for the basis of a decision should be publicly accessible. Representation should be made from Councillors across the city, reflecting opinions in their ward. Decisions are not just made by a handful of people. Expert advice from Officers should be part of the process.	Not much - it doesn't feel transparent or open. It doesn't feel like decisions are necessarily made for the benefit of the citizens of Sheffield.	See previous comment.	A broader range of people should be involved in the process - ie 'back benchers'. A more open/transparent way for residents to feed into decision making via their local councillor - and that these ClIrs are given proper opportunity to participate in decision making of the council.	A 'Strong Leader'. It's not good to have too much power in the hands of one individual.	
Having an prize of people sharing decision making, using cross- party members - to achieve a decision from which action is taken within a given time-scale.	consultation - & the need to actively listen and be accountable for decisions made		Too few people share the power and are not listening to those who not always share their specific views.	Delegation to appropriate committees who would be accountable for specific schemes and share information and be accountable for decisions.	individuals wanting self-power	Cross party involvement openness and transparency at all levels of decision making. forward planning - fairly for all individuals in Sheffield
Inclusive, evidence based, impartial decisions made with wide involvement and taking appropriate advice from those with expert and extensive knowledge. Proper declarations of interest from those involved. No political interference in the process, do what is in the best interests of the electorate.	That it is open, includes all elected members and takes notice of public needs and expectations.	Nothing	Too much secrecy, too much decided behind closed doors by a small self selected group of councillors. Too often public consultation is just a token effort with views being ignored if they don't accord with the views of the ruling group. What should be public discussions get classed as 'closed' for spurious reasons including the catch-all 'commercial confidentiality'. Seem to forget they are public servants and that the monies they are dealing are nublic monies from taxation.	Inclusive, open meetings involving cross party representation and much more public scrutiny of the process and the decisions.	Retreating to tribal party politics. Exclusion of the public. Not listening to people with relevant knowledge and experience.	It is shameful that the council had to be forced into this by the weight of public opinion and is an indictment of the inward looking Labour Group who cling onto the highly centralised decision making process at the expense of local democracy.
Collaborative and transparent	That decisions reflect the views of the breadth of the community that it serves. That decision making is transparent That relevant expertise is sought where appropriate and consideration of that expertise apparent in any final decision documents	I currently do not agree with the way that a small group of councillors can make decisions. I also think that the breadth of councillors does not represent the community as a whole and more should be done to ensure this.	Lack of transprency Lack of a voice for councillors in all parts of the city	a system that gives fair and meaningful representation to people across the city to make systems that support collaborative (cross party) working an accepted practice and that efforts are made to focus on benefits to Sheffield rather than on following party based allegiances.	repeating the current system	
It does not look like the existing system in Sheffield. It looks like a fully democratic system where there is no Executive clique in place, where ALL Councillor's views are heard and taken note of. Decisions are taken on behalf of the residents of Sheffield, not on behalf of the ruling political party - party politics should not enter into the equation.	is a prime example here of residents views being ignored because they didn't fit with the			That effort is made to make council politics more relevant for people in the city Moe openness, and clearer explanations behind the decisions. Also, an explanation of the reasons behind decisions if officers recommendations have not been followed.	Too much power in the hands of a few	This consultation should have taken place a long time ago, and shouldn't have had to be forced on the Council by petition. That looks like Council wanting to hold on to power at all costs.
Every elected councilor must have the opportunity to review, debate and vote on all major policy change decisions.	r Decisions should always benefit the citizens of Sheffield.	I do not like the way decisions are made currently as only a handful of councilors are making them.	It seems only a select few of councilors are making all the important decisions.	All elected councilors must have a full role in decision making.	Political dogma.	
Research scrutinised	It is currently not representing all relevant groups and it seems that some unelected members are able to influence decisions.	Some of the town hall meeting are now live streamed.	decisions. So, certain interested groups opinions are not even taken into account.	Research and opinions collected from all relevant groups assimilated into a proposal. Stakeholders, all councillors and all parties able to have a proportional vote. The people in which the proposal has the least impact should run the decision making process. All of this should be made public.	Bias!	
Good decision making needs to be timely, logical, explicable, consensual and challengeable.	At a council level decision making needs to be focussed clearly on doing the best for the city in a way that reflects the views of all its citizens. It needs to be clear and transparent what is going on and why certain decisions are made. It needs to be possible to debate and challenge decisions in a meaningful manner. It needs to give a voice to all elected councillors else it simply diminishes the overall credibility of the council.	The concentration of power in a small group should facilitate faster and more focussed decision making.	The concentration of power in a small group disenfranchises other councillors and places too much power in the hands of too few people. It enables a more parochial and party political approach to the administration of the city and places more emphasis on a zero sum game. It does not make base use of the range of skills and expertise available.	More transparency, greater emphasis on building concensus, less concentration of power in a few hands, a more technocratic and less party political approach.		I would rather the council recognised the dissatisfaction with its current operating model and moved to change its processes now and avoid the cost of an expensive local referendum.
It is considered and informed, taking into account views and evidence from all interested parties. Decisions can be changed in light of new information/evidence. Decision makers should be able to be held to account. Cost shouldn't be overarching consideration, quality should be an essential consideration. Transparency and openness are crucial. Consensus decision making is preferable.	Openness and transparency Cross party working e Take account of all the information and evidence from interested parties. My councillors opinion should be as valid as anyone else's.	Not a lot. I like the fact that the Council did eventually change it's decision about the street trees and actually paid heed to it's own tree advice team.	Decision making does not appear to be open and transparent. Decisions don't seem to be based on all the relevant, available information/evidence. Sheffield's amazing history and historic legacy does not seem to be particularly valued or promoted by the council. All of the elected representatives do not appear to have equality of representation.	Openness and transparency. Equality of representation for all councillors. Cross party working. Concensus decision making. All available information/evidence being used when making decisions.	Secrecy. Non transparent decision making. Non consensus decision making. Marginalising councillors.	
Decisions made for the complete community that has a lasting positive effect. It is not a hastily taken one that completes a tick box to say that a action has been taken.	That the decisions are made in an ethical, truthful and holistic manner. Not so that political points are made and votes are brought just prior to an election.	I fell that my views are not considered at all so there is nothing good about Sheffield councils decision making.		I would like to see an open and transparent way to make a decision that is open to scrutiny. I would like to see all voted officials making the decisions.		Sheffield council has shown itself to be dysfunctional and many key decisions have not been correct.

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
It is open and transparent, provides chance for people to consider the implications before a decision is made. It should allow communities to participate in decision making particularly decisions that directly affect their wards like developments and the sale of heritage assets. Each councillors should have a meaningful voice and the power to represent their community. Councillors should not be forced to vote a particular way and should work together to make the best decisions for the city.	on spin. Where possible decisions should not be made by unelected, unaccountable officers. Need more community involvement so that Sheffielders can learn about new projects alongside councillors and be actively involved in finding solutions.		Its behind closed doors. When there are consultations they are only short and not widely advertised and devisive. Sheffield residents and opposition councillors are only involved sometimes and when the decision is pretty much made. So called scrutiny committees are usually chaired by the ruling group - (ruling group marking their own homework). Sometimes new, creative initiatives are stifled in favour of national and international companies but we need to support our local creative communities.	Cross party committees for all major decisions eg transport, education etc and a voice for communities to inform those committees. Membership of committees based on vote share not seats. Active monitoring to ensure that Nolan principles are being upheld. Welcomes input from outside organisations eg local businesses and experts. Governance system that constantly reviews what works and what doesn't and seeks to improve the structures. Systems that support full and open debate of issues at an early stage and that welcomes	Avoid too much power being concentrated on individuals. Tribalism. Deliberately misleading Sheffield residents. Denigrating people that ask questions. Filibustering.	All Sheffield people deserve a voice and deserve the best decisions for our city. The current culture in Sheffield City Council is not healthy or conducive to quality decisions that reflect the needs of stakeholders.
When the democratic process has been followed - decisions not being made by a privileged few	ALL elected councillors should have a hands on role in deciding policy - NOT a "selected" few	Nothing - it shuns the democratic process completely.	That the councillors elected by the majority of voters in the city are being ignored in the decision making processors and therefore my view isn't being taken into account.	input from all stakeholders. ALL councillors being involved in it.	Disregarding the view of the majority of councillors	
Good decision making, in the context of local government, should be open, transparent and responsive to the interests and concerns of council tax papers and other residents of the city. It should not be the preserve of a clique of councillors, political party ideologues		Nothing. It is exclusive, badly informed, unresponsive to critique and seems to depend upon a culture of ignorance and bullying in which alternative views are either not listened to or are howled down by a small clique who seem to be utterly	Almost everything. The arrogance of councillors (which may or may not reflect a similar degree of arrogance on the part of senior managers within the council	 A more open and responsive system in which all views, not just those of a small segment of the Labour Party, are fully and openly considered with space for real debate and discussion. This should involve a committee system in which all political parties and 		I think I have made clear my dissatisfaction with the present system and the outcomes that it generates, specifically in relation to the natural and historic
or those with a financial or commercial interest in specific projects. Public consultations, most notably those connected with the planning system, should take place in advance of decisions being taken, not afterwards (as happens at present) and the results of such public consultations should be not be automatically sub-ordinated to the	(including, but not only, my own) will be heard and properly considered before decisions are taken - whether these are at the small scale (such as the use of potentially hazardous herbicides in my street) or large scale (such as the redevelopment of the city centre).	contemptuous of everyone outside their own narrow circle.	ignorance and officious paternalism which is wholly unsuitable to the 21st century. Far too much power is vested in too few people and the malign influence of Capital, un-mediated by anything approaching real democracy, seems to be the final determinant of how the city is maintained and transformed.		to regard Sheffield as their own feudal fiefdom and the citizens as ignorant peasants who must be cajoled with lies and	environment of the city but also more generally. The current decision-making processes have led to what see as wholly undesirable outcomes which will blight the lives of the people of Sheffield for generations to the provide the second
interests of developers and financiers, no matter how close their relationships with individual councillors. Council and Committee meetings should be open to the public and to journalists (including those from new media, not just the local newspapers) and councillors should be weared developed by address while reasons of the total and the public of the back on a claims.			buildings which were both distinctive and of high quality into an inhuman and alienating sub-modernist nightmare cannot now be undone and will stand as a	 An end to the bullying culture advocated and enacted by a number of councillors, notably several of those within the ruling clique. An end to the florent abuse of the element accurations by sourcellors. 	who, if they do not submit, may be abused, insulted and eventually hauled before the courts on trumped up charges designed to intimidate others.	come. Much of this seems to stem from the fact that power is currently concentrated in the hands of a small clique of councillors whose views are not subject to effective challenge and who occupy loading acquiring factures as and without any
expected (and required) to address public concerns directly and not to fall back on claims of privilege or arcane bureaucratic rules and regulations designed to obscure and confuse the public. Questions and points 'from the floor' should be listened to and not ignored and the speakers should be treated with decency and respect, not shut down as if they were an irrelevant irritant or a mere impediment to the passing of motions already				 3) An end to the flagrant abuse of the planning regulations by councillors. 4) The involvement of properly qualified specialists and experts as and when necessary in situations where matters are clearly outside the competence of councillors and local staff. 	 2) The excessive influence of financiers and developers in planning matters. 3) Public-private partnerships in which the 	leading positions for years on end without any mechanism for change. This inevitably leads to the perpetuation of particular narrow views on specific subjects and the compounding of errors, year after year. I would prefer to see a committee system in
stitched up behind closed doors. In order to ensure that decisions taken meet the needs of the city and its citizens, council officers (i.e. individuals employed by the council as distinct from elected councillors) should be free to speak their minds on issues of concern within their area of competence.	the development industry and a small, favoured, segment of the architecture profession dislike the architecture of the late Victorian and Edwardian periods. Nor do I want to see our streets devoid of trees and green areas simply to suit the convenience of a multi- national corporation which sees an opportunity for profit by exploiting a system which		economic, environmental and social change. Instead, the current narrow and exclusive decision-making process has given us a poor quality 'clone-town' environment more suited to the 1960s than to the 21st century.	 5) Proper consideration of the natural and historic environments as components of a modern, vibrant city. 6) An end the the automatic assumption that whatever developers and financiers 	interests of the citizens of Sheffield are subordinated to those of the private sector and multi-national corporations. 4) The abuse of the planning regulations by	which the chair-ship and membership of committees changed regularly (maybe every three years) and which encouraged input and participation from those with expert knowledge, from non-political civic
Whistle-blowers should be encouraged to speak out when councillors are exceeding their competence or authority and should be protected from any vindictive sanctions that might be taken against them or their colleagues. Councillors should make the effort to become informed on contentious issues and should be willing to take informed advice on issues outside their own areas of knowledge and	work in the city. The decision-making system structures what happens to the city and as such should be much more open and responsive to alternatives than is the case at			 b) An end the the automatic assumption that whatever developers and financiers demand must be given priority over all other considerations. 7) The active inclusion of civic groups in discussions pertaining to the structure and infrastructure of the city. 	councillors and the favouring of powerful	groups and others whose views might be different from those of councillors. I would like to see an end to the current confrontational and highly politicised system in which the ruling clique feel free to bully, shout down and abuse anyone who disagrees with
Taking on board views from all councillors and the public, taking time to discuss without time pressure, all discussion and information available to everyone, with a consensus in mind.	Currently they don't represent the people of Sheffield. Decisions are made behind closed doors. Dishonesty and bullying are rife.	Nothing.	See above.	Informed from the roots up All councillors involved Aiming for consensus All info available to all citizens	Closed doors Redactions Small committees Bullying	Don't use the police as your private security firm to protect poor decisions. Get rid of Amey.
Fairly considered and voted on by all councillors All councillors must be involved and all must have an equal say in any decision.	It needs to be done by democratic will not just selection of 10 people. As above. All councillors must be involved and all must have an equal say in any decision.	? Nothing.	? Very few councillors have any real input.	Giving all 80 odd representatives a voice for the people who they represent. As above again. All councillors must be involved and all must have an equal say in any decision.	Lving ? Not sure.	No thank you.
Engaged with the people it affects, open to influence (not pre-determined), clearly using proper events, clearly understood as process and product by this it affects.	That is clear how to influence decisions, that decisions are not made behind closed doors, that there is a welcoming stance to engagement with those affected by decisions and no defensiveness, and that decisions are clearly based on evidence.	To be honest very little, the only good factor would be that we have an excellent local councillor, but we are told she feels she has very little influence on decisions.		An optimized of the system, with cross-party cooperation encouraged and a genuine role for all councillors. A move from consultation after the event to discussion and engagement before (for major issues), and genuine options presented once things become clearer. Independent experts consulted and used and their information made available. The possibility of councillors not voting on bloc for the party without automatic punishment The values headlines would be: equality and inclusion across the city and transparency and real consultation. Very importantly the new system should also be designed on the basis of real expertise,	Being afraid of debate	
Decisions need to be open, transparent and considered i.e. 1. We know what's being decided before decision are made 2. They need to be made based on facts 3. There needs to be proper opportunity for alternative views ideas to be considered 4. they need to be the right decisions 5. When mistakes are made, we should be able to admit 'we got this wrong', learn from it and move on.	They should put the needs of the people city first There should be an effective voice for the people of the city in those decisions	Nothing.	little power to challenge them Too much power sits with too few people.	houibit in ac needed and done in ways that reflect the values above Effective cross party working. Committees rather than all powerful cabinet councillors A voice for the people and communities Proper scrutiny It has to encourage good 'Nolan principle' behaviour. A system that has built in governance review to allow it to adapt as we learn	Too much power in the hands of a few. tribalism ability to stall decisions	From a high level i would like to see 1. Committees to replace cabinet members, e.g. a transport, health, education. committees. 2. each committee should be made up of councillors from all parties 3. for each committee there should also be a comunity forum consisting of members of the public, comunity organisations and local companies with specific interest in that area e.g. a Transport forum could include representatives from local taxi driver, bus company, cycling groups etc. These would bring local knowledge and expert opinion on decisions and would report to the main committee.
Representation from the full range of perspectives with a commitment to exploring all options to achieve consensus. Not biased along rigid party lines but sensitive to the needs of the electorate, the environment and the future.	our city and its residents. Open debate and the considerations of all views and solutions, harnessing the input from any expert or interest group as appropriate is of the utmost importance. This is what democracy looks like.	Not a lot. It feels as though the electorate is not consulted and local councillors are largely excluded from the decision making process. Diversity of opinion, as expressed in the election of councillors from a range of parties, is not reflected in the make up of the 'cabinet' style of decision making. The electorate feels disenfranchised so is less likely to vote leading to a sham democratic engagement. A dangerous way for us to go - that often ends with abuse of power.	Lack of full engagement of all councillors and local interest groups. Not open enoughto scrutiny and appears resistant to any other views.	A return to committees with a commitment to engage with local communities and explore more inovatitve solutions.	Power to make decisions in the hands of very few people.	Local government is a microcosm of national government and the last few years have demonstrated that the complex political situation that we are living through needs everyone to engage in a more constructive way to make the difficult decisions which we face. We need reliable information to enable informed debate and be brave and innovative in our responses. Our community is a resource to be used and will help if they are given a proper voice.
Collecting ideas from various sources for areas of improvement. Discuss the quality/costs from reliable sources. Suggestions to go through nominated cabinet for approval. Develop / Implement the changes.	That Sheffield City Council makes the decisions based on the best possible quality / cost for those who require the services.	That Sheffield City Council are making the decisions based on the best possible quality / cost for those who require the services.	They do not always have the best teams to arrange and implement the services that require development.	To be a structure to clearly identify the relevant teams to go to research the area for development.	It would need to be a noticeable improvement to the current decision-making structure.	
Gain feedback from various sources. When ALL input from ALL interested parties is considered and, in many cases, makes a difference. I've been in a council committee meeting where it was blatantly clear that only one outcome was possible and that it was whatever Coun REDACTED said it was going to be. Objections were ignored and Coun. REDACTED dismissed alternatives and problems with well X has that", when it was clear that the circumstances were not the same.	I'd just like to be able to believe that decisions aren't just those of the council's officers, nodded through by a bunch of donkeys with red rosettes.		Just the feeling that there are half a dozen people making Sheffield in their manner and according to their beliefs, while the rest of us pay for it.		Yes, duplicating the current system.	

This is not democracy. It's not even close. It's not even as democratic as Russia and America, which are virtual dictatorships.

I think Sheffield can do better.

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
It should be based on real consultation with the public, not the sort that the Council currently undertakes. Decision-making should be open and deliberative. Councillors should have full access to relevant information. Councillors should not be 'whipped' to vote with the leadership.	I am opposed to the current 'strong leadership' model in the Council, where all important decisions are made by a small number of individuals and most councillors have no vice. All councillors should have an equal voice and an equal chance to represent their wards. They should not be compelled to support the Council leadership: there should be no 'whipping', which is undemocratic. All councillors should have access to relevant documents-for example the 'Street's Ahead' contract, which was kept secret both from the public and from most of the Council.		Consultations with the public are not taken seriously. They are only used to justify decisions already taken. Councillors are compelled to vote as their leaders instruct them, and are not given full information.	Ban whipping.		
 The following items are essential for good decision making. The governance model of the council should be based on the Committee System including a scrutiny committee. Stakeholders, experts & community voices must be integral to committee governance, not separate. Eg open community sub-committees, working groups which include external members, Citizen Assemblies. All councillors should have a meaningful voice and equal power to represent thir community. Grammittees should be chaired by councillors not from the majority council. Ban party whipping that overrides a councillor's duty to represent thir community. A set of standards for modern committee governance with a commitment to continuing evaluation and public debate about the way the council votes. An integration of an annual Citizens Assembly into a continuing public evaluation process. Local councillors should have access to all information especially relating to ward based decisions involving development, sales of assets etc 	companies given the legal right to be able to make operational decisions that cannot be overturned, even when independant expert advice shows them to be ill-judged. eg Tree felling & replacement program. 2. Complaints are referred to outsourced companies and not investigated directly by the council. Changes that need to be made :- 1. Every step of the decision making process should be available to the citizens at all times. 2. There needs to be community and stakeholder representation in the deciaion making	Absolutely nothing.	 The 'Strong Leader' model means 10 out of 84 councillors, of one party, make the majority of decisions behind closed doors. This has led to an autocratic system with no checks and balances in the decision making process. This in turn has led to outsourced companies given the legal right to be able to make operational decisions that cannot be overturned, even when independant expert advice shows them to be ill-judged. eg Tree felling & replacement program. Complaints are referred to outsourced companies and not investigated directly by the council. It is undemocatic and opaque to the the people who are paying the bills. 	 Stakeholders, experts & community voices must be integral to committee governance, not separate. Eg open community sub-committees, working groups which include external members, Citizen Assemblies. All councillors should have a meaningful voice and equal power to represent thir 	Everything in the current model.	The addition of Humility to the 7 Nolan Principles. Holders of Public Office should have the ability to accept that they might be wrong. 1. Selflessness 2. Integrity 3. Objectivity 4. Accountability 5. Openness 6. Honesty 7. Leadership Holders of public office should exhibit these principles in their own behaviour. They should actively promote and robustly support the principles and be willing to challenge poor behaviour wherever it occurs
Good decision making would involve councillors making sure they are cognisant of all evidence, facts and opinions as far as possible, that they consult with both experts and people on the ground and work across political party divisions.	See above	I'm pleased that the Planning Committee at least still exists	Too much power in Leader and Cabinet, not enough in many Councillors representing wards. It's hard for ordinary people to get involved and be properly heard.	Better surveys - more user friendly. Council website - especially ha I gotta say on planning proposals - is really hard. Also a committee system so that all councillors can be involved in decision making and local people can be heard. This also means that individual councillors can get involved in areas that they are particularly experienced or intervented in the second sec	Making meeting times difficult for councillor with family responsibilities.	
Taking a long term non - political view of what is best for the city.	Far sightedness and imagination.	I don't know how decisions are made	I understand there is a small group of councillors who make important decisions.	interested in. Far sightedness and imagination.	Don't know .	No
Ones made by consensus. This would be a due process of investigation and consultation from all parties ,with the system and results being transparent at all times.	That all views are taken into account and then decisions made. The decision process should be well informed and made by people who do not have a personal interest in the matter, but an interest for the future of the city.	Nothing. I do not like the way Sheffield City Council makes it's decisions, it is a totally unbalanced and autocratic process from a minority of people who choose to ignore any opinions other than their own	That only nine people make them. See above	All Councillors are allowed to vote. Inclusion of opposing views that should lead to a more democratic process of discussion with a more balanced view being achieved. Hence more people being included and from every political persuasion and walk off life to rid us of the lopsided and totally flawed status auo.		Please see answers to previous questions.
A decision making process that devolves decision-making down to those who will be affected by the decision taken, ie local communities as far as possible, and on a more citywide basis, by all elected representatives, irrespective of party, so that their electors know that decisions are being taken on their behalf and not by a small group of Councillors in a Town Hall that many of them have never visited and of which they have little understanding how the system works.	That people feel that actually have a say in the decisions that are being taken on their behalf and that all communities in Sheffield don't feel discriminated against	I don't. It takes ages for items to come for decision and the majority of Councillors can only get involved by being members of Planning or Licencing Committees. I also dislike how infrequently Scrutiny Boards meet.	See above plus the widespread feeling in the City that decisions have already been taken even though the Council says it is consulting.		Decisions being taken just by one political group	For many electors, the Council is a place they only come into contact with when they are faced by something that is going to affect their lives directly. It would be could if the whole system could be more inclusive so that Sheffielders are not just on the receiving end of political good news stories but feel the decisions being taken on their behalf are actually relevant to them
Whole claim decision making. The current 'cabinet' system I think is not democratic.	A much more inclusive process where councillors representing my area of Sheffield have a voice in council decisions. As it stands, I feel disenfranchised.	Nothing!	Undemocratic - see previous comments. Consultation process seems to be ineffective.	Modern committee system where all councillors can participate and bring their own experience and views to the debate as well as representing the view of people in their wards. Making use of experts in their field to advise on complex matters.	Concentrating power in too few hands.	
All interested parties consulted and their views taken into account. Outcome needs to benefit the interview of the detriment of the minority. All decisions need to comply with stringent climate change measures. Decisions by majority full council vote after consultation.	See above	I don't. Small leadership group with too much power leads to poor decisions because of inexperience, political bias and lack of consultation.	See above	Full council decision making	Need to insure individuals do not have too much power, remove leadership model to committees	It shows how appalling it has been that a petition had to be raised to change it
Responsive to circumstance, equitable with resources, and judged if necessary by a third party that does not have a stake or allegiances or pact in the outcome. Decisions for a community should involve the views of all that community.	It's important to me that my elected representative has an opportunity to debate and partake in ALL council decisions, not a few, or essentially none. It's important to me that my council focuses on Sheffield's unique issues, not follow Party political lines from central government, nor should my local representatives be making local decisions for national party compliance, nor voting against good decisions for the city due to party lines.	I don't think I like any of the current method of a small number making most decisions. That's not democracy.	As last answer. Small sub- or in fact super committee decision-making concentrates already limited representation into the hands of the few power brokers who then wield undue power and influence.	Focus to local issues, across party lines, to strive towards improving equality across the city (with its exceptional social gradient). Genuine opportunity for all councillors to participate in debate and voting. Strong positive focus to green/ environmentally friendly policies above "environmentally neutral" or negative policies.	Increase in costs, increase in bureaucracy, outsourcing for lengthy expensive private sector "consultation" to mask failures in making decisions.	
All participants well-informed. Purpose of decision clearly defined. Process designed to work towards consensus (rather than winners & losers). Implications for other decisions, including future issues, considered (coherence).	for the city rule to party lines. That the people of Sheffled feel that their representatives are acting in the residents' interest, and that their views are taken into account. That decisions are made openly, and the rationale made clear.		The impression given is of a paternalistic ideology which does not want to let the public get too close to the decision-making.	I would like my local councillors to contribute directly to policy- and decision-making, so that my neighbours and I have our views represented. Where major city-wide policies are to be decided, clear and honest communication of the issues should be available to all, with plently of time to discuss, consider and make representations to our councillors. This is even more important when long-term commitments are being considered.		I hope the Council will approach this Governance Review with goodwill and enthusiasm. The engagement of the public in the petition (and other issues) should be welcomed and built on.
A vote is taken by the whole council and a majority decision is made	Decisions must be made by the collective not the executive	Nothing it's undemocratic	It's undemocratic	Decisions should be made by the council not by a minority executive	the electorate like recognising Palestine as a state without consulting the people of	Decisions like a clean air zone and recognising states should be made by the people in referendums
Proportional representation. All elected councillors gaving a vote on every decision. It is NOT THE CURRENT STRONG LEADER MODEL. Current leader shows disdain for peoples will and demicracy.	Proportional representation. All elected councillors gaving a vote on every decision. It is NOT THE CURRENT STRONG LEADER MODEL. Current leader shows disdain for peoples will and demicracy.	-	Not democratic. Ignores opinions of huge swathes of city. Shows disdain for publics opinions. Enters into contracts it hasnt understood.	Full democracy and representation by every councillor paeticipating in all decisions. Get rid of strong leader model.	one currently being used	
Open to discussion and debate. A decision should be based on all 84 elected councillors, not just 10 of them. There should be no tribal party politicking but instead honest debate on what is best for the city. Cost effectiveness should not be the only consideration as this drives down the beauty of the city and the effectiveness of services. It shouldn't be a politics of personality.		Some of our representatives are from the working class. Some are Women, some are from BAME communities. This is good.	Under the existing strong leader system only 10 councillors, out of 84 we all vote for, have the formal power to make most decisions! Many thousands have told us it is shocking that all councillors do not have a meaningful voice to represent Sheffield's communities, that SCC does not listen, that tribal party politicking is holding back the city, that so-called 'consultations' are a problem because decisions appear to have already been made, that they are worried about lack of competence/expertise, that SCC are insular & work behind closed doors, that scrutiny does not work. Sustainability is not at the heart of councillors' decisions. This means that the costs to clean up after drought, floods etc, will be unaffordable within the next ten years. Councillors are easily influenced by rich powerful business people. This needs to stop.	Democracy. Proportionate representation .	Easily influenced councillors who see no further than their time in office. This short- term vision is harming our democracy.	Please try to communicate more effectively with everyone. Otherwise people feel disempowered and won't vote

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Decisions which are based on sound expertise and information from competent specialists. Decisions which are made after real consultation, rather than lip-service, and talks which happen before decisions have actually been made behind closed door. Decisions which deliver results that protect the good things about our city, such as mature trees, healthy public services and the city's heritage. Decisions which allow scrutiny, rather than being clouded in secrecy.	power have actual impact on outcomes, because they are able to vote formally.	Not a lot.	I was shocked to discover that, under the existing strong leader system, only 10 councillors out of 84 we vote for, have the formal power to make most decisions. It seems to me to be completely undemocratic to work within a framework in which most councillors do not have a meaningful voice to represent their communities. I am also very concerned that SCC are so keen to work behind closed doors, to avoid scrutiny, so that people do not interfere with decisions, which are being made by so few people, on behalf of so many.	I would like all councillors to have full voting rights. I would like SCC to engage properly with experts at the early stages of the decision- making process, so that decisions are not made by people without the relevant experience. I would like full and proper scrutiny. I would like a less adversarial attitude, with SCC genuinely interested in listening to feedback and concerns. I would like accountability.	Giving too much power to just 10 people. Making decisions without consulting relevant experts.	I was appalled by some of the attitudes which came out during the tree campaign. I was shocked that SCC allowed themselves to become 'the enemy', using the media to put out false information, in an attempt to cover up poor decision-making. Mistakes are made - that is always going to happen- but what is important is how people deal with
			My personal experience, as part of the recent tree campaign, has been that SCC does not listen, that instead of trying to look at the concerns and discuss possible solutions, it immediately went on the defence, attacking people who questioned their decisions, refusing to engage with genuine specialist advice and information which would have solved many of the problems without expense, and putting out			mistakes. The decision to set themselves against the people who had concerns, rather than work with them to try and put things right, was fundamentally flawed. That the relevant experts were not used in the first
			false information to cloud the issues and smear the campaign. This adversarial approach to the concerns of ordinary people, who SCC are supposed to represent, was something which shocked me deeply and open my eyes to the flawed systems we have in place.			instance, so that the Streets Ahead contract details were more environmentally sound and more in the wider interest of the residents of Sheffield, was a poor decision, which should not be repeated under any new system. That experts were subsequently ignored, their truths denied and twisted to create misinformation to protect and camouflage the original mistake, was worse.
Democratic effective transparent	I would like more of the councillors to be involved in making decisions on my behalf.	Not a great deal.	Too autocratic	Broader base for decision making so that all councillors can vote on issues.	Do not let the stats quo remain.	I have lost faith in my council as a result. They are going to have to work hard at making genuine No
Democratic honorable effective transparent inclusive	Should represent the whole population by using all the councillors to make policy	Not much		More inclusive of all the elected councillors. Transparent and effective decision making	No	
Informed and with diverse voices, expertly informed and with a democaratic consensus.	decisions. That they listen and represent, that personal and local 'intertestes' are not influential factors and that they are open minded with representation and service formost in their minds.	Not alot.	through. The bullying, defamatory, dismissive and closed shop attitude. The blatant bias and self-protection, the obsfucation, lies and misinforming propaganda when a small cabal take it upon themselves to self protect.	needed. Transparency, facts, research and accountability	A top-down power structure.	They have, in the recent past, been an embarrassment and dismal and a damaging failure to the local and national parties and the reputation of the city locally, nationally and internationally.
All elected councilors should have a part in decision-making. A committee system provides the means for this.	I was shocked to discover that decisions in Sheffield are taken only by a small number of councilors. I am very much against this. Having discovered this, it provided some explanation of the poor way in which our	Please see above. It's hard to find anything I feel positive about. The consultations seem to me to be not genuine, but attempts to find support for decisions already made. At least, though, this indicates some willingness to subscribe to the idea of consultation.	place. This denies a proper role to the majority of our elected councillors: how can this be called a democratic process?	Restoration of the powers of all elected councillors A committee system to increase involvement of all in the process of government. Better cross-party working Restore the idea that the councillor's job is to represent their community, not just do as	Avoid the concentration of power in the hands of a few individuals.	
There should be exploration of ways to increase the numbers of people who vote.	Including discort Certain a provide solaric explanation of the point way in which deal locally elected councilors were operating. Not all replied to e-mails, one who did explained that there had not been enough time for our concerns to be raised in a council meeting. Another councilor was suspended for abstaining on a motion, a choice that was in line with what those they represented wanted, but was contrary to the instructions of the ruling group. I have to say that this last instance is one that makes me very angry indeed: ho9w can this possibly be considered a democratic way to operate?		In a better democratic system, using a committee structure, there could be proper discussion and exchange of views. Confining the decision-making to a few individuals denies representation and entrenches individuals in positions of power with few routes to challenge them. This cannot be a good way to do things. Furthermore, this reduces the opportunity for more people to gain experience in the process of local government, which would strengthen politics greatly.	instructed by the party		
is an example where the people were not consulted and bad uninformed decisions made.	It holds open consultations where people are able to know what is being discussed - far more open communication either via the website, Twitter etc.	Sadly not much.	It appears that decisions are made by a select few who may not be wholly informed. The impression I get, is it is sometimes the blind being led by the blind.	Good lines of communication such as a regularly updated website, social media communication. Large companies have annual reports, perhaps this is something that can happen so every household has the opportunity to know what has been done and achieved in the past vear.	Decisions made from bad information ie the tree debacle. Hold people accountable for bad decisions.	Please listen to what we have to say as opposed to the few.
transpare to per about how a decision has been arrived at.	That the council is open and transparent about it all and can demonstrate that they have properly researched and considered evidence and alternatives.	Not a great deal. I can't think of anything to recommend it.		Effective scrutiny. At the moment scrutiny occurs after the decision making process and it follows that it is (politically) tainted, toothless and ineffective.	Party politics dominating. Decisions should never be made behind closed doors. Exclusion of experts and stakeholders must	It is telling that Sheffield became infamous on the world stage. The current decision making process seems unaccountable and the cabinet appear to
	That there is no party political agenda. That cross-party and stakeholder consultation has occurred. That there is considered opinion about likely outcomes.		represent their wards. What accounts for consultation with the public is terrible.	The role of (unaccountable) officers making decisions - this badly needs fixing. e,g, decisions delegated to officers should also be open to scrutiny - both in the delegation and the outcome.	be overturned. The current, damaging, long-term erosion of public trust that the current system has	work in some sort of black box. That so many in Sheffield are disenfranchised because they feel that they have no meaningful representation and that 'the council just do what they want anyway' is pretty
people. Mistakes are recognised and should be defensible without PR spin (e.g. in the light of new			That the public are disconnected from the decision making process. The frequent 'we know best' stance - it's just arrogance and damaging to public	Convincing proportionate representation (e.g. by vote, not by number or wards won) in the structure.		damming.
information or unforeseen events). As appropriate a decision can be reversed - without embarrassment or bad feeling - if it is now considered to be the best thing to do. Basically it should be OK to say 'we're sorry, knowing what we know now we got that one wrong'.			perception.	Inclusiveness.	on to better and bigger things!	There are a people in Sheffield who believe that their ward does not have a councillor. Such is the disconnect with the public.
The experience of other councils in similar issues, where available, are built in. Risk assessments are considered.				Every ward has confidence that their councillor is able to represent them and that there is meaningful consultation in their ward on decisions that affect them directly.		
Measures of success are established early on for key decisions and these should be revisited post decision making to establish the ongoing effectiveness of the decisions made.				A full review of council PR - the constant same-mantra spin is offensive, ineffective and unhelpful in the public perception of how the council is distanced from the people of Sheffield.		
To achieve this it must be visibly representative of the people of Sheffield and operate in an inclusive and transparent way bringing in experts, stakeholders and community representatives into the decision making process and structures as required. This makes	For me it is most important that things work effectively and speedily for people at ground level to meet their needs, safeguard their health and well being. Sheffield people are incredibly tolerant but the culture is resigned apathy - people have low expectations. I have only lived here for 7 years but SCC are far and away the most inefficient of the 5 cities and towns I've resided in.	Little. Local Councillors seem to have little voice in decision making. Political Party interests seem to dominate rather than participatory and collaborative working eg. whip system, sniping, political point scoring etc. Unresponsive, secretive and defensive. Remote from public.		More participative,open and transparent with Nolan principles implemented effectively. Look widely at excellent/best practice examples and don't be afraid to learn and copy from others. There are ways other than "the Sheffield Way" and they are often better!! Invest in long term planning rather than crisis management. Cultural change takes time		No Hope you get a big response to the consultation
be democratic and SCC lacks the participatory element eg. tree felling protestors, transport complainers.	When SCC make decisions they should try and ensure that they are effectively communicated to those impacted by them as part of the end to end process. Planning, design implementation ,monitoring and review are required and quality appears lacking. To me as an ordinary citizen resource allocation,priorities, staff training, constant change and lack of accountability appear deficiencies, although I appreciate the serious difficulties that the last 9 years austerity have caused.			and hard work but it is crucial for Sheffield's people and the environment. Now is the time for SCC to examine its governance rigorously and present an improved position for the 2020 referendum.		
Looks at all the evidence, looks at this from a variety of angles (e.g. condiders De Bono's 6 thinking hats)	See above In addition as we pay for councillors to be our representatives it's logical that all of them	Very little. The structure is secretive, onaque and undemocratic		See earlier answers	The current process. The evidence of poor judgments e.g. over the	
Makes decisions based on long term benefits to the community rather than short term or political gain. The process is transparent and involves or is fully open to all those delegated to make		The structure is secretive, opaque and undemocratic Surveys like this don't help as it has been very poorly advertised and so its results are likely to be more confusing than helpful whatever they suggest			tree issues demonstrates the gap between those making decisions in their small bubble and the thoughts and interests of the local	
citizens rather than political party or big business.	It affects much of day to day life as a Sheffield citizen. It should therefore be timely, well informed, well balanced, decisive, unbiased, within the best interests of citizens rather then any life and a citizens well as a structure of the s	opaque way and information is not shared with citizens when they ask for it, case	Decisions are too often made in an opaque way and information is not shared with citizens when they ask for it, case in point: Streets Ahead project and SCC role in it.	Clarity and openness, involvement of all elected members not just a select few.	population Lack of clarity and openness, failure to involve all elected members rather than just a colort form	
It is informed by evidence and is as widely consulted upon as is practical	than political party or big business. It is important that decisions are undertaken by councillors as a whole group and not just by those who head committees or lead the council. Objections to proposed decisions need to be considered and addressed	in point: Streets Ahead project and SCC role in it. Nothing		Objections should be considered and addressed. Final decision making should rest with		No
	decisions need to be considered and addressed What should be happening is that our representatives are actively involved in making the decisions that affect us.	I do not like the current system		all councillors and not just an elite group People's assemblies, specialist all party communities who look at evidence and work for the best outcome for the people of Sheffield. A can do philosophy who make the brave decisions we need to make given climate	dissent A cabinet lack of transparency and not working across parties	This survey has not been well advertised which is unhelpful and part of the whole way of working that needs to change. I have only just heard of it by word

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Good decision making is well informed, fair and transparent	Transparency is critical plus making sure all views, no matter how diverse, are taken into account to ensure the most well informed, balanced and beneficial decisions are made.		 hand picked individuals (exclusively from a single party and from a handful of wards) making key decisions behind closed doors. Block voting in council on 	Fairness should be paramount. Cross party decision making. Party politics has no place in decision making at local level. Every Councillor, regardless of party association, should be able to express their view freely and without duress. Every ward should be represented in the decision making process which should fairly reflect Sheffield as a whole. Overriding fair decision making should be genuine transparency and wholesome accountability at all levels.		I hope the process changes! I strongly believe that the current system is undemocratic, unfair and damaging to our city. We desperately need a more transparent, inclusive and accountable process in place.
The decision making body taking account of evidence and opinion. Decisions being taken by bodies which are accountable to the public (i.e. not behind closed doors). A willingness to make bold decisions because there is consensus in the council and support from the public.	I do not represent an organisation, though was an (opposition) city councillor for 11 years. It was incredibly frustrating to see that people who could have contributed to decisions (inside and outside the council) were excluded. Again and again, nothing could be decided until the ruling group had made up their minds, and then it was too late to change things.	At a micro-level some council officers really push the boat out to be helpful to community groups and organisations. But this is often against the grain of the environment in which they work, which feels incredibly bureaucratic and not oper to suggestions.	A recent example was the new cycle scheme on Broomhall Road. Local people made a huge effort to show officers and councillors (the cabinet member) why it was a waste of money and would make things worse rather than better. I gave up trying to find out why the scheme had been chosen/designed in the first place. In the end it went ahead on a trial basis and was made permanent despite continued objections. The stated outcomes changed to reflect the lack of improvement. There was a very strong suspicion that the scheme was "make work" for Amey.	The main thing is to reduce the influence of party politics. This could happen with the strong leader model, if the strong leader picked a "rainbow cabinet", instead of from within one party by secret vote. Existing committees should choose their chairs and co- chairs according to merit, not just on political party. Ideally we would have proportional representation, which would elect a council which reflected the public's votes. This depends on national legislation, but we could move to all-out elections every 4 years which would produce a more balanced council, forcing the ruing erough(s) to fail to each other.	Party politics Secrecy	
Democratic, informed, progressive, innovative, flexible, accountable.	To be fair and equal, able to listen, learn and review its process, taking a long term view of things, finding ways to engage with even the most vulnerable through identifying the barriers to them.			A way for citizens to feed into the decision making process, training for people involved, a democratic and effective structure. Candidates should declare their interest and should be voted in democratically. The public shoild be kept informed of All elections and information and decisions.	Too many layers so it become ineffective, too political	The city so needs a direction, a proper strategy and dealing with environmental matters urgently. It needs a modern, affordable and efficient public transport system to all parts of the city so that citizens have a proper choice and start dumping the vehicules.
All elected representatives have an equal say in the decision making process. This way all people have their interests heard and voiced, furthering the democratic process.	It is important to me that, again, all people are represented through the elected coucilors. And the decesions are taken from a long term and sustainable stand point. The need for this has been recently very acutely highlighted with the flooding and the impact that long term land management of the south pennine hills has. SCC being a major land holder in the catchments west of sheffield need to be thinking long and hard about how these lands provide all the services its people need, not just getting a simple tenancy and forgetting about it until it is up for renewal.	Thefact that there is aconsoltation process is positive, though this needs to be expanded.	Decisions seem to be made behibd closed doors and consultations can seem like an after thought. There also seemsto be a lack of ambition on how the green space is managed. Simply going with the Status Quo rather than making more positive change.	Less politics of peronality and a greater sense of democracy though all elected councillor engament. Decisions that better benefit the city as a whole, especially the more deprived areas, rather than the rich land holders/ big business. I refer back to my previous awnser on land management. Well are all impacted by decisions in the area so this needs to be taken into account	Large business and wealthy individuals infulencing the decision making process.	
Open and informed discussion with all options, ideas and expertise involved from the beginning, as far as possible in public.	That the right decisions are made for the betterment of Sheffield. That electoral politics shouldn't interfere with decision making whereby political parties are afraid to do the right thing if they think it will have an adverse effect at the ballot box.	N/a	Decision making in the SCC is bad. Too many decisions are made by to few people behind closed doors. Only to be rubber stamped in public.	Fair and open discussion. A committee where all ideas and expertise are on the table at the beginning of the process.	Anyone with absolute power. Anyone with power to reward individuals	
Evidence based decisions not dictated by leaders from above.	What is right for Sheffield might not be the same for another authority or organisation		The scrutiny system fails when the leading group has a majority on all committees and even when a committee gives an instruction the cabinet member can overrule		with positions of power, be they paid or unpaid.	
Pag	based outside of Sheffield so the right thing for Sheffield is done. That problems are met with a can do attitude and SCC always strive to be the best.		the decision. There is a distinct lack of transparency whereby opposition and non cabinet councillors are refused information that is essential for them work on the	Empowering all councillors to work for the betterment of Sheffield. Power spread across as many people as possible. A minimum of two co chairs on each committee. A maximum term for each co chair of just a few months so positions of	Majorities that can force through policies.	
Where even ne discusses without party pressure to keep to party politics! Means looking at all options available, doing research , keeping people informed , Being Honest	That all our councillors are heard . The people of sheffield are not ignored , our thoughts and opinions are considered.	Over the past 3 years of watching tge council at council meetings , I cannot say I like any decisions made . The questions people ask are never answered , people are not respected. The council doesn't take responsibility for its own actions .	hetterment of Sheffield. Lack of democracy shown by the cabinet. Lack of consideration when people ask questions no answers given, I worry about transparency and honesty with SCC having witnessed quite a lot of hyperboles over the last 3 years.	nower chance hetween nennle and narties frequently. All councillors to get a say , all councillors given power to truly represent the people of sheffield. Honesty and Truth	Cabinet system doesn't give true democracy, this must go !	I would like to know why people are disrespected b not being given answers .
Democration elected representatives. Not the current strong leader model in Sheffield	Needs to involve all the elected representatives.	I don't. It is undemocratic and has contributed to a sense of mistrust in the council and the complete travesty and waste of public money in relation to street trees with absolutely no accountability.	Strong leader model - unrepresentative and undemocratic. Awful. And I am a labour voter!	People given the answers they request . Better transparency Involvement of all elected representatives REDACTED - change needed.	The current structure and leadership has to go.	
It is evidence based, includes input from stakeholders and has the buy-in and involvement of those most closely affected by the decisions. In the context of decisions made by the council it's important to take on board the views of ALL elected councillors, not just Cabinet members.	 Decisions ought to be made following a thorough evaluation of all the evidence and on the basis of the widest range of views put forward. All too often the wishes of the community are ignored or overriden in favour of commercial interests. 	There nothing positive to report.		I'd like to see the "Strong Leader" model scrapped in favour of the committee system. I'd like to see more cross party collaboration in both decision making and scrutiny of those decisions. Above all I'd like to see more openness, transparency and public accountability built into the system.	often at full council meetings where motions from parties other than Labour are routinely ignored, rejected or ridiculed for no good reason. The council needs to get it's act	that their purpose is to serve the people of Sheffiel and to act accordingly. They should admit their mistakes e.g. the disastrous and expensive Streets Ahead PFI contract - and seek to rectify such situations, as other councils have done. That way
Open, accountable, evidenced based, subject to public scrutiny.	Decision making should be transparent, accountable, democratic and in the best interests of the many, not the few.	It is impossible to say as decisions are made behind closed doors by 10 cabinet members.	Decision making is secretive, opaque, unaccountable and seems to disproportionately benefit the interests of big businesses and developers at the expense of the community. Just three examples include: the proposed central library sell-off, the propsed Mount Pleasant community regeneration scheme and of course the AMEY contract.	A committe system which allows for more public scrutiny, and less fear of being disciplined for local Councillors who want to represent the interests of their constituents rather than being dictated to by their party leaders.	A "strong leader" model. The ability for corporate or big businesses or developers to lobby Councillors.	It is really important that the Council engages and listens to the people of Sheffield whom it is elected to represent. In that way we can all work together ensure Sheffield has the best chance of fulfilling it's great potential and have a united front in the face Conservative cuts and crippling austerity.
Based on genuine consultation, open and transparent.	That is it is none of the things mentioned above	Nothing.	Everything. The Cabinet is run as a secretive cabal.	The things I mentioned above.	Secrecy and bullying.	The council has demonstrated time and again that i decision making process is broken but has shown n desire to change it.
Proper consultation - talking to those affected about plans BEFORE they're so far down the line they can't be changed. Proper representation - committees can be formed on the basis of vote share, rather than seats won. This gives a voice to minorities Committees created from people with the necessary skills and experience, rather than with the right colour of rosette.	elections. Less than 10% of the electorate voted for the current ruling group, but the	Zero	Fair and meaningful representation Most people in Sheffield do not vote in local elections. Less than 10% of the electorate voted for the current ruling group, but the current 'strong leader' system gives them overwhelming power. This makes representation meaningless (and undermines legitimacy) ! All councillors should have a meaningful voice & equal power to represent their communities ! It must be clear that all councillors play a role in shaping and taking council decisions 1 The political membership of committees should be proportional to vote share ! Committees should be chaired by councillors forced to vote in a particular way by their party) that overrides a councillor's duty to represent their local community ! Local councillors should have full access to information, and be part of all specific wardbased decisions (eg selling off heritage assets, new developments) ! More consensus building and cross-party working – a constitutional commitment to structures and procedures that support power-sharing ! A reduction in delegation of decisions to unelected, unaccountable officers 2. Increased participation and impact Representative democratic systems are limited, and need additional mechanisms and processes to enhance decision-making (and as part of checks and balances). ! Stakeholders, experts and community voices must be integral to committee governance, not separate from it, e.g. designated committee places/roles, open community sub-committees and working groups ! Formalised and active support for communities wanting to contribute to decisions 1 Decision- making needs to be more open and deliberative (reduce the need for ineffective 'scrutiny'/ consultation' after decisions, particularly to counter the impact of inequalities and differential social capital e.g. incentivise community input ! The	membership of committees should be proportional to vote share ! Committees should be chaired by councillors not from the majority party ! Ban anti-democratic party- whipping (councillors forced to vote in a particular way by their party) that overrides a councillor's duty to represent their local community ! Local councillors should have full access to information, and be part of all specific wardbased decisions (eg selling off heritage assets, new developments) ! More consensus building and cross-party working – a constitutional commitment to structures and procedures that support power-sharing ! A reduction in delegation of decisions to unelected, unaccountable officers 2. Increased participation and impact Representative democratic systems are limited, and	current 'strong leader' system gives them overwhelming power. This makes representation meaningless (and undermines legitimacy) I all councillors should have a meaningful voice & equal power to represent their communities ! It must be clear that all councillors play a role in shaping and taking council decisions 1 The political membership of committees should be proportional to vote share ! Committees should be chaired by councillors not from the majority party ! Ban anti-democratic party-whipping (councillors forced to vote in a particular way by their party) that overrides a councillor's duty to represent their local community ! Local councillors should have full access to information, and	 When will the council publish or make available the report from Nigel Slack's event? How confident are they that they will be able to fulfil their moral obligation to enable people to mail an informed decision in the referendum. Exactly what resources will the council make available to the public to assist them to make the decision and how will they be disseminated? How often do the people in this culture identify, recognise and seek to close gaps and misunderstandings with others? At Nigel Slack's event both there was a general

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
subjective or politicised.	The decision making process must be based upon information that is accurate and up to date and presented by experts in the field the representing persons must declare without reservation any and all conflicting interests prior to any presentation.	Very little	There is little communication with the community and very little effort to engage with people to bring in alternative view points. Decision making is made largely by people who have vested interests or political bias. The people making decisions are not completely transparent.	A more transparent open system where people in the community are truly engaged with and actively brought in to the discussion.	Nepotism	It needs root and branch reform. It needs to engage the community and strive towards bringing in a balanced perspective of conflicting view points which can accurately reflect the diverse community that is Sheffield. We are a rapidly changing community. The rate of change is accelerating. The stasis in the council is counter productive and conservative. It's time for change.
	That it moves away from the current strong leader model and towards a committee based system	Nothing	That the decisions being made are counter to the benefit of the city and it's residents	More democracy. Citizens assemblies. Transparency.	Avoid decisions made by small groups or individuals	The council leadership should have taken notice of the It's Our City petition and could have avoided the costs to the taxpayer of a referendum. The strong leader and their cabinet should have divested themselves of power and invited in the full council to find a more balanced decision making system.
2. The development of options which take account of the facts, address the needs of different interest groups, are practical, and are assessed for second order consequences. The options should be costed. 3. The options are presented to the interest groups for consultation and comment. This		have been avoided with a more open decision making and scrutiny. Instead our	It places too much power in the hands of a small unrepresentative group with little real accountability to the electorate. It undermines local democracy by making people feel impotent and disengaged from the process and creates a vicious circle of undermining democracy.		The obvious threat is the possibility of creating bureaucracy for its own sake. The structure should incorporate limits to curtail obstructionism and 'overthinking'.	No
genuine stakeholder engagement - proportional representation and people's assemblies	Most councilors do not get a say in decision making processes	nothing	see answer for above	genuine engagement with the people of sheffield reflected in diverse peoples' assemblies	tribalism	The urgent need to listen to local public opinion and take a lead in progressive and far-reaching political
The votes for and against are publicised. A mechanism or procedure for reviewing the	I want to know how it all works. I believe that the council has acted slowly, has not represented the whole of the city. Has been lacking in ambition. Has been naive in arranging it's commercial contracts. And has been defensive rather than open. Has failed to look outwards.	It tries to look after the disadvantaged.		To a absolutely prioritise the climate and ecological emergency which is threatening the very future of humanity.		action.
Well informed, impartial, fair, willing to listen and take full and proper account of differing views, willing to try new ideas and different ways of doing things. People and organisations significantly affected given sufficient timely unbiased information on proposals, options available, pros and cons, implications, and given opportunities and sufficient time to comment. Tight and effective control over delegated decision making. Foresight and early action to ensure decision making is not rushed and is done to a high standard. Decision making process being clear and understandable. Openness and accountability from start to finish. Effective, timely and open reporting, including outcome of decisions, lessons learned, and changes made as a result. [Why are you asking ordinary people to answer this question for a big complex local	Because I pay tax that helps to fund the Council, because I want people in Sheffield, myself included, to have good public and private services now and in the future, because I want the city to be interesting, recognised elsewhere as being forward thinking and innovative, and I want to be proud of Sheffield. To achieve this, amongst other things I want the Council to manage its finances and services well, work effectively with other bodies when necessary, learn from good practice elsewhere, and demonstrate clearly that it delivers value for money. In my view there's a need for more effective external audit of value for money delivered by local councils, local hospital and other local public sector bodies - an improved version of what was done by the now abolished Audit	Board has been poorly managed. I was not surprised by the criticism made in the March 2018 report on it by the Care Quality Commission. In my view the Council does too little to try and ensure good governance arrangements in local health bodies (such as the hospitals and clinical commissioning group), including openness and accountability with information on how well they plan and manage	The Labour Party has controlled the Council for far too long. The voting system for local councils should have been changed long ago to one of proportional representation. There should also be fewer and better councillors including some with relevant business experience in medium-large private sector organisations. The Labour Group doesn't work constructively enough, for the benefit of the whole of Sheffield, with the local councillors from other political parties. I'm fed up the appearance of the city centre, the awful ugly unimaginative high-rise modern buildings allowed and enthused about by the Council, no one I know likes and wants them nor do they want to shop or spend time in the city centre. The Council doesn't work effectively enough with the other big councils that comprise Sheffield City Region <i>REDACTED</i> probably needs more help. Recent flooding in Rotherham and near Doncaster is partly due to the effective flood risk measures taken in Sheffield moving water and risk further downstream. The Council and City Region seems to have done little or nothing to make the so-called Northern Powerhouse and Transport For The North understandable, open and accountable; they seem to speak primarily for Manchester and Leeds and not for South Yorkshire. And I'm annoyed about the support given to HS2 which is yet another badly planned and executed disaster of a public project. The £100bn plus likely eventual cost would be better spent on improving public transport across the North, jobs for the future, improvements in social and health care, education, police and security, environmental improvements and so on.	See 4, 5 and 7 above. Can't afford to spend more time going into this in more detail. I prefer the Committee, not Cabinet, arrangement.	See 4, 5 and 7 above. Can't afford to spend more time going into this in more detail. I prefer the Committee, not Cabinet, arrangement.	Can't afford to spend more time going into this in more detail. I prefer the Committee, not Cabinet, arrangement. The Council should have prepared for and started this consultation sooner and allowed more time for informed comments. It was always likely that the governance petition, which helped to prompt this survey, would be successful.
all backgrounds and interest groups	Be accountable Be transparent Be truthful Be representative Do not be biased Do not represent particular interest groups Do the right thing by the planet and all species inhabiting it		Decisions are made by a small cabinet, not a fully representative committee. Too much power is held by too few people	Broad representation See above	Concentration of power and decision making	Please be more democratic and take into account the views of all the people who live in the Sheffield area, and the people whose lives your decisions affect, and the environment that your decisions affect, and the effect of those environmental decisions on the people
many people as possible from the wider community that the decision affects and it should	Leader' model. I believe, based upon the information I have reviewed independently	I do not see any obvious strengths in the current model. It is flawed.	I think the current way in which the council is outdated and undemocratic. I think that it is weak and susceptible to bias, outside influence from corrupt influencers and open to a failure of good decision making on the basis of poorly informed and poorly motivated individuals at the centre who have a power base that is more easily capitalised and maintained. In short, there is nothing I like about the current method of decision making.	Improved technology and communications with the people of Sheffield to both inform	Centralised power of just a few individuals should be avoided entirely. Group decision making should be central to the new structure.	It's a full circle The council leadership, REDACTED should have acknowledged the work being done by the 'It's Our City' campaign and engaged with the group in meaningful dialogue to create a review of the council's decision making methods. Instead REDACTED the Labour council have failed at every opportunity to do this and instead have forced a hard fought campaign to mobile and force a referendum, which will now cost the tax payer. The strong-leader should have led an open discussion in full council about the possibility of a change in the decision making process. REDACTED
 openness and transparency reflects the views of everybody in a democratic and proportional way not the decisions of a few who are not representative of the whole genuine consultation and information gathering accountability impartiality 	- I am not happy with the way SCC has been making decisions	- there are things many people are not happy with, hence the petition	 huge mistake with the Amey contract not enough public consultation and no proportional full council decision making decisions do not reflect the views of the whole Council or of the citizens of Sheffield 	 more transparency more consultation it should reflect the views of the whole Council, not just a few citizens' assemblies seem to work well ability to change with the times and majority views 	 ongoing systems and people who are entrenched and not representative of the council or citizens lack of transparency narrow selection of decision makers white middle aeed men! 	I support the recent petition asking for change and a more representative decision making process which takes the whole of the Council's views and elected representatives into account.
	That it involves a cross party approach representing all the people of Sheffield.	Nothing, it does not listen or represent the citizens of Sheffield and is has a bullying culture that does not collaborate.	No collaboration, bullying one party approach with no collaboration with other parties. It's dismissive of concerns raised by the citizens its supposed to represent, and does not deliver with empty promises.	Cross party collaboration and use of citizens assemblies.	 white midale aeed meni Not listening to what is important to the people it serves, ignoring evidence & facts and ensuring that the health and welfare of its citizens and climate control with reducing air pollution are priorities. 	
	it needs to take account of the complex different viewpoints and needs within the city and be freed from specific dogma and from "the way we have always done it". Open committee-based decision-making will bring in fresh ideas and understanding.	it is clear that the council is trying to do its best for the city under very testing circumstances.	The number of people involved in actual decision-making is much too small.	Committees of different kinds feeding into and represented in a central structure.	A free for all approach - open forums, referendums etc . The former slip very quickly out of control and only the loudest voices are heard.	No

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Wo inf Co
Transparency and listening. A fair number of councillors of all parties being involved in the decisions process. Not closed door policy as currently exists for a chosen few who all have the same agenda. Allowing the public to be involved in decision making and financial application of public funds. Public scrutiny access to contracts that we are locked into After the AMEY shambles, public trust in our current council is very low. We need to know where the money is being spent and who it is being awarded to, and we need clear sight of all tenders being submitted for each contract. We, the tax payers have become very sceptical that pecuniary interest is not rigorous enough. In summary, all decisions by the council should be made in plain sight, and made available for public scrutiny easily with no quibble or intimidation.	the city into a ball and chain contract, as with the AMEY contract. This was indulgent arrogance in the extreme.	Nothing.	It's decision making progress seems nepotistic and erratic. They constantly contradict themselves and each other and then childishly squabble publically. REDACTED The current decision makers are positively medieval in their handling of critisism, they arrogantly deny any wrongdoing and refuse to take responsibility for said wrongdoing. REDACTED Sheffield people do not take kindly to being treated like idiots, we are intelligent people who pay the council a great deal of money in taxes so we expect better from those who are responsible for this money. The AMEY contract car-crash being a huge example of ineptitude and greed by our current council. The council core, the secretive decision makers, should not be allowed to make decisions in private, or to use the public purse to fight their very public battles in court, as is currently happening. They decide who makes the rules and have free reign to do whatever they please with no exterior scrutiny whatsoever. Medieval. It has to stop. A total vote of no confidence from this household.	As discussed earlier. A percentage of the public and other parties being involved in ALL council decisions that affect our city. Robust scrutiny to be employed by independent legal authorities and public nominated individuals. And for sight, by the public, of ALL council contract documents to be available as scanned documentation at Howden House, as with current planning documentation. Accountability by any serving Councillor or leader of the Council to be written robustly in place on their contract documentation. The Sheffield public will not tolerate the behaviours by the council of the previous few years. It is outdated and indulgent. There needs to be more robust scrutiny on planning permission given to developers, especially on land susceptible to flood risk. Green land needs ring fencing for natural flood defence. Woodland needs nutruing and our atrocious pollution levels need to be rigorously debated and solutions need to be researched and implemented. Avoid the council bringing in their 'own' supposed independent experts and using the public purse to pay for these biased and worthless sets of so called reports. No secret ratification and subsequent censoring of contracts behind closed doors. All major decisions need to be transparent, as do the follow up contracts. The council also need to be reigned in for spending eye watering amounts from the public purse on their legal team in attempts to convict the public for peaceful protest. They should learn from the outrage they caused the Sheffield people when they made our city a laughing stock across the world, following their shambolic dealings over the AMEY contract.	woodlands. Avoid allowing the council. Leader the right to select her own team to make council decisions. Avoid allowance of the council leader, REDACTED the right to squander public money on legal representation to protect themselves following unwise or illegal	l se cur act city wit res wo hun few l sh sce peo wo
All stakeholders consulted and all impacts considered.	All party politics needs to be set asidel This may seem unrealistic to you but party politics should have no real place in local governance. You are serving a variety of communities - all with differing needs - as well as creating a future for the City as a whole. None of these things has to have anything to do with what has become incredibly divisivepoisonous party politics in this City. I want the best brains from all sidesalong with those who are incredibly well connected within each community (I am not referring to Councillors here) with crucial knowledge of what they needand those capable of great innovation etc. Outside help should be more positively embraced.	Genuinely struggling to answer this in a positive way.	I can imagine the strong leader model does work in some places however, the last few years have shown that it does not work in Sheffield.	You have to find a way to be GENUINELY connected to all Sheffield's communities. A REAL network of people (not Councillors) spread across the City with key and in-depth knowledge of those communities needs who, no matter what their party politics, are GENUINELY listened to at Council and able to DIRECTLY push the relevant agenda for those communities. This network would provide a strong backbone to local governance you will continue to make, at best, inadequate decisions without it.	 PARTY POLITICS - your party/parties are not important when it comes to local governance!! 2. STRONG LEADER MODEL - numerous decisions over the last few years have been appalling. A variety of negative national/international headlines over recent years proves this along with the negative impact on residents and businesses. 3. The intransigence shown in the last few years. 4. A lack of respect for Sheffield Heritage. 	at i stre
Transparent and open. Broad an open, Ground an open, taking into account all elected members of council	It should be committe based system That my councillor has a say	I don't like they way decisions are made. It should be a committe system I don't like teh string leader model at all. It is undemocratic	Committee system The strong leader model in which the vast majority of members don't have any say	Committee system A more democratic system in which all councillors have an equal say		
Using good use information to make the best decision for all. Listens attrively to all points of view. Makes decisions based on calm judgement, not on party allegiance. Gives all doundllors a say in decisions.	That the decisions made are in the best interest of all, not decisions that benefit a few people That their decisions give the best outcomes for the citizens of Sheffield.	The current process excludes the majority of councillors which I think is incorrect.	at all in a huge number of important matters See 6.	More councillors involved and where the matter is a significant then the people it affects/Sheffield residents) should be able to have a say (e.g. online poll) That it uses a committee system which allows all councillors and all parties a chance to participate in decision making.	The current 9 councillor voting system Avoid being swayed by old rivalries and grudges	
Gives all counciliors a say in decisions. Spread of ideas debated rationally with accountability	That voters are involved		Voters are either patronised or stigmatised	Direct voting on issues by citizens Parliament for all citizens to debate issues outside of party politics and council influence	Having it made up solely by the council	
Open, transparent (in terms of reasoning behind the decision), utilises input and views of as many elected representatives as possible.	That decisions are made on the basis of accurate evidence, that they take into account the LONG TERM interests of the community. Good decision making should exclude any party political factors such that elected representatives follow their own conscience.	Not much, I'm afraid.	I strongly disagree with the "strong cabinet" approach which marginalises a large proportion of the elected representatives from many decisions.	See above. I'd like the strong cabinet approach to be abandoned.	See above.	I'd dec loca
Discussion, debate, free vote by all councillors. Democratic not autocratic.	Sheffield Council seems to demonstrate an insular and parochial, unambitious approach to the city's development which it has displayed for many years. It is important that in the future the decisions are made more democratically and realistically, enabling Sheffield to become a better partner for other northern cities and raisine our orofile.	Can't think of any.	Too limited and autocratic.	A wider debate among those involved and not the power to influence in the hands of so few councillors.	A repetition of what we have now.	It is
Full analysis of the pros and cons and enough stakeholders involved in the decision making process to avoid biased decisions.	The decision making process should be communicated effectively to the people affected by those decisions. There needs to be total transparency to the people of Sheffield, a clear aim and process and deadlines. Decisions should be thought through fully and the explanation, pros and cons of those decisions should be noted and available for scrutiny. The right number of stakeholders should be involved to aim for unbiased decisions but to avoid long unnecessary timescales.		Money seems to be spent very unwisely. The council give the impression of inefficiency, bad management and poor financial decision making.	Innovative solutions considered. Full transparency. Clear aims and financial budgets and a managed decision process and deadlines. More stakeholders involved in the process.	Too many people or the wrong people involved. Long winded decision making. Unclear aims and bad management of the decision making process. Bad financial management.	
Good decision making includes: - following due process in a transparent and timely way - decisions are made by the council as a whole - council decisions are informed by the work of committees drawn from the whole membership of the council - those committees hear evidence from all stakeholders and bring reports and recommendations to the whole council - the public may attend all meetings of the council as observers - titzens assemblies independent of party structures hear evidence and make recommendations on targe-frommlex/connetrations (suces.	That it's not a small cabal making the decisions. Decisions need to be made by as wide a base of representatives as possible, in public, on the basis of wide and timely consultation. That there is a system which can encourage innovative solutions to problems.	The ethos that everyone matters and the valuing of public services.	Too small a group has too much decision making power	A committee system as described in the first answer Citizens assemblies involved in informing decisions As wide a group as possible making decisions A balance between a healthy turn-over of council members on the one hand and continuity/institutional memory on the other hand.	A small group with too much decision making power, and individuals who hold power for too long.	
recommendations on Jarpe/commlex/condentious issues. Based on an thorough assessment of the impact on everyone affected and with input from a diverse cross section of representatives One which is based upon genuine and full consultation with the public, particularly with those whom any decision will affect, plus fairness in the voting system within the council.	in order that everyone affected by decisions are considered fairly and given the opportunity to voice views / concerns / suggestions It is important to me that all councillors have a vote in any final decision. It is important			Input from a diverse cross section of representatives in order that everyone affected by decisions are able to easily present views / opinions / suggestions I would like to see a fairer system in the way the council makes decisions, so that all councillors positions are equally recognised.	Too narrow a variety of inputters Please don't ignore complaints about unfairness. take them seriously, respond to them quickly and with consideration, and be prepared to make changes.	

Is there anything to avoid in any new

Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?

arté I seriously hope these views are fed back to the c current council. That they absorb their failures and actions, following their bad decisions at our beautiful city's expense and that they come back to the table right with sincere regret for their failures and learn to take il responsibility for their actions moving forward. I would hope they learn the value of of public apology and to listen and respond to us with some grace and humility, which has been sadly lacking over the past few years under the current council's leadership. I shall file this questionnaire now, however, I remain sceptical and cynical for the future of Sheffield's people under the current council's governance. I mple). would hope that they prove me wrong.

are Ultimately when you are looking at this whole issue I would like you to GENUINELY ask yourselves how did EL - we get into the state we have over the last few years? What in our current decision making processes got us and the rest of the City into event situations like getting families up whilst its still dark, at incredibly hours in the morning, shuffled on to the streets in their night clothes - just to cut down a ars. 4. tree? Why just threaten imprisonment instead of genuine community engagement ? At this point I don't doubt some of you will be groaning but you are going through this process precisely because of situations like that one and many subsequent ones. There can be no doubt that the negative impact of those decisions will last long in people's memories There can be no doubt that the negative impact of those decisions will last long in people's memories and leave you totally disconnected from many residents. Instead of 'battening down the hatches' -PLEASE reflect on this and have a radical rethink of your decision making processes.

I'd like to see more public consultations on key decisions. For example, when the contract for the local bus service is due for renewal.

It is time that we had change!

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
Decisions taken by a full council which is informed and responsive to residents concerns and views. A system of excellent and transparent communications with Sheffield residents to ensure they are fully informed of proposed decisions before consultation. Good decision making avoids secrecy, obduracy, and defiance in the face of unacceptability to Sheffield residents.	Decisions should be made by the full council only after communicating the options and reasons for the proposed actions and consulting the residents of Sheffield. The decisions should be informed and responsive to feedback from consultation. Debate and decisions by the council must be based on transparency with clear and full reasons given for the decisions made. It is important that Sheffield City Council does hide behind 'commercial confidentiality, against residents wishes and avoiding democratic accountability				Power and decisions in the hands of a cadre. Unaccountable, unresponsive secretive, entrenched, siege mentality in defiance of local community wishes.	I recommend the changes proposed in the 'It's Our City Campaign' This is an exciting opportunity to implement a genuinely democratic bottom up decision making process which can re-energise civic pride in Sheffield Council working in the interests of all its communities. With limited resources the Council has to renew and energise civic engagement. New ways of harnessing community self help will need open, honest, informed responsive and consultative decision
Good decision making should be consistent and follow existing policies, where they are present; should have clear options for consideration; be fair to those affected; be transparent as to how the options presented have been arrived at; be transparent about how a decision has been made; and have a formal mechanism for reviewing the decision after implementation.	Poor decision making harms the physical and social fabric of the city end undermines public faith in local government.	Not a lot!	It is not transparent. Policy is not followed. Representation from other parties, backbench councillors, and local groups appears to be discounted without reasons given. Decisions appear to be directed to individual cabinet member preferences rather than being evidence led.	A committee system that ensures wider input to, and oversight of, decision making. Party affiliation should take a back seat to making decisions, with a sound evidence base, for the good of the city. The decision making structure itself also needs to be subject to review - ways of making decisions might need to be different in different circumstances, and it is unlikely any new structure will get everything right first time.	Over-domination of committees by the majority party that would disenfranchise wider representation; one 'strategy' committee or similar with decision-making powers that would prevent full devolution of decisions to wider committees; committees operating without a clear focus or timescale, risking decisions being continually deferred; insufficient training and support for members.	making by the Cruncil Officers are there to support the decision-making process, by ensuring Members have access to the right information, on key topics, at the right time - part of the decision-making process, therefore, has to be ensuring that officer expertise is maintained.
Evidence-based. Democratic, ie. proportionate to vote share. Decisions taken with an eye on long-term benefits for the maximum number of people. Prioritises sustainability and social justice. Not dominated by party politics or strong personalities.	I feel it is currently unfair, leading to decisions that are not in the best interest of the city I'm proud to call home and where I am raising my childeren.		Relatively few councillors have a say (so-called 'strong leader' model) Often seem very high-handed. Schemes put out to consultation then hearing that it's too late to make any changes eg. Brown Street; sham Tree Consultation Decisions not based on best practice or evidence of what works. With regard to road upgrades, don't seem to grasp that this enables more traffic, despite the council telling people they want them to drive less Attempts to ban media from meetings Misleading/mendacious statements from council eg, trees felled as 'last resort'	Political membership should be proportionate to vote share Nolan Principles enshrined Communities to be enabled to contribute to decisions All councillors to have an equal voice in representing their communities An end to whipping - councillors represent their (entire) community first and foremost - keep tribalism out of it. Better audio-visual feed from council meetings	Anything that would run counter to points raised in Box #8 above Unelected officers taking major decisions Paralysis	
Treat everyone equally. Take equal account of the views of all councillors representing all parties. Listen to the people of Sheffield.	the political party they represent. It is not democratic to have a small proportion of labour councillors solely responsible for making decisions. Sheffield needs to wake up to the climate emergency, get cars off the roads, improve public transport, plant more trees, make walking and cycling priorities and care for	I do not like it at all.	It's undemacratic	Introduce democracy. Get rid of the strong leader role. Decisions should be inclusive made by all councillors irrespective of the party they represent.	Over complicating the decision making process.	It is important that SCC set up a decision making process that involves listening to all the people all of the time. This has to be done through the elected council members for each ward. All councillors should be given an equal say in decision making irrespective of the party they represent.
Understanding issues Seeking expert scoping and analysis of issues Making this information understandable and available to both council officers, councillor and the public Informed consultation Cross party discussions Councillors on gin their wards interests and not necessarily party lines Feedback us social media websites for open discussion on issues, people have more tim to interact the more rather than having to attend meetings. Removing strong leadership model this just ticks boxes and takes power away from a those other and the cabinet and even the leader who will dismiss those who profoundly disagree with her Take away with for those parties who use it Seek to with with communities Allocate famb for doing this Encourage engagement by improving the quality of responses, ie answer emails answer questions in meetings not with anecdotes but with evidence.	Politics needs to change It needs to be more representative more inclusive not reduced to 3 or 4 parties. Issues in a ward might not be solved by party politics but by a more collaborative approach. Sheffield's strong leadership model has done none of this. I was involved I was shouted I at for no reason <i>REDACTED</i> at a public meeting. My labour ward councillors never answer their emails. I am not represented by my ward councillors who have no say in what happened in Sheffield if they are either the incumbent Labour or an opposition party because of the strong leadership model. I live on the very edge of my ward, my interests in my neighbourhood are not represented by my councillors. Politics should not be by artificial boundaries, it should not be about one parties or I individuals interests it should be about working together n to reach compromises.	Nothing that I can think of.	Please see previous answers	Dissemination of impartial evidence based information on all important issues Information and scoping from independent professionals written in layman's terms and disseminated to council officers, counciliors and the public. Engagement with the public to gain local knowledge and expertise and opinion through the use of social media and online surveys and also through groups and neighbourhoods to include those not on the internet. This should also be disseminated to council officers, counciliors and the public. Collaborative cross party working in committee style meetings based on the above Feedback and reassessment to local needs and opinions Transparency and accountability when council officers and councillors have acted contrary to their code of conductnot a losing of ranks. Audits on the complaints system to ensure that people's concerned are being properly acknowledged and responded to appropriately and not being dismissed.	current public council meetings are basically tick box events with no productive discussion as the strong leadership model and party whip makes all the discussions a	other councils who have changed as a good role model in firstly being brave enough to do it and secondly giving more people the chance to input and use the who range of talents and energy and spirit that exist in Sheffield our wonderful city! THANK YOU x
be challenged if it is obvious that the answer was not sufficient or lacking in some manne	 More than ever with social media engagement and interaction is possible. Now is the opportunity to make local politics work better for us all. It is a learning processhuge patience is needed but firstly the will to work				This will be the biggest challenge but it should really be worth it with more quality and bespoke solutions from the local to city wide and beyond scale.	
In a democratic context, I think a consideration of facts and the views of representatives, ideally concluding in consensus. Of course, consensus is impossible a lot of the time, but it's an ideal ending.	cooperatively is needed and that is why Sheffield City Council need to change their The council has a very small governing body, which seems to exclude most councillors.	Err I don't know.	That governing cabinet thing.	For things to be decided on a level that actually allows for voter input. Right now, if a voter is not in a ward where one of the existing cabinet members is a councillor, they don't have any prospect of affecting council decisions with their vote. That seems	A two-tier system where some of our democratic representatives are more important than others.	
A good decision takes into account the needs of as many groups of people and individual: as possible. It also takes into account the environment. Obviously it also has to take into account financial resources, but sometimes the thinking maybe longer term, e.g. can we spend now in order to save later or can we afford not to spend resources?	environment, the decision makers should be representative, by proportion, of the vote	cabinet and the leader in particular has sometimes resulted in forcing or even bullying behaviour. I would like to see a Council that is more respectful of every	See my comments in the previous box 6.	wrong. See my comments in the previous boxes 5 and 6.	Whilst I'm in favour of a Committee structure, I'm aware of the need to avoid unnecessary delays where a decision is urgent, so there will need to be agreed routes for urgent decision making, perhaps involving a Committee Chair, Deputy Chair and relevant Officer.	
Issues need to be identified and then a co-operative approach should be adopted. There should be a clear procedure to be followed as to how stakeholders, a cross-section of people from communities and designated councillors will consult widely, explore openly and harness the enthusiasm of local people to preserve and enhance the valuable aspects	As an individual, I am appalled at the profoundly undemocratic systems used in the local government of our city.		 The democratic deficit is caused by the fact that most councillors are unable to query or modify decisions made by an unrepresentative ruling group. The 'strong leader' system needs to be dismantled. 	Independent experts should be used as a matter of course and their views and research should be an integral part of decision-making. Transparency and accountability for decisions should be clearly evident.		This is not information but a plea from the heart. In the past, we have allowed a cavalier approach where a small group of councillors could sign off
of life in their city. Where relevant, councillors in a particular ward or area should be able to feed the views and practical ideas of their electorate into any decision-making process and open	manipulate and rubber-stamp very dubious decisions, with almost no scrutiny from the 'outsiders', who are the rest of the elected councillors.		Disastrous decisions have been adhered to when even a teaspoonful of common sense would indicate that changing direction and apology was the only way to regain the trust of the electorate.	An audit of what Sheffield in all its diversity really needs, using objective and respected organisations from outside the city and meaningful consultation with those who live and	We need to avoid any systems which allow entrenched and obstructive hierarchies of power to continue.	(literally) on massive infrastructure purses, on contracts etc. which have enormous consequences in the lives of the citizens of Sheffield.
meetings should be held, with widely publicised minutes, using local media, to inform citizens of the progress of any debate or consultation.	In view of the dominance of one political party in Sheffield City Council, it is all the more important that the views of minority parties are listened to. Our system can in many ways be called totalitarian, as consultations so often are a mere paper exercise. In particular, the disregard of people who happen to live in a ward not represented by a Labour party councillor is utterly immoral and has been for decades.		Party-whipping should not be used at all in a local council, as councillors are there to represent their local community. National politics and ideology should not be the focus in our council's motivation.	work here. Above all, a search for a vision of how we can once again have a city of which we can be proud, where care for each other and an expectation that everyone can contribute is taken for granted. A total change of culture.		Basic competence and a willingness to listen would have saved the South Yorkshire and Sheffield local government from its well-known historical errors and from the waste of financial resources on a colossal scale.
	What is most important to me is that people across the city should be involved and even passionate about the very local issues and initiatives in their area and should feel that they have a voice. Furthermore, to foster cohesion across the city, people should be far more informed about what is happening in other areas and have the tools to work co- operatively.					The catalogue is not impressive: the world student games (yes, I know it was a long time ago, but the bill has been long-lasting), the trees scandal which has shocked the nation, the thirty-year contract with Veolia, chasing Chinese investment, and various the thirty bit between the factors of the thirty end to be the second to be the thirty of the the the the the thirty of the the the the the the the thirty of the the the the the the the thirty of the

The willingness of volunteers, businesses, institutions, charities etc. to work or the good of our whole city is totally underestimated.

The catalogue is not impressive: the world student games (yes, I know it was a long time ago, but the bill has been long-lasting), the trees scandal which has shocked the nation, the thirty-year contract with Veolia, chasing Chinese investment, and various other things which seem so far-fetched that one can hardly believe they happened.

The culture and outlook are what counts before the broken structures can be fixed. The people of this great city would love to be able to trust and have a

What does good decision making look like to you?	What is important to you or your organisation about the way Sheffield City Council makes decisions?	What do you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What don't you like about the way in which Sheffield City Council makes decisions at the moment?	What features would you like to see in any new decision making structure in Sheffield?	Is there anything to avoid in any new decision-making structure in Sheffield?	Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes?
It should be inclusive of the range of views of all our elected councillors. And the councillors should work with, and be accountable to the population of Sheffield. It should also include expert advice, and take proper notice of communities who are affected by the decisions. Decisions need to based on values that consider the locality of (Sheffield) and our planet in an ecocentric way. Rather than an ever increasing human population being put at the	As above . Listen to the mass of Sheffield people whose many voices are demanding we	I can't think of anything I like about decision making at the moment.	I don't like the rule by cabinet, the strong leader model. I think it is secretive, unaccountable and undemocratic, and can be disrespectful to the views which conflict with their own.	Inclusion of all the parties and their views, knowledge and experience. Cross party committees to learn about issues from a non-partisan point of view, and to feed the information upwards. Residents representatives could also sit on these committees. All local councillors need a voice to represent the people of Sheffield . We need a People's Assembly to prioritize environmental issues.	The cabinet system, where 10 councillors have all the power and, effectively, take all the decisions.	
centre of all decisions . Democratic, fair and not involving outsourcing or privatisation.	I feel that there are some old school ideas that aren't necessarily reflective of what the people of the city want. That businesses and students take precedent over Sheffielders.		I don't like the the fact that we have a small group of people who appear to be making decisions that affect all of us. I think the public should be more involved in decision making. I don't think there council reaches out to the public in a personable way enough, although that said it doesn't do for all councillors. I don't like how the green Councillors blame problems that we're caused by the LibDems, eg the roads improvement that has led to tree felling by Amey on our Labour council. I dying think that's useful or helpful it conducive to a smooth running city. I don't like how provisions for students, businesses and richer areas in the city take precedence over the poorer posts Codes like mine. I saw men with leaf blowers over on ecclsehall road, while us in S2 are not given	I would like to see more public participation. More public outreach work. More effective outreach on social media. A better response from council home repairs service, we shouldn't be treated as if we're being done a favour we're just as good as any paying customer. More involvement with council tenants and concentration on providing more social housing need to be prioritised that means inviting tenants to be involved in decision making eg a board of council tenants.	the narrative. Also there MUST be a clamp down in leaks to the press. Stop allowing cllrs to take phones etc info confidential meetings.	If a councillor is a landlord they shouldn't be involved in meetings that are voting on issues such as rent or quality of rented accommodation etc if a councillor for example and an entertainment company they shouldn't be involved in booking for events and so on. Councillors need to be very clear when it comes to conflict of interest, and decisions should be be made involving people who are affected by them, eg council tenants, as a matter of cause - rather than among a group of small people. finally there needs to be vast improvement to the majority of the city's tenants and residents associations especially the one here in Arbourthorne
	Transparency Consultation Decisions which benefit as many people as possible I want my elected councilor to have a say in decisions. I want decisions to made, based upon expert advice, and not made by a few behind closed doors.	I'm not aware of how decisions are made at the moment! Nothing.	My councilor does not appear to have a say in the decision making process. A lot of recent decisions appear ludicrous eg the tree situation & the amout of money that has been spent on legal expenses.	The process to be open and explained to people in Sheffield. The council having the needs of Sheffield at its heart.	Too much hierarchy A small group of people making all the decisions. This is not democracy.	
Fully transparent Completely honest Evidence led Fully open to scrutiny, from elected representatives, officers, and the general public Ability, and desire, to change course when unforseen consequences become apparent	Good decisions will not always be popular, but the Council should always be making decisions that are in the best interests of the city, and the council tax payers who fund them. Transparency is key, as it allows everyone to see why a decision has been made, and how much it will cost, and ensure decisions are made for the right reasons, and not for the best political ones		and they ignore almost any objections, either from opposition councillors or from the general public. There is a definite "we know best" mentality. Scrutiny is limited, honesty in short supply, and almost always decisions have to be politically	are there because they want to make things better (or should be), so they should work	tribal party loyalties, and anything resembling the current structure	
are involved. This means at different levels and can be time consuming and would mean ncluding the peeds into the council's decision making rather than being given almost meaningless powers as in some parish councils. I like the idea of citizens's assemblies working altheride the council - Citizens UK has this in mind and I think this may be already active in Landon. I also recognise the need for expertise and the ongoing experience of council works, members and others who can make a vital input. I also recognise that his may require a smaller group of people to make some decisions, especially in the case of urgent decisions, such as flooding or disturbances. would like to find a mechanism for those of us interested and committed as local residents and citizens to make a contribution when we can (not on everything!). I have been assounded at some of the planning decisions taken, for example, and wonder who las been involved and able to contribute. There are many complex decisions nvolving a diverse range of people that need to be taken into account. I recognise especially the challenges of the kinds of decisions that need to be make when money is	different aspects of the life of Sheffield Needs more 'joined up' thinking. For example, the Council has agreed to recognise the climate emergency, then reduces the bus service - frequency and reliability - in many areas making it much less attractive to travel by public transport. The links between health, mental health and well being, and social care/support are critical - and need a	visited regularly living not so far away. I appreciate it tries to consult on some issues - such as air quality - whilst other areas are a mystery, such as the ever soul destroying rise of tall, box like buildings going up everywhere, potentially	Experts are often ignored, and money is wasted in significant sums as a result, with outcomes often use helmu nomiced level? Again I'm not sure as not that experienced - though keen to learn.	Wider consultation and drawing more of the local residents. Ability of individuals to input more on some issues, especially those that are either broad based - open spaces, parks, build environment, city centre, pedestrianisation. Clarity on how the council works, makes decisions and on who and when people can be involved. Wider connecting with local residents - e.g. monthly magazine/newspaper from the council with equivalent for social media and younger people.	Not sure.	Perhaps it would be possible to have an 'email' or equivalent list for those interested in the Council, th decisions it takes and are thinking about taking so we can keep up to date - and also have a way of making a contribution. I appreciate the traditional way is via our local councilor but perhaps other way can be found.
restricted as currently.	It is important to me that Sheffield City Council is responsive to the wishes of the electorate and does not ride rough-shod over peoples' legitimate concerns. Too often, in the past, Sheffield City Council has appeared to side with the interests of faceless outsourcing organisations rather than those benefitting the citizens of Sheffield. The way it has dealt with the road-building programme and the street trees issue demonstrate clear failings, in my view in the way our council has operated. In both instances, the Council appears to have hidden behind legalistic devices to avoid transparency and scrutiny and has almost gone out of its way to alienate the feelings of ordinary residents who feel strongly - and often correctly - that the council has got it badly wrong and is betraying their interests.	I am not very impressed at all with the way the council currently makes its decisions, for the reasons indicated above. All too often it has appeared to be autocratically serving vested outside interests at the expense of the citizens of Sheffield	limited amount of information was provided in response to my Freedom of Information Act request. It is quite indefensible for a Labour-run council to seek behind the discredited 'commercial confidentiality' doctrine in order to evade	principles to prevent similar incidents of autocratic policy-making and implementation occurring again in the future. These principles should include a commitment to adopt a more transparent, open, inclusive and accountable form of governance that respects the views of all elected representatives and also the wider community. I do not approve of the current system of 'strong leader' and so-called 'cabinet system of decision-making as I believe that this vests too much power in a narrow political faction and unelected bureaucrats.	discredited doctrines such as the notion of commercial confidentiality, which is simply a way of avoiding scrutiny and accountability for decisions and policies that may well have been mistaken and need to properly scrutinised and, where necessary, effectively challenged. The council should seek to enable all councillors to have a role in the democratic decision-making process without restricting the membership of key committees to small factions or unelected council officials.	I hope that the Council will see this as an opportunity to learn from some of the unfortunate policy failures of the past (not all of which, ironically were initially instigated by the current administration). At a time when our community and our nation are facing unprecedented challenges such as climate breakdown that call for far-reaching, Joined-up, evidence-based responses, we desperately need to devise new ways to adapt our decision-making processes to make them fit for purpose in the months and years ahead.
Good decision making should mean that all interested parties, in this case i.e. councillors of what ever party should be able to express their views, ideas and contribute to the final lecision hopefully by consensus but at least by majority decision.		I do not like much about it. A small cabinet cannot be representative of the views of 84 councillors. Decisions can be made by the few behind closed doors without people who have a different idea being allowed to contribute.	See No. 6.	I would like to see the council benefiting from the knowledge and expertise of all the elected councillors. I would like to see civilised and inclusive disussion on the many issues the council has to deal with, where every voice can be heard and due weight given to the opinions of all, even where there is strong disagreement.	The council should avoid simply accepting	Decisions should be made in the interests of the people of Sheffield and the preservation of the environment not some other peripheral concern.

			makes decisions at the moment?	in Sheffield?	Sheffield?	Council's decision making processes?
is not practical in Full Council or a Cabinet meeting alone.	Firstly that Council and Committee time is not wasted posturing on national political matters effectively preventing discussion of issues which effect the services for Sheffielders.	Public Questions and Petitions are very important. It might be helpful to bring road safety matters to a separate committee in future especially if there is rarely any action taken.	Too much power in one person (Council Leader), and not enough responsibility shared by parties. Sometime questions are not answered by Cabinet members or the grouping of questions allows important questions to be skipped.	Decision making made by all parties and better quality reports provided to all Councillors to ensure all can be well informed in deciding or scrutinising decisions. Public questions scheduled at all meetings. Ensuring similar process are in place at other Local/regional bodies such as Sheffield City		
All committees should be formed by cross party representation. The Chairing and Vice of geach committee should be an opposition party Councillor where practical to strengthen is scrutiny and decisions. Where good policy and decisions are presented this should not be a low process. This stronger representation of all citizens irrespective of the person (and rassociated party) they voted for is vital to retain trust and effectiveness in the system and rensure that good amendments and ideas are seriously considered.	heard and respected. Increasing the significance of their role in Committee positions is vital in this process. Where policy points are good they need to be accepted quickly rather than redacted and implemented a year or two later. The delaying of good policy is			Region and SYPTE. Ensuring that good quality Equality Impact Assessments are written for decision and that they do not hide or pretend there are no impacts on disabled citizens or other vulnerable groups.		
Put simply, no party or individual will have all the answers and the existing model only gives decision making to one person and effectively no scrutiny on decisions with the form of committees.						
We also need more committees or amendments to existing ones to capture cabinet areas where there are no public meetings. Where public questions are currently not on an agenda they should be added as a matter of course.						
Giving due respect to the views of the electorate at ward level and due respect to all the councillors I Based on fair and meaningful representation. Good decision making should come fair and I	I want the electorate to have respect for their councillors and the Council I want the Council to regain the trust of the people of Sheffield. I want Sheffield people to feel proud of Sheffield Council	There is very little to like in a closed decision making process by a strong leader and ten councillors	The fact that it a 'council' in name only. The real power and decision making is done behind closed doors by the leader and cabinet members. It feels very exclusive and unrepresentative	An election based on proportional representation A focus on local issues and not national party agendas Formalised and active support for communities wanting to contribute to decisions Local councillors having full access to information Committee chairs not just from the majority party A reduction in delegation to officers More open decision making Encouraging the public to attend council meetings. Community and stakeholder representation	Closed decision making by a small cohort Tribal politics	Local authorities and democracy are vital to the running of civilised communities. It is shameful that the means to do this properly have been deliberate and savagely cut by central government over severa decades. That this is the real context in which we should be striving to bring about change should not stop us from attempting to make that change
с II	It is important the decisions are timely and consistent throughout the Council. The political agendas of the individuals should not influence decision making. If the decision is right for the City, It is right.	Not applicable	It is too inconsistent and appears ad-hoc. Their appears to be too much political bias in decision making rather than having a clear council strategy that all decisions are based around.	Consistency Agreed Strategy around which to base decisions Quicker process	inconsistency Delay	No
			The fact that big decisions only really lie with a few councillors and therefore most people in Sheffield aren't actually represented when it comes to big decisions.	A more representative system.	Avoid any decisions that are only made by cabinet, they should go out to the whole council.	Id like to know when it changes and what the new system is to be. I heard plenty about the "Its Our City" petition throughout the summer, maybe the same people out on the streets telling us what signing the petition has actually done to the decisio making process.
There has been many good decisions made by Sheffield City Council but the time this takes is incredition ustrating and inefficient.		Overall Sheffield City Council has made many good decisions but will the exception of it being extremely through there isn't a lot I like.	The complicated nature and the length of time it takes.	More efficient and more delegated powers.	Additional levels and complications.	We need to be more efficient so we can compete with the private sector in decisions making.
Fransparent and accountable decision making that is for the benefit of the people of sheffield.	Decisions should not be politically motivated in favour of one party or elected member.		Too much decision making power sits with only a few elected members, with	The proposed committee approach has the potential to bring better transparency to the decision making process.		
1	Decisions should help my organisation REDACTED to meet the identified needs of vulnerable groups across the city.	online have been welcomed.	little/no cross party representation. Difficult conversations / decisions are delayed for fear of impact on individual elected members, rather than considering the needs of residents in local neighbourhoods/wards.	Decision making process. Decisions should be based on merits of meeting needs of Sheffield and not to leverage support for one political party above another.	as a result of partisanship.	
Additional Responses - natio	nal organisation template					
What are the key features of good decision making? What can we learn from best practice? - key features of good decision making	What are the relative strengths and weaknesses of operating a Leader and Cabinet model and a committee system? - strengths and weaknesses of operating a Leader and Cabinet model and a committee system		Would you like to add any further information regarding Sheffield City Council's decision making processes? - further information			
and the process of verifying the decisions or the process to verify the decision making	Leader and Cabinet model is good in the sense that is expedites the process of decision making, however it also subjects the organisation to significant risk arising due to conflict of interest, concentration of power and dilution of internal control systems.	such details. You may also like to Refer the Turnbull report by FRC. Another good	It needs changing. The committee knows and understands it, The sooner hey realise that they have to get over the hubris, the better it will be for all stakeholders.			
	Leader and Committee model is useful for operational matters when sometimes the speed of descion making is crucial. However, using such governance system for strategic matters violates almost all principles of governance and risk management.					
You may refer UK Code of coroporate Governance available on FRC website for such						